



IOOS
Integrated Ocean
Observing System



Manual for Real-Time Oceanographic Data Quality Control Flags

Version 1.2
June 2020

Document Validation



U.S. IOOS Program Office Validation

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Carl C. Gouldman'.

06/24/2020

Carl C. Gouldman, U.S. IOOS Program Director

Date

QARTOD Project Manager Validation

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Kathleen Bailey'.

06/24/2020

Kathleen Bailey, U.S. IOOS Project Manager

Date

QARTOD Board of Advisors Validation

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Julianna O. Thomas'.

06/24/2020

Julianna O. Thomas, QARTOD Board of Advisors Chair

Date

Table of Contents

List of Tables	iii
Revision History	iv
Endorsement Disclaimer	v
Request to Manual Users	v
Acknowledgements.....	vi
Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	vii
Document Purpose	viii
Terms and Definitions	viii
Background	1
Need for Flags.....	1
Multiple Standards	1
Acceptance of Standards and Need for Flag Translators	1
QARTOD Data Flag Protocol	2
Identification and Selection of an Existing Standard	2
Definition of the Accepted Standard	2
Advanced Flagging Schemes	3
Primary Flags	3
Implementation.....	4
Application of Flags	5
Summary	6
References.....	7
Useful Links	8
Appendix A. QARTOD Data QC Flag Manual Team	A-1

List of Tables

Table 1. Primary Level Flagging Standard.....	2
Table 2. QARTOD flagging definitions based on UNESCO 2013.	3
Table 3. Example of quality control tests and data processing history (IOC 54:V3).	3
Table 4. Example shows a two-tier flagging scheme (courtesy of the SIO CDIP team).	5

Revision History

Date	Revision Description	Notes
January 2014	Original Document Published	
May 2017	<p>Revise cover page to include new IOOS and QARTOD logo.</p> <p>Revise dates on <i>Document Validation</i> page and substitute new IOOS logo (page ii).</p> <p>Add statement requesting feedback from <i>Manual Users</i> (page v).</p> <p>Update <i>Acknowledgements</i> to include version 1.1 team members (page vi).</p> <p>Update <i>Acronyms and Abbreviations</i> (page vii).</p> <p>Update definitions of real time and operator, add interoperable, quality assurance, and quality control, alphabetize, and revise sentence structure in <i>Terms and Definitions</i> (page viii).</p> <p>Revise <i>Acceptance of Standards and Need for Flag Translators</i> to reflect updated and additional manuals that have been developed (page 1).</p> <p>Revise <i>Identification and Selection of an Existing Standard</i> (page 2).</p> <p>Revise content in <i>Definition of the Accepted Standard</i>, adding paragraph and table with data flags and descriptions; adjusted table numbering accordingly (page 2).</p> <p>Update content in <i>Advanced Flagging Schemes</i> (page 3).</p> <p>Added section on <i>Implementation</i> (page 4)</p> <p>Update content in <i>Applications of Flags</i> (page 6).</p> <p>Update link to code repository in <i>Summary</i> (page 7).</p> <p>Update Useful Links (page 9)</p> <p>Update Version 1.1 Data Flags Manual Team members (pages A-1 through A-5).</p> <p>Add appendix B: example of implementation code. (pages B-1 through B-19).</p>	
June 2020	<p>Revise cover page with new version number and date.</p> <p>Revise signature page (page ii).</p> <p>Revise email address for Manual Users (page v).</p> <p>Update acknowledgements for Version 1.2 reviewers (page vi).</p> <p>Add ERDDAP to update <i>Acronyms and Abbreviations</i> (page vii).</p> <p>Add definitions of primary (formerly summary) and secondary flags to <i>Terms and Definitions</i> (page viii).</p> <p>Revise <i>Advanced Flagging Schemes</i> section of <i>QARTOD Data Flag Protocol</i> (page 3).</p> <p>Revise <i>Implementation</i> section of <i>QARTOD Data Flag Protocol</i> (page 4).</p> <p>Revise <i>Summary</i> (page 6).</p> <p>Update <i>References</i> (page 7).</p> <p>Update <i>Useful Links</i> (page 8).</p> <p>Update appendix A: Version 1.2 Data Flags Manual Team members (page A-1).</p> <p>Delete appendix B.</p>	

Endorsement Disclaimer

Mention of a commercial company or product does not constitute an endorsement by NOAA. Use of information from this publication for publicity or advertising purposes concerning proprietary products or the tests of such products is not authorized.

Request to Manual Users

To gauge the success of the QARTOD project, it helps to be aware of groups working to utilize these QC tests. Please notify us of your efforts or intentions to implement QARTOD processes by sending a brief email to qartod.board@noaa.gov or posting a notice at <http://www.linkedin.com/groups?gid=2521409>.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to all who assisted in the preparation of this document by providing content and reviewing drafts. Special thanks to the Data Management and Communications (DMAC) community members, especially Emilio Mayorga (NANOOS/University of Washington) for his significant support and contribution to the version 1.1 manual update, as well as his thoughtful insights about flag implementation. We are also grateful to Scripps Institution of Oceanography's Coastal Data Information Program team for providing their data flagging example. We thank Luke Campbell (RPS Group) for sharing the CDL code as an example of data flag implementation in version 1.1 of this manual (removed in this updated version), and Doug Wilson and others at the NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office for supporting that implementation effort.

We appreciate the careful review of version 1.2 by Darren Wright and Corey Olfe (University of California San Diego), Jessica Austin (Axiom Data Science), C.J. Pellerin (NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office), Mike Crowley and the high frequency radar surface current mapping team (Rutgers University), and Jorge Capella (Caribbean Regional Association for Coastal Ocean Observing/DMAC). We thank Felimon Gayanilo (Texas A&M University) and Micah Wengren (U.S. IOOS) for their suggestions. We acknowledge the favorable comments from Teresa Updyke (Old Dominion University) and Jorge Capella (University of Puerto Rico).

We appreciate the efforts of all involved in arranging a code sprint at the University of Michigan in October 2019, and commend Jessica Austin, Jesse Lopez (Axiom Data Science), Andrew Reed, Cris Seaton (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution), and Joe Smith (Cooperative Institute for Great Lakes Research – University of Michigan) for their participation in the QARTOD Library Implementation session, which consolidated multiple, distributed QARTOD GitHub libraries into a single IOOS organization Python repository.

Appendix A contains the names and affiliations of all those who contributed to the original document and reviewed updated versions of this data QC flag manual.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AOOS	Alaska Ocean Observing System
CARICOOS	Caribbean Regional Association for Coastal Ocean Observing
CDIP	Coastal Data Information Program
CDL	Common Data Language
CeNCOOS	Central and Northern California Ocean Observing System
CF	netCDF Climate and Forecast (CF) Metadata Conventions
CO-OPS	Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services
DCP	Data Collection Platform
DMAC	Data Management and Communications
ERDDAP	Environmental Research Division Data Access Program
GCOOS	Gulf of Mexico Coastal Ocean Observing System
GLOS	Great Lakes Observing System
GOOS	Global Ocean Observing System
IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
IOOS	Integrated Ocean Observing System
MARACOOS	Mid-Atlantic Regional Association Coastal Ocean Observing System
MBARI	Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute
NANOOS	Northwest Association of Networked Ocean Observing Systems
NCDDC	National Coastal Data Development Center
NDBC	National Data Buoy Center
NERACOOS	Northeastern Regional Association of Coastal Ocean Observing Systems
netCDF	Network Common Data Form
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NODC	National Oceanographic Data Center
NOS	National Ocean Service
PacIOOS	Pacific Islands Ocean Observing System
QARTOD	Quality-Assurance/Quality Control of Real-Time Oceanographic Data
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
RCOOS	Regional Coastal Ocean Observing System
SCCOOS	Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System
SECOORA	Southeast Coastal Ocean Observing Regional Association
SIO	Scripps Institution of Oceanography
UNESCO	United Nations Environmental, Scientific, and Cultural Organization
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
WHOI	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Document Purpose

The U.S. Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS®) has issued Quality Assurance/Quality Control of Real-Time Oceanographic Data (QARTOD) manuals to be used for identifying the quality of oceanographic data in real-time. This data QC flag manual provides information to operators of ocean observing systems about the purpose and protocols of marking or flagging data, so that subsequent use of the data can be properly controlled by both users and automated processes.

Please reference this document as:

U.S. Integrated Ocean Observing System, 2020. Manual for the Use of Real-Time Oceanographic Data Quality Control Flags, Version 1.2. 24 pp.

<https://doi.org/10.25923/w8y6-d298>

Terms and Definitions

This manual contains several terms whose meanings are critical to those using the manual. These terms are included in the following table to ensure that the meanings are clearly defined.

Data Quality Flag	Data quality flag is metadata associated with a specific data point indicating the results of one or more QC tests.
Data Record	A data record is one or more messages that form a coherent, logical, and complete observation.
Data User	A data user is a middle or endpoint entity desiring information, but not necessarily knowledgeable in methods used to obtain the information.
Interoperable	Interoperable means the ability of two or more systems to exchange and mutually use data, metadata, information, or system parameters using established protocols or standards.
Operator	Operators are individuals or entities who are responsible for collecting and providing data.
Quality Assurance	QA involves processes that are employed with hardware to support the generation of high quality data.
Quality Control	QC involves follow-on steps that support the delivery of high quality data and requires both automation and human intervention.
Real-Time	Real-time means that: data are delivered without delay for immediate use; time series extends only backwards in time, where the next data points are not available; and sample intervals may range from a few seconds to a few hours or even days, depending upon the sensor configuration.
Primary Flag	A primary flag is a single flag set to the worst case of all QC flags within the data record.
Secondary Flag	A secondary flag records the results of a single QC test.

Background

Need for Flags

Real-time oceanographic data are employed for a wide variety of applications and users. Some applications/users may require that only data of the highest quality be used, and others may seek an indication that a data point is questionable. Some users may prefer the delivery of all data, to be quality controlled using their own criteria. Successful use of the data will depend upon the knowledge, skills, and diligence of the user. Erroneous use of bad data or questionable/good data identified as bad can have serious consequences. For example, specific data points collected during a sudden increase in wind speed resulting from a localized summer thunderstorm may be outside expected wind speeds. However, the automated deletion of such data results in a loss of vital information concerning the weather event.

Operators of observing systems may be best suited to determine the quality of their observations and to document their findings by generating metadata to accompany the observations. Information generated by software in real-time about the data quality is referred to as data quality flags, which become an embedded part of the output data stream. As such, the first value added by generating data quality flags is that the quality of the data has been considered at all—sometimes data flow without any evaluation of their quality.

Multiple Standards

The Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission/United Nations Environmental, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (IOC/UNESCO) issued a document (hereafter referred to as IOC 54:V3) (UNESCO 2013) with seven examples of flag schemes. Other flag scheme examples exist, including those from the European Global Ocean Observing Systems DATA-MEQ Working Group (Pouliquen et al. 2011) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Ocean Service (NOS) Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services (CO-OPS) (Evans et al. 2003). Some flag schemes may simply assign a good or bad rating, which is sufficient for operators/users who desire only good data. At the other extreme, flag schemes can generate a detailed indication of why a data point has been flagged, which is helpful to those responsible for troubleshooting and repairing a sensor.

Acceptance of Standards and Need for Flag Translators

The U.S. IOOS QARTOD Project must accommodate a wide variety of operator QC capabilities. Most operators do not collect sufficient data to justify accepting only the best data and discarding lower quality data—all data can have value to some users. Some operators have highly evolved QC processes in place, and they are not inclined to replace those processes. Other operators may set a few rudimentary min/max thresholds to eliminate outlier data, which, without a flagging scheme, could be interpreted as a data gap. Operators may also have limited resources to implement additional processes/flags.

Diversity in flag schemes is no different than that found worldwide in language, currency, engineering units, etc. One overarching standard may evolve over time, but meanwhile, a near-term solution is to create metadata translation tables to convert one standard to another. Both IOC 54:V3 and Schlitzer (2013) provide good examples of such translations.

QARTOD Data Flag Protocol

Identification and Selection of an Existing Standard

IOC 54:V3 was issued in 2013, shortly after the first QARTOD QC manual was published. A review of the various existing flag standards indicated that the standard suggested in early QARTOD manuals nearly matched the “Primary Level” scheme presented in IOC 54:V3. Rather than adhere to two nearly identical standards, QARTOD decided to adopt the IOC 54:V3 scheme and modify one existing QARTOD manual (dissolved oxygen, accomplished in April 2015) to conform to IOC 54:V3.

Definition of the Accepted Standard

The IOC 54:V3 Primary Level flagging standard (UNESCO 2013) is shown in table 1.

Table 1. Primary Level Flagging Standard.

Value	Primary-Level Flag Short Name	Definition
1	Good	Passed documented required QC tests
2	Not evaluated, not available or unknown	Used for data when no QC test performed or the information on quality is not available
3	Questionable/suspect	Failed non-critical documented metric or subjective test(s)
4	Bad	Failed critical documented QC test(s) or as assigned by the data provider
9	Missing data	Used as place holder when data are missing

QARTOD discourages use of the *Flag 2 Not Evaluated* flag, as this violates the very first of the *Seven QARTOD Data Management Laws*, which is that “every real-time observation distributed to the ocean community must be accompanied by a quality descriptor” (NOAA 2009).

Further, QARTOD slightly expands the definition of the Flag 3 value to be “Suspect or Of High Interest” to emphasize the importance of retaining the human element within the QC process for such values. The full QARTOD flagging definitions table is given in table 2.

Table 2. QARTOD flagging definitions based on UNESCO (2013).

Flag	Description
Pass=1	Data have passed critical real-time quality control tests and are deemed adequate for use as preliminary data.
Not evaluated=2	Data have not been QC-tested, or the information on quality is not available.
Suspect or Of High Interest=3	Data are considered to be either suspect or of high interest to data providers and users. They are flagged suspect to draw further attention to them by operators.
Fail=4	Data are considered to have failed one or more critical real-time QC checks. If they are disseminated at all, it should be readily apparent that they are not of acceptable quality.
Missing data=9	Data are missing; used as a placeholder.

Advanced Flagging Schemes

In IOC 54:V3, a secondary flag scheme is proposed, but only the primary level is fully described. Likewise, the U.S. IOOS QARTOD Project adopts only the primary level flags but encourages the use of secondary level flags for additional documentation that may be of use to operators and data users. Secondary level flags may be closely related to a specific sensor and consequently more challenging to translate to another standard. Several examples of such flags are taken from IOC 54:V3 and expanded in table 3.

Table 3. Example of quality control tests and data processing history (IOC 54:V3).

Globally impossible value (exceeds low or high thresholds)
Monthly climatology standard deviation test (exceeds warning or failure thresholds)
Excessive spike check (exceeds warning or failure, low or high thresholds)
Excessive offset/bias when compared to a reference data set (exceeds warning or failure, low or high thresholds)
Unexpected X/Y ratio (e.g., chemical stoichiometry or property-property X to T, S, density, among others)
Excessive spatial gradient or pattern check (“bullseyes”)
Below detection limit of method

Primary Flags

Operators may generate primary flags for the convenience of data users. A primary flag is set to the highest-level (worst case) flag found in the detailed tests outlined in QARTOD data quality manuals, such as U.S. IOOS (2019). For example, if any tests generate a *Flag 4 Bad* flag, then the primary flag is set to *4 Bad*. This provides a simple check that users can invoke when they require only a basic level of QC. QARTOD does not require use of the primary flag, but does strongly recommend it. U.S. IOOS does require the eleven Regional Associations (RAs) to provide a primary flag.

Implementation

Variable-specific QARTOD tests are identified as required, strongly recommended, and suggested. These groupings have been vetted by the variable-specific communities through the extensive QARTOD manual review process, and they inform the broad swath of capabilities that can exist within each community. The required tests are considered to provide the minimum level of QC and should be relatively easy to implement. However, it is recognized that in some instances even a required test may not be applicable. For example, in the *QARTOD Manual for Real-Time Quality Control of Water Level Data* (U.S. IOOS 2016), the Location Test is required, but many fixed water level gauges don't provide a location in the data stream; therefore, the Location Test cannot be performed. In cases where the operator has a defensible reason to exclude a required test, the reason should be provided in the metadata associated with the station.

QARTOD does not dictate the methods operators use to implement data QC flags. Individual bits representing the five identified flag values (tables 1 and 2) may be set, making data masking an easy task. More likely, operators will identify a character string that can detect more than the five values found in tables 1 and 2. Operators are encouraged to provide code that can be used to read data and metadata, including these QC flags.

IOOS uses existing community standards to the maximum extent possible (e.g., QARTOD, Climate and Forecast [CF], Attribute Conventions for Data Discovery [ACDD], Environmental Research Division Data Access Program [ERDDAP], etc.). In February 2020, the ERDDAP project team proposal to the CF governance committee to add QARTOD-inspired standard names to CF was accepted (see <https://github.com/cf-convention/cf-conventions/issues/216>). The full set of CF standard names available to identify QARTOD flag ancillary variables can be found in the CF Standard Name Table v72 at <http://cfconventions.org/Data/cf-standard-names/72/build/cf-standard-name-table.html>. The search tool can be employed to find quality-related flag variable names. Implementation of these standardized flag names are further described, and an example is provided, in the IOOS Metadata Profile Version 1.2 at <https://ioos.github.io/ioos-metadata/ioos-metadata-profile-v1-2.html - quality-controlqartod>. Further documentation of IOOS QARTOD and other QC tests, implemented in Python with notebook examples, have been developed by the community and are shared at https://github.com/ioos/ioos_qc and https://ioos.github.io/ioos_qc/.

An advanced character string flagging scheme can be found in a Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) Coastal Data Information Program (CDIP) Thematic Real-Time Environmental Distributed Data Services (THREDDS) server that hosts the CDIP netCDF data sets (<http://thredds.cdip.ucsd.edu/thredds/catalog.html>). Table 4 shows the two-tier IOC flagging used by CDIP: waveFlagPrimary holds the IOC-recommended primary level values, and waveFlagSecondary holds additional information as assigned by CDIP QC routines, based on both the data type and sensor type (a Datawell directional Waverider).

The formatting and use of flags and other metadata, either through automated interoperability methods or human use, continue to evolve. Further information and training on metadata standards and other topics can be found on page 8 in the *Useful Links* section of this manual.

Table 4. Example shows a two-tier flagging scheme (courtesy of the SIO CDIP team).

Web	thredds.cdip.ucsd.edu/thredds/dodsC/cdip/archive/071p1/071p1_d17.nc.html
<p>✖ waveFlagPrimary: Array of 8 bit Bytes [waveTime = 0..22608] waveTime:0:1:22608</p> <pre> long_name: primary wave QC flag FillValue: -127 valid_min: 1 valid_max: 9 flag_values: 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 flag_meanings: good not_evaluated questionable bad missing reference: Ocean Data Standards, UNESCO 2013 - IOC Manuals and Guides, 54, Volume 3 Version 1 ancillary_variables: waveFlagSecondary </pre>	
<p>✖ waveFlagSecondary: Array of 8 bit Bytes [waveTime = 0..22608] waveTime:0:1:22608</p> <pre> long_name: secondary wave QC flag FillValue: -127 valid_min: 0 valid_max: 11 flag_values: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 flag_meanings: unspecified sensor_issues Hs_out_of_range Tp_out_of_range Ta_out_of_range elevated_check_factors Hs_spike Ta_spike low_freq_energy_spike excessive_low_freq_energy hf_transmission_errors_fixed hf_transmission_errors_present </pre>	

Application of Flags

QC flags provide necessary information to those who may use the data to make important decisions in real-time. The data and the metadata (including QC flag settings) provided in real-time should be archived exactly as they were delivered to users. Therefore, data records containing QC flags set in real-time should retain those flags permanently. Operators should have a high degree of confidence in the assigned QC flags. Post-processed records may yield a different finding, but these records should not overwrite the real-time records.

However, there are limited instances where it is acceptable to change a real-time flag. In some cases, QC tests operate on a data point that may be one or more cycles old. For example, a spike check might use data points at $N-2$ and N_0 to examine data point $N-1$. In this case, the flag for the QC test on the data point N_0 should be set to “2 *Not evaluated, not available, or unknown.*” After receipt of the subsequent data point, N_0 becomes $N-1$, the spike test can be applied, and the flag can be changed as necessary. Operators and users must understand that some tests operate over several data points, and the determination of primary flags must also take this into consideration. The situation highlights the importance of users (both machine-to-machine and end users) evaluating the QC flags for several time-steps backward.

Summary

There are a wide variety of applications for and users of real-time oceanographic data. The quality of those data is dependent upon many factors, including the ability to apply QC flags to real-time data streams. This QC flag manual has been compiled considering multiple QC flagging schemes that have been documented by various sources. The flagging standard suggested in early QARTOD manuals nearly matched the “Primary Level” scheme presented in IOC 54:V3. Therefore, QARTOD has accepted the IOC 54:V3 scheme as its data QC flag protocol.

Although content for this manual is drawn from many sources, it is primarily intended to support the existing QARTOD QC manuals—not to address all data flagging schemes. Guidance provided in this manual, like that in other QARTOD manuals, also considers that operators have different skill levels and resources with which to apply QC flags. Some operators already employ advanced flagging schemes, while others use basic thresholds to flag outlier data.

U.S. IOOS DMAC operators implementing QARTOD QC tests maintain a code repository at https://github.com/ioos/ioos_qc and https://ioos.github.io/ioos_qc/, where others may find or post examples of code in use. Such leveraging of developed code is strongly encouraged and appreciated.

Each QC manual is envisioned as a dynamic document and will be posted on the QARTOD website at <https://ioos.noaa.gov/project/qartod/>. This process allows for QC manual updates as technology development occurs for both upgrades of existing sensors and new sensors.

References

- Evans, M., French, G., and Bethem, T., 2003. Information Systems Branch PORTS Uniform Flat File Format (PUFFF) NOAA/NOS/CO-OPS, Silver Spring, Maryland. 32 pp.
<http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/publications/puff4.pdf>
- NOAA, 2009. Fifth Workshop on the QA/QC of Real-Time Oceanographic Data. November 16-19, 2009. 136 pp. Omni Hotel, Atlanta, Georgia.
https://cdn.ioos.noaa.gov/media/2019/05/QARTODVReport_Final2.pdf
- Paris. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, 2013. Ocean Data Standards, Vol.3: Recommendation for a Quality Flag Scheme for the Exchange of Oceanographic and Marine Meteorological Data. (IOC Manuals and Guides, 54, Vol. 3.) 12 pp. (English.)(IOC/2013/MG/54-3)
http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/oceanacidification/support/MG54_3.pdf
- Pouliquen, S., 2011. Recommendations for in-situ data Real Time Quality Control. 23 pp.
http://eurogoos.eu/download/reference_documents_/rtqc.pdf
- Schlitzer, R., 2013. Ocean Quality Flag Schemes and Mapping between Them, Version 1.4. Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany. 18 pp.
http://odv.awi.de/fileadmin/user_upload/odv/misc/ODV4_QualityFlagSets.pdf
- U.S. Integrated Ocean Observing System, 2016. Manual for Real-Time Quality Control of Water Level Data Version 2.0: A Guide to Quality Control and Quality Assurance of Water Level Observations. 46 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.7289/V5QC01Q7>
- U.S. Integrated Ocean Observing System, 2019. Manual for Real-Time Quality Control of In-Situ Surface Wave Data Version 2.1: A Guide to Quality Control and Quality Assurance of In-Situ Surface Wave Observations. 70 pp. <https://doi.org/10.25923/7yc5-vs69>

Useful Links

U.S. IOOS Website

<https://ioos.noaa.gov/project/qartod/>

Ocean Data Standards Pilot Project

<http://www.oceandatastandards.org/>

Hydrographic Data Formats

https://cchdo.github.io/hdo-assets/documentation/manuals/pdf/90_1/chap4.pdf

CF Conventions and Metadata

<http://cfconventions.org/>

Data Buoy Cooperation Panel Meta-T Pilot Project

<https://marinemetadata.org/community/teams/metat/introduction>

<http://www.jcommops.org/dbcp/data/metadata.html>

National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) Metadata Training

<https://www.ncddc.noaa.gov/metadata-standards/metadata-training/course-one/>

Introduction to Oceanographic Data Management

<http://classroom.oceanteacher.org/course/view.php?id=131>

Ocean Best Practices System

<https://obpsystem.org/>

Argo Quality Control Manual for CTD and Trajectory Data Version 3.3

<https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00228/33951/>

Appendix A. QARTOD Data QC Flag Manual Team

QARTOD Data QC Flag Manual Reviewers, Version 1.2	
Name	Organization
Jessica Austin	Axiom Data Science
Mark Bushnell	U.S. IOOS
Jorge Capella	CARICOOS
Mike Crowley	Rutgers University
Felimon Gayanilo	Texas A&M University
Corey Olfe	University of California San Diego
Teresa Updyke	Old Dominion University
Micah Wengren	U.S. IOOS
Darren Wright	University of California San Diego
U.S. IOOS Regional Associations, Version 1.2	
Name	Organization
Josie Quintrell	IOOS Association
Clarissa Anderson	SCCOOS
Jake Kritzer	NERACOOS
Debra Hernandez	SECOORA
Melissa Iwamoto	PacIOOS
Barbara Kirkpatrick	GCOOS
Gerhard Kuska	MARACOOS
Molly McCammon	AOOS
Julio Morell	CARICOOS
Jan Newton	NANOOS
Kelli Paige	GLOS
Henry Ruhl	CeNCOOS
QARTOD Board of Advisors, Version 1.2	
Name	Organization
Kathleen Bailey – Project Manager	U.S. IOOS
Julie Bosch	NOAA/National Centers for Environmental Information
Eugene Burger	NOAA/Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
Jennifer Dorton	SECOORA
Regina Easley	National Institute of Standards and Technology
Robert Heitsenrether	NOS/Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services
Jeff King	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Karen Grissom	NOAA/National Data Buoy Center
Mario Tamburri	University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science / Chesapeake Biological Laboratory
Julianna Thomas – BOA Chair	SCCOOS/Scripps Institution of Oceanography/Coastal Data Information Program (retired)
Christoph Waldmann	University of Bremen/MARUM

DMAC Community, Version 1.2	
Regional Associations	
AOOS Carol Janzen	GCOOS Bob Currier
CARICOOS Miguel Canals Roy Watlington	SECOORA Jennifer Dorton Filipe Pires Alvarenga Fernandes Abbey Wakely
Research Organizations	
Gulf of Maine Research Institute Eric Bridger	Scripps Institution of Oceanography Vicky Rowley
Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute Fred Bahr	Smithsonian Environmental Research Center Matthew Ogburn
Federal and State Agencies	
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Brian Zelenke Jonathan Blythe	Environmental Protection Agency Dwane Young
Great Lakes Commission Guan Wang	U.S. Geological Survey Abigail Benson Sky Bristol James Kreft Rich Signell
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	
Tim Boyer Mark Bushnell Gabrielle Canonico Kenneth Casey Lynn Dewitt Dave Easter Benjamin LaCour Tony Lavoie Frank Lodato	Jessica Morgan Kevin O'Brien Thomas Ryan Bob Simons Derrick Snowden Tiffany Vance Micah Wengren Bill Woodward

Academic Institutions, Version 1.2	
University of Maine	Bob Fleming
University of Maryland	Mario Tamburri
Dalhousie University	Lenore Bajona Brad Covey Richard Davis
University of Puerto Rico	Jorge Capella Juan Orlando Gonzalez Lopez
University of Hawaii	James T. Potemra
University of Washington	Emilio Mayorga
Texas A & M University	Felimon Gayanilo
Rutgers University	John Kerfoot Michael Vardaro
University of Tasmania	Peter Walsh
Private Industry	
LimnoTech	Kathy Koch Tad Slawecki
RPS Group	Ben Adams Robert Fratantonio Kelly Knee Brian McKenna
Axiom	Jessica Austin Rob Bochenek Stacey Buckelew Shane StClair Kyle Wilcox
Ocean Tracking Network	Jonathan Pye
GMRI	Alex Kerney

QARTOD Data QC Flag Manual Reviewers, Version 1.1	
Name	Organization
Mark Bushnell	U.S. IOOS
Luke Campbell	RPS Group
Emilio Mayorga	NANOOS/University of Washington
U.S. IOOS Regional Associations, Version 1.1	
Name	Organization
Josie Quintrell	IOOS Association
Clarissa Anderson	SCCOOS
Francisco Chavez	CeNCOOS
Debra Hernandez	SECOORA
Barbara Kirkpatrick	GCOOS
Gerhard Kuska	MARACOOS
Molly McCammon	AOOS
Julio Morell	CariCOOS
Ru Morrison	NERACOOS
Jan Newton	NANOOS
Melissa Iwamoto	PacIOOS
Kelli Paige	GLOS
QARTOD Board of Advisors, Version 1.1	
Name	Organization
Kathleen Bailey – Project Manager	U.S. IOOS
Julie Bosch	NOAA/National Centers for Environmental Information
Eugene Burger	NOAA/Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
Janet Fredericks	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Matt Howard	GCOOS/Texas A&M University
Bob Jensen	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Chris Paternostro	NOS/CO-OPS
Mario Tamburri	University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science / Chesapeake Biological Laboratory
Julie Thomas – BOA Chair	SCCOOS/Scripps Institution of Oceanography/Coastal Data Information Program

DMAC Community, Version 1.1	
Regional Associations	
AOOS Carol Janzen	GCOOS Bob Currier
CARICOOS Miguel Canals Roy Watlington	SECOORA Abbey Wakely Debra Hernandez Filipe Pires Alvarenga Fernandes
Research Organizations	
Gulf of Maine Research Institute Eric Bridger	Scripps Institution of Oceanography Darren Wright
Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute Aric Bickel Anderson David Fred Bahr	Smithsonian Environmental Research Center Matthew Ogburn
Federal and State Agencies	
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Brian Zelenke Jonathan Blythe	Environmental Protection Agency Dwane Young
Great Lakes Commission Guan Wang	
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	
Becky Baltés Bill Woodward Kenneth Casey Mark VanWaes Alexander Birger Bob Simons Byron Kilbourne Dave Easter Derrick Snowden Eric Johnson Frank Lodato Gabrielle Canonico Jack Harlan Jason Gedamke Jeff de La Beaujardiere Jenifer Rhoades	Jennifer Bosch Jennifer Patterson Jessica Morgan Kevin O'Brien Lynn Dewitt Mark Bushnell Mathew Biddle Micah Wengren Rita Adak Robert Bassett Samantha Simmons Thomas Ryan Tiffany Vance Tim Boyer Tony Lavoie Xiaoyan Li
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jeff Lillycrop	U.S. Geological Survey Abigail Benson James Kreft Rich Signell Sky Bristol

Academic Institutions, Version 1.1	
University of Maine	Bob Fleming
University of Maryland	Mario Tamburri
Dalhousie University	Brad Covey Lenore Bajona Richard Davis
University of Puerto Rico	Jorge Capella Juan Orlando Gonzalez Lopez Julio Morell
University of Hawaii	Chris Ostrander James T. Potemra Melissa Iwamoto
University of Washington	Emilio Mayorga
Texas A & M University	Felimon Gayanilo
Rutgers University	John Kerfoot Michael Vardaro
University of Tasmania	Peter Walsh
Private Industry	
LimnoTech	Kathy Koch Tad Slawecki
RPS Group	Kelly Knee Luke Campbell Melanie Gearon
Axiom	Kyle Wilcox Rob Bochenek Shane StClair
Animal Tracking Network	Jonathan Pye

Data QC Flag Manual Editors, Version 1.0	
Name	Organization
Mark Bushnell, Lead	CoastalObsTechServices LLC/CO-OPS
Ray Toll	Old Dominion University/NDBC
Helen Worthington	REMSA/CO-OPS
Data QC Flag Manual Reviewers/DMAC Committee, Version 1.0	
Name	Organization
Rob Bochenek	AOOS/Axiom Consulting & Design
Julie Bosch	NOAA/NCDDC
Eric Bridger	NERACOOS/GMRI
Jorge Capella	CariCOOS/University of Puerto Rico
Jeremy Cothran	SECOORA
Janet Fredericks	WHOI
Lisa Hazard	SCCOOS/Scripps Institute of Oceanography
Bob Heitsenrether	NOAA/CO-OPS
Matt Howard	GCOOS/Texas A&M University
Steven Le	CeNCOOS/SAIC
Emilio Mayorga	NANOOS/University of Washington
Jennifer Patterson	CeNCOOS/MBARI
Jim Potemra	PacIOOS/University of Hawaii
Rob Ragsdale	U.S. IOOS
Tad Slawecki	GLOS/LimnoTech
Derrick Snowden	U.S. IOOS
Shane StClair	AOOS/Axiom Consulting & Design
Vembu Subramanian	SECOORA
Julie Thomas	SIO/CDIP
Kyle Wilcox	MARACOOS/Applied Science Associates, Inc.
QARTOD Board of Advisors, Version 1.0	
Name	Organization
Joe Swaykos - Chair	NDBC
Derrick Snowden	U.S. IOOS
Julie Bosch	NOAA/NCDDC
Julie Thomas	SIO/CDIP
Derrick Snowden	US IOOS
Matt Howard	GCOOS
Chris Paternostro	NOAA/CO-OPS
Bob Jensen	USACE
Janet Fredericks	WHOI

U.S. IOOS Regional Associations, Version 1.0

Name	Organization
Josie Quintrell – Director	U.S. IOOS Association
Leslie Rosenfeld	CeNCOOS
Jan Newton	NANOOS
Debra Hernandez	SECOORA
Julie Thomas	SCCOOS
Ann Jochens	GCOOS
Gerhard Kuska	MARACOOS
Molly McCammon	AOOS
Ru Morrison	NERACOOS
Jorge Corridor	CariCOOS
Heather Kerkering	PacIOOS
Jen Read	GLOS