# On regular 2-path Hamiltonian graphs

Xia  $Li^a$ , Weihua Yang<sup>a</sup>, Bo Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Shuang Zhao<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanxi Normal University, Jinzhong 030600, China

November 10, 2023

Abstract: Kronk introduced the *l*-path hamiltonianicity of graphs in 1969. A graph is *l*-path Hamiltonian if every path of length not exceeding *l* is contained in a Hamiltonian cycle. We have shown that if P = uvz is a 2-path of a 2-connected, *k*-regular graph on at most 2k vertices and G - V(P) is connected, then there must exist a Hamiltonian cycle in *G* that contains the 2-path *P*. In this paper, we characterize a class of graphs that illustrate the sharpness of the bound 2k. Additionally, we show that by excluding the class of graphs, both 2-connected, *k*-regular graphs on at most 2k + 1 vertices and 3-connected, *k*-regular graphs on at most 3k - 6 vertices satisfy that there is a Hamiltonian cycle containing the 2-path *P* if  $G \setminus V(P)$  is connected.

Keywords: Hamiltonian cycle; *l*-path Hamiltonian; *k*-regular graph

### 1 Introduction

A Hamiltonian path (cycle) in a graph G is a path (cycle) containing all the vertices of G, and a graph with a Hamiltonian cycle is called Hamiltonian. A graph is Hamiltonconnected when every pair of distinct vertices is connected by a Hamiltonian path. The

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: ywh222@163.com; yangweihua@tyut.edu.cn (W. Yang).

existence of Hamiltonian cycles in 2-connected, k-regular graphs has been the subject of research in several publications [4, 2, 6, 16, 3, 10]. The findings of these studies indicate that all 2-connected, k-regular graphs on at most 3k + 4 vertices, except for two kinds of graphs which is not 3-connected, are Hamiltonian. In 1976, Häggkvist proposed the following conjecture .

**Conjecture 1** ([5]). For  $k \ge 4$ , every m-connected, k-regular graph on at most (m+1)k vertices is Hamiltonian.

The example constructed independently by Jackson and Jung (refer to [7]) provides evidence to refute Conjecture 1 for  $m \ge 4$ . However, there exists a remaining unresolved case when m = 3.

**Conjecture 2** (refer to [7]). For  $k \ge 4$ , every 3-connected, k-regular graph on at most 4k vertices is Hamiltonian.

Considerable progress has been made in investigating the existence of Hamiltonian cycles within 3-connected, k-regular graphs. Conjecture 2 has been resolved for significantly large graphs according to [9], but for a very large (albeit finite) number of cases it remains open. In addition, the proof provided in [9] is extensive and intricate, making a simpler proof highly desirable.

Another noteworthy subarea within Hamiltonian graph theory focuses on Hamiltonian cycles that contain specified elements of a graph. Examples include k-ordered Hamiltonian graphs [14, 13], edge-Hamiltonian graphs [11], and others. One of these directions is the study of l-path Hamiltonicity. A graph G on n vertices is said to be *l-path Hamiltonian* if every path of length not exceeding l,  $1 \leq l \leq n-2$ , is contained in a Hamiltonian cycle. Kronk in [8] proved that for a graph G on n vertices, if the sum of the degrees of every pair of non-adjacent vertices of G is at least n + l, where l is a positive integer, then G is *l*-path Hamiltonian. The idea of combining *l*-path Hamiltonicity with regular graphs comes from the following result proved by Li in [11].

**Theorem 3** ([11]). Let G be a 2-connected, k-regular graph on at most 3k-1 vertices, and let e = uv be any edge of G such that  $\{u, v\}$  is not a cut-set. Then G has a Hamiltonian cycle containing e.

Theorem 3 shows that a 2-connected, k-regular graph G on at most 3k - 1 vertices satisfying G - V(P) is connected for every path P of length 1 is 1-path Hamiltonian. Motivated by above result, Li and Yang in [12] proved the following result.

**Theorem 4** ([12]). Let G be a 2-connected, k-regular graph on at most 2k vertices, and let P = uvz be any path of G such that  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set. Then G has a Hamiltonian cycle containing P.

From Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, it can be deduced that if a 2-connected, k-regular graph G on at most 2k vertices has the property that the graph G - V(P) is connected for every path P of length at most 2, then G is 2-path Hamiltonian.

For positive integer  $q \ge k$ , we define that a class  $\mathscr{H}$  of graphs of path P = uvz is a 2connected, k-regular graph on 2q + 1 vertices, which contains two disjoint sets X and Y of vertices such that Y is independent, X contains  $\{u, v, z\}, |Y| = q, |X| = q + 1, N(Y) \subseteq X$ and v is adjacent to u and z. Figure 1 below illustrates a 2-connected, k-regular graph on 2k + 1 vertices belonging to  $\mathscr{H}$  with q = k = 4.



Figure 1

The existence of the graph class  $\mathscr{H}$  demonstrates that the upper bound 2k in Theorem 4 is sharp. Clearly, when k is odd, the class of graphs  $\mathscr{H}$  does not exist. Therefore, it is worthwhile to investigate whether the result in Theorem 4 can be strengthened by excluding the graph class  $\mathscr{H}$ . In this paper, we are going to prove the following.

**Theorem 5.** Let G be a 2-connected, k-regular graph on n vertices, and let P = uvz be any path of G such that  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set. If  $G \notin \mathscr{H}$  and  $n \leq 2k + 1$ , then G has a Hamiltonian cycle containing P.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 5.

**Corollary 6.** Let G be a 2-connected, k-regular graph on at most 2k + 1 vertices. If G - V(P) is connected for every path P of length at most 2 and  $G \notin \mathcal{H}$ , then G is 2-path Hamiltonian.

We present an illustrative example that demonstrates the sharpness of the bound in Corollary 6. Let  $H_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , be a graph which is obtained from  $K_{k+1}$  by deleting one edge  $e_i = a_i b_i$ . We can construct a 2-connected, k-regular graph G on 2k + 2 vertices from  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  by adding  $a_1a_2$  and  $b_1b_2$ . Notably, there is a 2-path in G that is not contained in any Hamiltonian cycle of G. Obviously, this example is not 3-connected graph. Is it possible to improve the result for 3-connected, k-regular graphs? In this paper, we also prove the following.

**Theorem 7.** Let G be a 3-connected, k-regular graph on n vertices, and let P = uvz be any path of G such that  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set. If  $G \notin \mathscr{H}$  and  $n \leq 3k - 6$ , then G has a Hamiltonian cycle containing P.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 7.

**Corollary 8.** Let G be a 3-connected, k-regular graph on at most 3k - 6 vertices. If G - V(P) is connected for every path P of length at most 2 and  $G \notin \mathcal{H}$ , then G is 2-path Hamiltonian.

The *L*-graph (as discussed in [11]) as a counterexample of Theorem 3 with 3k vertices is 3-connected for  $k \ge 6$ . Consequently, the bound provided in Corollary 8 is nearly optimal.

### 2 Notation and preliminaries

All graphs mentioned in this paper are finite simple graphs. For standard graph theory notation and terminology not explained in this paper, we refer the reader to [1]. Let G be a graph. |G| and  $\delta$  denote the number of vertices and the minimum degree of G, respectively. For  $x \in V(G)$  and  $S \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $N_G(x)$  denotes the neighbors of x in G,  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$  and  $d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$ . For a cycle C in G with a fixed orientation, and any two vertices x, y on C, we denote by  $x^+$  and  $x^-$  the following vertex and the preceding vertex of x according to the orientation of C, respectively. We define the segment C[x, y] to be the set of vertices on C from x to y (including x and y) according to the orientation and let  $C(x, y) = C[x, y] - \{x, y\}$ . Analogously, C[x, y) and C(x, y] are also defined. Let A be a set of vertices of G. An A-segment is a C[x, y] segment such that  $C[x, y] \cap A = \{x, y\}$ . We put  $x^{+2} = (x^+)^+ (x^{-2} = (x^-)^-)$  and  $x^{+i} = (x^{+(i-1)})^+$  $(x^{-i} = (x^{-(i-1)})^-)$ .

For a cycle C and any  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $E(A, B) = \{uv \in E(G) : u \in A, v \in B\}$  $(E'(A, B) = \{uv \in E(G) - E(C) : u \in A, v \in B\})$  and  $E(A) = \{uv \in E(G) : u, v \in A\}$  $(E'(A) = \{uv \in E(G) - E(C) : u, v \in A\})$ . Put e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|, e'(A, B) =|E'(A, B)|, e(A) = |E(A)| and e'(A) = |E'(A)|. For convenience, we often use a subgraph H of a graph G to denote its vertex set V(H) if no confusion arises. We shall use the following result.

**Theorem 9** ([7]). Let G be a connected graph such that for every longest path P in G, the sum of the degrees of the end-vertices of P is at least |P| + 1. Then G is Hamiltonconnected.

We first introduce an operation used during the proofs of Theorems 5 and Theorems 7. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices, and let P = uvz be a path of G. We define a new graph  $G_1$  by inserting two vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  on the edges  $e_1 = uv$  and  $e_2 = vz$ of P respectively. Then we have  $G_1 = (G - \{e_1, e_2\}) \cup \{w_1, w_2\} \cup \{uw_1, w_1v, vw_2, w_2z\},$  $P_1 = uw_1vw_2z$  and  $|G_1| = n_1 = n + 2$ . Clearly, if we want to prove that P is contained in a Hamiltonian cycle in G, it is sufficient to prove that  $G_1$  is Hamiltonian.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 5

From Theorem 4, we have that Theorem 5 holds for  $n \leq 2k$ . So we only need to consider the case n = 2k + 1. Let G be a 2-connected, k-regular graph on n = 2k + 1 vertices, and let P = uvz be a path of G such that  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set. After the operation in section 2, we have  $|G_1| = n_1 = 2k + 3$ . Suppose  $G_1$  is not Hamiltonian. Let C be a longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , such that the number of components of  $R = G_1 - C$ is as small as possible. Let r = |R| and  $C = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n_1 - r}$ . The subscripts of  $c_i$  will be reduced modulo  $n_1 - r$  throughout. Obviously, we have  $|C| = n_1 - r \ge 6$ .

Suppose R is an independent set, then let  $v_0$  be an isolated vertex in R. Put  $Y_0 = \emptyset$ , and for any  $j \ge 1$ ,  $X_j = N(Y_{j-1} \cup \{v_0\})$ ,  $Y_j = \{c_i \in V(C) : c_{i-1}, c_{i+1} \in X_j\}$ ,  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ ,  $Y = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} Y_j$ ,  $x = |X| \ge k$  and y = |Y|. By the hopping lemma ([15]), we have  $X \subset V(C)$ ,  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$  and X does not contain two consecutive vertices of C. Let  $S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_x$  be the sets of vertices contained in the open X-segment of C (the sets of vertices on C between X satisfying  $S_i \cap X = \emptyset$  for each i with  $1 \le i \le x$ ). Put  $\phi = \{S_i : |S_i| \ge 2, 1 \le i \le x\}$ . Then  $S_i = \{c_l, c_{l+1}, \cdots, c_m\} \in \phi$  is said to be  $\psi$ -connected to  $S_j = \{c_q, c_{q+1}, \cdots, c_z\} \in \phi$  if  $|S_i|$  is odd and  $c_q$  and  $c_z$  are both joined to  $c_{l+e}$  for all odd  $e, 1 \le e \le m - l - 1$ . Now,  $c_{l+1}, c_{l+3}, \cdots, c_{m-1}$  are called P-vertices of  $S_i$ . Set  $P = \{c_i \in V(C): c_i \text{ is a P-vertex of some } S_j \text{ which is } \psi$ -connected to some  $S_t$  of  $\phi\}$ , and p = |V(P)|. By the same proof as the case 1 in [12], we have the following inequality which is the inequality (4) in [12],

$$p+4 \leq (n_1 - 2x - k)(n_1 - 1 - 2x - p) + k - 2(r_1 - 1)(x - y - 1).$$
(1)

From the definition of P, we have  $p \leq \frac{n_1 - 1 - 2x}{2}$ , which implies  $n_1 - 1 - 2x - p \geq 2p - p \geq 0$ . And  $k \geq n_1 - 1 - 2x - p$  by  $n_1 = 2k + 3$  and  $x \geq k$ . So we have

$$p+4 \leq (n_1 - 2x - k + 1)k - 2(r_1 - 1)(x - y - 1).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

By the definitions of X and Y, we have  $x \ge y$ . Now, we claim  $x \ge y+1$ . Otherwise, when x = y, since  $d_{G_1}(w_1) = d_{G_1}(w_2) = 2$ , we have u, v, z belong to X and  $w_1, w_2$  belong to Y. If R contains at least two isolated vertices, then  $|Y \cup R| \ge y+2 = x+2$ . Because  $Y \cup R$  is an independent set and  $N(Y \cup R) \subseteq X$ , we have that there are at least ky+4 = kx+4 edges from  $Y \cup R$  to X, but X accepts at most kx edges, a contradiction. So, when x = y, R contains only one isolated vertex, which implies  $n_1 = 2x + 1$  and n = 2x - 1 = 2q + 1 for q = x - 1. By definition of  $\mathscr{H}$ , we have  $G \in \mathscr{H}$ , a contradiction. Therefore by (2), we have  $n_1 - 2x - k + 1 > 0$ , and then  $n_1 > 3k - 1 \ge 3k$ , which contradicts  $n_1 = 2k + 3$ .

Thus in the following proof, we assume that there exists a component H in R such that  $|H| \ge 2$ . For a path  $Q = q_1q_2\cdots q_g, g \ge 2$ , in H, let t(Q) denote the number of  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $c_i$  is joined to one of  $q_1$  and  $q_g$ ,  $c_j$  is joined to the other, and  $e(\{q_1, q_g\}, \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}) = 0$ . We say that Q satisfies the condition (\*) if  $t(Q) \ge 2$ ,  $N_C(\{q_1, q_g\}) \not\subset \{u, v, z\}$  and there is a  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $u, v, z, w_1$  and  $w_2 \notin \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}$ . Now, let H be the largest component of R and h = |H|. The rest of the proof of Theorem 5 is divided into two cases. We first consider the case of  $k \ge 6$ , and we prove it in the following two cases.

Case 1.  $2 \leq h \leq k$ .

**Claim 1.** For  $k \ge 6$ , if  $2 \le h \le k$ , then H is Hamilton-connected.

Proof. By contradiction, suppose H is not Hamilton-connected. From Theorem 9, we can choose a longest path  $Q = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_g, g \ge 2$ , in H, satisfying  $d_H(q_1) + d_H(q_g) \le |Q| = g$ . For any  $v \in V(H)$ , since  $h \le k$ , we have  $N_C(v) \ge 1$ . Let  $X = N_C(q_1) \cap N_C(q_g)$  and |X| = x.

First, we prove that  $N_C(q_1) = N_C(q_g)$ . Otherwise, without loss of generality assume that  $d_C(q_1) \leq d_C(q_g)$ . If  $d_C(q_1) = 1$ , we have  $d_C(q_g) \geq 2k - g - 1$  since  $d_C(q_1) + d_C(q_g) \geq 2k - g$ . Since C is the longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , we have that every  $N_C(q_g)$ -segment of C contains at least one interior vertex. Hence  $n_1 \geq |H| + |C| \geq g + 2d_C(q_g) \geq g + 2(2k - g - 1) = 4k - g - 2 \geq 3k - 2$ , a contradiction. Thus, we have  $2 \leq d_C(q_1) \leq d_C(q_g)$ . It is easy to prove that  $t(Q) \geq 2$  and  $x + 1 \leq t(Q)$ . Since  $N_C(\{q_1, q_g\}) \cup (N_C(\{q_1, q_g\}))^+ \cup H \subseteq V(G_1)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} n_1 &\ge |C| + |H| \ge |H| + 2|N_C(\{q_1, q_g\})| + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1) \\ &\ge g + 2[d_C(q_1) + d_C(q_g)] - 2|N_C(q_1) \cap N_C(q_g)| + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1) \\ &\ge g + 2(2k - g) - 2x + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1) \\ &\ge 4k - g - 2(x + 1) + 2 + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1) \\ &\ge 4k - g - 2t(Q) + 2 + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1) \\ &\ge 3k - 2 + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 3). \end{split}$$

Since H is not Hamilton-connected, we have  $g \ge 3$ . This implies  $(t(Q) - 2)(g - 3) \ge 0$ . Therefore, we have  $n_1 \ge 3k - 2$ , a contradiction.

From the above discussion, we have  $N_C(q_1) = N_C(q_g) = X$  and  $t(Q) = x = d_C(q_1) = d_C(q_g) \ge k - \frac{g}{2} \ge \frac{k}{2} \ge 3$ . Then

$$n_1 \ge |C| + |H| \ge (t(Q) - 2)g + 2 + t(Q) + h \ge (x - 2)g + 2 + x + g$$
$$\ge (x - 1)(g + 1) + 3 \ge (k - \frac{g}{2} - 1)(g + 1) + 3.$$

Since  $f(g) = (k - \frac{g}{2} - 1)(g + 1) + 3$  is a concave function of  $g, 3 \leq g \leq k$ , we have f(3) = 4k - 7 > 2k + 3 and  $f(k) = \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + 2 > 2k + 3$  when  $k \ge 6$ . Hence f(g) > 2k + 3, a contradiction.

#### **Subcase 1.1**. h = k.

If there is a  $N_C(H)$ -segment  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $u, v, z, w_1$  and  $w_2 \notin \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}$ , we have  $|C(c_i, c_j)| \ge h$  and  $n_1 \ge |H| + |C| \ge |H| + |C(c_i, c_j)| + |\{v, w_1, w_2, c_i, c_j\}| \ge h + h + 5 = 2k + 5$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $|N_C(H)| = 2$  and  $v \in N_C(H)$ . Let  $X = N_C(H)$ . Since h = k, for any  $v_i \in H$ ,  $i \in \{1, \cdots, h\}$ , we have  $|N_C(v_i)| \ge 1$ . So  $e(H, X) \ge k$ . Because  $|G_1 - H - X| = n_1 - k - 2 = 2k + 3 - k - 2 = k + 1$ , we have

$$e(G_1 - H - X) = e(G_1 - H - X - \{w_1, w_2\}) + e(G_1 - H - X, \{w_1, w_2\}) \leqslant \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} + 2.$$

Since G is a k-regular graph, we have

$$e(G_1 - H - X, X) = k|G_1 - H - X - \{w_1, w_2\}| + 4 - 2e(G_1 - H - X) - e(G_1 - H - X, H).$$

Since  $e(G_1 - H - X, H) = 0$ , we have

$$e(G_1 - H - X, X) \ge k(k-1) + 4 - 2(\frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} + 2) = 2k - 2 \ge k + 1.$$

On the other hand  $e(G_1 - H - X, X) \leq e(G_1, X) - e(H, X) \leq 2k - k = k$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2.  $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq h \leq k-1$ .

Since H is Hamilton-connected, it is easy to deduce that there exists a Hamiltonian path Q in H such that Q satisfies (\*). By a similar proof to Lemma 6 in [12], we have the following claim.

#### **Claim 2.** There exists a Hamiltonian path Q in H such that $t(Q) \ge 3$ .

Since  $t(Q) \ge 3$ , there is a  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $u, v, z, w_1$  and  $w_2 \notin \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}$ . Then there exists either  $c_i^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$  or  $c_j^+ \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $c_i^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Since C is a longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , we have  $N_{G_1}(c_i^-) \cap [H \cup c_{j-1}, c_{j-2}, \cdots, c_{j-h} \cup \{c_i^-\}] = \emptyset$ . And there are at least two of  $\{v, w_1, w_2\}$  which can not be adjacent to  $c_i^-$ . This implies  $d_{G_1}(c_i^-) \le 2k + 3 - (h + h + 3) \le k - 1$ , a contradiction to  $d_{G_1}(c_i^-) = k$ .

Subcase 1.3.  $2 \leq h \leq \frac{k}{2}$ .

For any  $v \in V(H)$ , since  $2 \leq h \leq \frac{k}{2}$ , we have  $N_C(v) \geq k - h + 1 \geq k - \frac{k}{2} + 1 \geq 4$ . So  $N_C(H) \geq k - h + 1$ . Let  $a \in V(H)$  and  $N_C(a) = A$ .

Claim 3. For any A-segment  $C[c_i, c_j]$  satisfying  $C[c_i, c_j] \cap \{w_1, w_2\} = \emptyset$ , we have  $C[c_i, c_j] \cap N_C((V(H) - a)) \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. Let  $N_C((V(H) - a)) = S$ . Suppose that there is an A-segment  $C[c_i, c_j]$  satisfying  $C[c_i, c_j] \cap [\{w_1, w_2\} \cup S] = \emptyset$ . Let  $S = \{c_{r_1}, c_{r_2}, \cdots, c_{r_s}\}$ , where  $c_{r_1}$  and  $c_{r_s}$  are the closest vertices to  $c_j$  and  $c_i$  in S, respectively. Clearly,  $|S| = s \ge k - h + 1 \ge 4$ .

Therefore, there is at least one segment of  $C[c_j, c_{r_1}]$  and  $C[c_{r_s}, c_i]$  which does not contain  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . Without loss of generality, let  $w_1$ ,  $w_2 \notin C[c_{r_s}, c_i]$ . Obviously, we have  $N_C(c_i^+) \cap [H \cup \{c_{r_s+1}, c_{r_s+2}, \cdots, c_{r_s+h}, w_1, w_2, c_i^+\}] = \emptyset$ . And for any  $C[c_{r_i}, c_{r_{i+1}}]$  satisfying  $C[c_{r_i}, c_{r_{i+1}}] \cap \{w_1, w_2\} = \emptyset$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, s-1\}$ , we have  $N_C(c_i^+) \cap \{c_{r_i+1}, c_{r_i+2}\} = \emptyset$ . This implies

$$d_{G_1}(c_i^+) \leq 2k+3 - [h+2(s-2-1)+h+3]$$
  
$$\leq 2k+3 - [h+2(k-h+1-2-1)+h+3] \leq 4,$$

a contradiction.

By Claim 3, we have

$$|C| \ge |A| + (|A| - 2)h + 2 \ge (k - h + 1) + (k - h + 1 - 2)h + 2$$
(3)

and  $n_1 \ge |C| + |H| \ge (k - h + 1) + (k - h + 1 - 2)h + 2 + h = k + 3 + (k - h - 1)h.$ 

Put g(h) = k + 3 + (k - h - 1)h. For  $k \ge 7$ , since g(h) is a concave function of h with g(2) = 3k - 3 > 2k + 3 and  $g(\frac{k}{2}) = \frac{k^2}{4} + \frac{k}{2} + 3 > 2k + 3$ . Hence g(h) > 2k + 3, a contradiction. When k = 6 and  $n_1 = 15$ , there are two cases where h = 2 and h = 3 to consider as follows.

Case (a): h = 2. By (3), we have  $13 = n_1 - h \ge |C| \ge (k - h + 1) + (k - h + 1 - 2)h + 2 = 13$ . 13. So R has only one component H and |C| = |A| + (|A| - 2)h + 2 = 13. Since C is a longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , we have  $N_C(v_i) = N_C(v_j), i \ne j$ , for any  $v_i \in H, i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $N_C(H) = X$  and  $Z = X^+ \cup X^-$ . Clearly, we have  $u, v, z \in X$  and e'(Z) = 0, otherwise, there exists a longer cycle containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . Since e(Z, H) = 0, |X| = 5 and |Z| = 8, we have  $e(Z, X) = (6 - 1) \times 6 + 4 = 34$ . However,  $e(Z, X) \le k|X| - e(H, X) \le 20$ , a contradiction.

Case (b): h = 3. It is similar to case (a) above.

The following figure 2 shows the edges between H and C in the above two cases.





Case 2.  $h \ge k+1$ .

By the assumption of connectivity and as  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set, there exists  $x'' \in N_C(H)$ , such that  $x''^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . It is clear that  $N_{G_1}(x''^-) \cap H = \emptyset$ , and at least two of  $\{v, w_1, w_2\}$  cannot be adjacent to  $x''^-$ . It follows that  $d_{G_1}(x''^-) \leq 2k+3-(k+1)-2-1 = k-1$ , a contradiction.

These contradictions complete our proof for  $k \ge 6$ . We next discuss the case of  $3 \le k \le 5$ . Since 2k + 1 is odd, we only need to discuss the cases of k = 4. When k = 4, we have  $n_1 = 11$ . Since  $|C| \ge 6$ , we consider the following four cases. It is worth noting that we have already proved the case when R is an independent set in the preceding paragraph. Now, we first prove a simple claim.

Claim 4. When k = 4, if R contains an edge  $v_0v_1$  and  $|C| \leq 9$ , then  $N_C(v_0) = N_C(v_1)$ .

Proof. By contradiction. Let  $Q = v_0 v_1$ . Suppose  $N_C(v_0) \neq N_C(v_1)$ , and without loss of generality,  $|N_C(v_0) - N_C(v_1)| = 1$ . Since G is a 4-regular graph, we have  $|N_C(v_0) \cup N_C(v_1)| = 4$  and t(Q) = 4. Since C is a longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , we have  $|C| \geq |N_C(v_0) \cup N_C(v_1)| + 2(t(Q) - 2) + 2 \geq 10$ , a contradiction.

Case (a): |V(C)| = 9. Let  $C = uw_1vw_2zx_1x_2x_3x_4$ . Then R is an edge  $v_0v_1$ . By Claim 4

, we have  $N_C(v_0) = N_C(v_1)$ . By assumption and symmetry, we have  $N_C(R) = \{v, z, x_3\}$ ,  $N_C(R) = \{v, z, x_4\}$ , or  $N_C(R) = \{x_1, x_4, v\}$ . If  $N_C(R) = \{x_1, x_4, v\}$ , we have  $x_2u \notin E(G_1)$ , otherwise, there is a Hamiltonian cycle  $C' = v_0 x_1 z w_2 v w_1 u x_2 x_3 x_4 v_1$ . So we have  $d_{G_1}(x_2) \leq 3$ , a contradiction. The proofs for  $N_C(R) = \{v, z, x_3\}$  and  $N_C(R) = \{v, z, x_4\}$  are similar.

Case (b): |V(C)| = 8. Let  $C = uw_1 v w_2 z x_1 x_2 x_3$ .

Subcase (b1): R consists of an edge  $v_0v_1$  and an isolated vertex  $v_2$ . By Claim 4, we have  $N_C(v_0) = N_C(v_1)$ . By assumption and symmetry, we have  $N_C(v_0) = N_C(v_1) = \{v, z, x_3\}$ . Since  $v \in N_C(v_2)$ , we have  $d_{G_1}(v) \ge 5$ , a contradiction.

Subcase (b2): R contains only one connected component H which has three vertices. Since G is a 4-regular graph, we have  $d_C(H) \ge 6$  and  $|N_C(H)| \ge 3$ . By assumption and symmetry, we have  $\{v, z, x_2\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ ,  $\{v, z, x_3\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ ,  $\{u, z, x_2\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ or  $\{v, x_1, x_3\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ . If  $\{v, z, x_2\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ , we have  $x_1, x_3 \notin N_C(H)$ . We claim  $x_1x_3 \notin E(G_1)$ , otherwise, there is a longer cycle  $C' = Hzw_2vw_1ux_3x_1x_2$  containing  $w_1$ and  $w_2$ . Since G is a 4-regular graph, we have  $v \in N_{G_1}(x_1)$  and  $v \in N_{G_1}(x_3)$ ,  $d_{G_1}(v) \ge 5$ , a contradiction. The proofs for the other three cases are similar.

Case (c): |V(C)| = 7. Let  $C = uw_1vw_2zx_1x_2$ . Clearly, there cannot have isolated vertex in R.

Subcase (c1): R consists of two edges  $v_0v_1$  and  $v_2v_3$  that are not in the same component. By Claim 4, we have  $v \in N_C(v_i)$ , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, implying  $d_{G_1}(v) \ge 6$ , a contradiction.

Subcase (c2): R contains only one connected component H which has four vertices. Since G is a 4-regular graph, we have  $d_C(H) \ge 4$  and  $|N_C(H)| \ge 2$ . By assumption and symmetry, we have  $\{v, x_1\} \subseteq N_C(H)$  or  $\{z, x_2\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ . If  $\{v, x_1\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ , we have  $z, x_2 \notin N_C(H)$ . Since G is a 4-regular graph, we have  $vx_2, zx_2 \in E(G_1)$ . So v can accept at most 1 edge from H. Then  $N_C(H) \ge 3$  and  $u \in N_C(H)$ . There is a longer cycle  $C' = Huw_1vw_2zx_2x_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , a contradiction. The proof for  $\{z, x_2\} \subseteq N_C(H)$  is similar. Case (d): |V(C)| = 6. Let  $C = uw_1vw_2zx_1$ . Clearly, there is no component H in R such that |H| = 1 or |H| = 2. So R contains only one connected component H which has five vertices. Obviously, there exist two consecutive vertices of  $\{u, z, x_1\}$  which are adjacent to H, a contradiction.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 7

Let G be a 3-connected, k-regular graph on  $n \leq 3k - 6$  vertices, and let P = uvz be a path of G such that  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set. After the operation in section 2, we have  $|G_1| = n_1 \leq 3k - 4$ . From Theorem 4, we have  $3k - 6 \geq 2k + 1$ , so,  $k \geq 7$ . Suppose  $G_1$  is not Hamiltonian. Let C be a longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , such that the number of components of  $R = G_1 - C$  is as small as possible. Let r = |R|and  $C = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n_1 - r}$ . The subscripts of  $c_i$  will be reduced modulo  $n_1 - r$  throughout. Obviously, we have  $|C| = n_1 - r \geq 7$ .

When R is an independent set, by the same proof as in section 3, we get  $n_1 \ge 3k$ , which contradicts  $n_1 \le 3k - 4$ . Thus in the following proof, we assume that there exists a component H in R such that  $|H| \ge 2$ . For a path  $Q = q_1q_2 \cdots q_g, g \ge 2$ , in H, let t(Q) denote the number of  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $c_i$  is joined to one of  $q_1$  and  $q_g$ ,  $c_j$  is joined to the other, and  $e(\{q_1, q_g\}, \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}) = 0$ . We say that Q satisfies the condition (\*) if  $t(Q) \ge 2$ ,  $N_C(\{q_1, q_g\}) \not\subset \{u, v, z\}$  and there is a  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $u, v, z, w_1$  and  $w_2 \notin \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}$ . Now, let H be the largest component of R and h = |H|. Consider the following three cases.

Case 1.  $2 \leq h \leq k$ .

By the same proof as in claim 1, if H is not Hamilton-connected, we have  $n_1 \ge (k - \frac{g}{2} - 1)(g + 1) + 3$ . Since  $f(g) = (k - \frac{g}{2} - 1)(g + 1) + 3$  is a concave function of g,  $3 \le g \le k$ , we have f(3) = 4k - 7 > 3k - 4 and  $f(k) = \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + 2 > 3k - 4$  when  $k \ge 7$ .

Hence f(g) > 3k - 4, a contradiction. Then H is Hamilton-connected.

Let  $M = \{r_i x_i \in E(G_1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, r_i \in V(H), x_i \in V(C)\}$  be a maximum matching in  $E_{G_1}(H, C)$  and m = |M|, then  $m \ge 3$  since G is 3-connected. In order to prove case 1, we next consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 1.1.  $k - 2 \leq h \leq k$ .

We claim m = 3. Otherwise, suppose  $m \ge 4$ , we must have  $n_1 \ge |H| + |C| \ge h + m + (m-2)h + 2 \ge h + 2 + 2h + 4 = 3h + 6 \ge 3(k-2) + 6 = 3k$ , a contradiction.

Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  be in this order on C. Since  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set, without loss of generality, let  $x_3 \notin \{u, w_1, v, w_2, z\}$  and  $x_3^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}, x_3^+ \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Then we have either  $C[x_3, x_1]$  or  $C[x_2, x_3]$  which does not contain  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . When  $w_1, w_2 \notin C[x_3, x_1]$ , since C is a longest cycle of  $G_1$  containing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , we have

$$N_C(x_3^-) \cap \left[ H \cup \left\{ x_1^-, x_1^{-2} \cdots, x_1^{-h} \right\} \cup x_3^- \right] = \emptyset.$$

And there are at least two of  $\{v, w_1, w_2\}$  which can not be adjacent to  $x_3^-$ . It follows that

$$d_{G_1}(x_3^-) \leqslant 3k - 4 - 2h - 3 \leqslant 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3k - 3k - 2(k - 2) - 3(k - 2) -$$

this contradicts  $d_{G_1}(x_3^-) = k$ . When  $w_1, w_2 \notin C[x_2, x_3]$ , we have

$$N_C(x_3^+) \cap \left[ H \cup \left\{ x_2^+, x_2^{+2} \cdots, x_2^{+h} \right\} \cup x_3^+ \right] = \emptyset.$$

And there are at least two of  $\{v, w_1, w_2\}$  which can not be adjacent to  $x_3^+$ . It follows that

$$d_{G_1}(x_3^+) \leqslant 3k - 4 - 2h - 3 \leqslant 3k - 4 - 2(k - 2) - 3 = k - 3,$$

this contradicts  $d_{G_1}(x_3^+) = k$ .

Subcase 1.2.  $2 \leq h \leq k - 3$ .

For any  $v \in V(H)$ , since  $2 \leq h \leq k-3$ , we have  $N_C(v) \geq k-h+1 \geq 4$ . By a similar proof as in Case 1.3, we have  $n_1 \geq |C| + |H| \geq k+3 + (k-h-1)h$ . Put g(h) =

k+3+(k-h-1)h. Since g(h) is a concave function of h with g(2) = 3k-3 = g(k-3). Hence g(h) > 3k-4, a contradiction.

Case 2.  $k+1 \leq h \leq 2k-7$ .

Let  $Q = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_g$  be a path in H, which is chosen as long as possible such that Q satisfies the condition (\*) (note that we are assuming 3-connectedness and that  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set). Put  $A = N_C(q_1)$  and  $B = N_C(q_g)$ .

Claim 5.  $2 \leq g \leq k - 8$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $g \ge k - 7$ . By the definition of the condition (\*), there is a  $C[c_i, c_j]$  such that  $u, v, z, w_1$  and  $w_2 \notin \{c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \cdots, c_{j-1}\}$ . By the assumption of 3-connectedness and as  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set, we have either  $c_i^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$  or  $c_j^+ \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $c_i^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . We have  $N_C(c_i^-) \cap \{H \cup \{c_i^-, c_{j-1}, c_{j-2}, \cdots, c_{j-g}\}\} = \emptyset$ , and at least two of  $\{v, w_1, w_2\}$  cannot be adjacent to  $c_i^-$ . Thus

$$d_{G_1}(c_i^-) \leqslant 3k - 4 - [h + g + 2 + 1] \leqslant 3k - 4 - (k + 1) - (k - 7) - 2 - 1 \leqslant k - 1.$$

This contradicts that  $d_{G_1}(c_i^-) = k$ .

Claim 6. Q is a maximal path in H satisfying (\*).

*Proof.* Suppose that Q is not a maximal path in H.

Let  $Q' = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_s q_1 q_2 \cdots q_g q_{g+1} \cdots q_e$  be a maximal path in H containing Q. Without loss of generality, we assume  $s \ge 1$ . From the definition of Q, we have  $N_C(b_1) \le 3$ , which implies  $N_H(b_1) \ge k-3$ .

When  $N_H(b_1) \cap \{q_2, q_3, \cdots, q_e\} \neq \emptyset$ , one of the following three cases occurs.

(1).  $N_H(b_1) \cap \{q_{g+1}, q_{g+2}, \cdots, q_e\} = \emptyset.$ 

(2). 
$$N_H(b_1) \cap \{q_2, q_3, \cdots, q_{g-1}\} = \emptyset.$$

(3).  $N_H(b_1) \cap \{q_{g+1}, q_{g+2}, \cdots, q_e\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $N_H(b_1) \cap \{q_2, q_3, \cdots, q_{g-1}\} \neq \emptyset$ .

In (1), set  $i = min\{j \ge 2 : b_1q_j \in E(G_1)\}$ . Let  $Q'' = q_1b_sb_{s-1}\cdots b_1q_iq_{i+1}\cdots q_g$ . In (2), set  $j = max\{d \ge g : b_1q_d \in E(G_1)\}$ . Let  $Q'' = q_1b_sb_{s-1}\cdots b_1q_jq_{j-1}\cdots q_g$ . In both (1) and (2), since  $N_H(b_1) \cup \{b_1\} \subseteq Q''$ , then  $g \ge |V(Q'')| \ge k-2$ , a contradiction to Claim 5.

In (3), set

$$l_{1} = \min\{j : 2 \leq j \leq g - 1, b_{1}q_{j} \in E(G_{1})\},\$$
$$l_{2} = \max\{j : 2 \leq j \leq g - 1, b_{1}q_{j} \in E(G_{1})\},\$$

and

$$l_3 = max\{j : b_1q_j \in E(G_1)\}.$$

Let  $Q^* = q_1 b_s b_{s-1} \cdots b_1 q_{l_1} q_{l_1+1} \cdots q_g$  and  $Q^{**} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l_2} b_1 q_{l_3} q_{l_3-1} \cdots q_g$ . Because  $g \ge max\{|V(Q^*)|, |V(Q^{**})|\}$ , we have  $l_1 - 2 \ge s$  and  $g - 1 - l_2 \ge l_3 - g + 1$ , which implies  $g \ge (l_2 - l_1 + 1) + s + l_3 - g + 1 + 2$ . So

$$g \ge 1 + |\{b_1, \cdots, b_s\} \cup \{q_1, q_g\} \cup \{q_{l_1}, q_{l_1+1}, \cdots, q_{l_2}\} \cup \{q_{g+1}, \cdots, q_{l_3}\}|$$
$$\ge 1 + |N_H(b_1) \cup \{b_1\}| \ge k - 1,$$

a contradiction to Claim 5.

A similar argument holds if  $N_H(q_e) \cap \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{g-1}\} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus it is enough for completing our proof to discuss the following two cases.

(a). 
$$e > g$$
,  $N_H(b_1) \subseteq \{b_2, b_3, \cdots, b_s, q_1\}$  and  $N_H(q_e) \subseteq \{q_g, q_{g+1}, \cdots, q_{e-1}\}$   
(b).  $e = g$ ,  $N_H(b_1) \subseteq \{b_2, b_3, \cdots, b_s, q_1\}$ .

In case (a), since  $|N_C(b_1)| \leq 3$ , we have  $|\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}, q_1| \geq |N_H(b_1) \cup \{b_1\}| \geq k-2$ . Similarly  $|\{q_g, \dots, q_{e-1}\}, q_e| \geq |N_H(q_e) \cup \{q_e\}| \geq k-2$ . Then we have  $|H| \geq |N_H(b_1) \cup \{b_1\}| + |N_H(q_e) \cup \{q_e\}| \geq 2k-4$ . This contradicts  $k+1 \leq h \leq 2k-7$ .

In case (b), denote  $q_1 = b_{s+1}$ . Let  $i = max\{j : b_1b_j \in E(G_1)\}$ . We claim that  $N_C(b_l) = \{v\}$  and  $N_H(b_l) \cap \{q_2, q_3, \cdots, q_g\} = \emptyset$ , for any  $1 \leq l \leq i-1$ . Otherwise, let  $d = min\{j : j > l \text{ and } b_1b_j \in E(G_1)\}$ . When  $|N_C(b_l)| \geq 2$ , either  $b_lb_{l-1}\cdots b_1b_db_{d+1}\cdots b_sq_1$ 

or  $b_l b_{l-1} \cdots b_1 b_d b_{d+1} \cdots b_s q_1 q_2 \cdots q_g$  is a path that satisfies (\*) and is longer than Q, a contradiction. When  $q_f \in N_H(b_l)$  for some  $2 \leq f \leq g$ , then

$$Q''' = q_1 b_s b_{s-1} \cdots b_d b_1 b_2 \cdots b_l q_f q_{f+1} \cdots q_g$$

is the path that satisfies (\*) and is longer than Q, a contradiction.

Since  $|Q'| \ge |N_H(b_1)| + |N_H(q_g)| + 2 - 1$ , we have

$$n_{1} \ge |Q'| + |C|$$
  

$$\ge |N_{H}(b_{1})| + k - |B| + 1 + |B| + (|B| - 1 + g)$$
  

$$\ge |N_{H}(b_{1})| + k + |B| + g$$
  

$$\ge 2k - 1 + |B| + g.$$

So  $g \leq 3k-4-2k+1-|B| < k-|B| = |N_H(q_g)|$ . It follows that  $N_H(q_g) \cap \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $|N_C(q_2)| \leq 3$  as there is a path in H with at least g+1 vertices connecting  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , a contradiction. Thus we have  $|H| \geq |N_H(b_1)| + |N_H(q_2)| + 1 \geq k-1+k-3+1 \geq 2k-3$ , a contradiction.

Г	-	-	_
н			. 1

#### Claim 7. $t(Q) \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* From Claim 5 and Claim 6, we have  $|A| \ge 9$  and  $|B| \ge 9$ . Suppose t(Q) = 2. There is only one case:  $A = \{c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \cdots, c_{i_s}\}$  and  $B = \{c_{j_1}, c_{j_2}, \cdots, c_{j_l}\}$  such that  $s \ge 9, l \ge 9$  and  $\{c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \cdots, c_{i_s}\} \cap \{c_{j_1}, c_{j_2}, \cdots, c_{j_l}\} = \emptyset$ .

There is at least one segment of  $C[c_{j_l}, c_{i_1}]$  and  $C[c_{i_s}, c_{j_1}]$  which does not contain  $w_1, w_2$ . Without loss of generality, let  $w_1, w_2 \notin C[c_{j_l}, c_{i_1}]$ , then there exists some  $c_z \in A^+$  satisfying  $N_C(c_z) \cap [H \cup \{w_1, w_2, c_z, c_{j_{l+1}}, c_{j_{l+2}}, \cdots, c_{j_{l+g}}\}] = \emptyset$ . And for any  $C[c_{j_f}, c_{j_{f+1}}]$  such that  $C[c_{j_f}, c_{j_{f+1}}] \cap \{w_1, w_2\} = \emptyset, f = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1$ , we have  $N_C(c_z) \cap \{c_{j_f+1}, c_{j_f+2}\} = \emptyset$ . This implies

$$d_{G_1}(c_z) \leq 3k - 4 - [h + g + 2(l - 1 - 2) + 3] \leq 3k - 1 - 2(g + l) \leq k - 3,$$

a contradiction (note that  $g + l \ge k + 1$ ).

In fact, we have  $t(Q) \ge 4$ . Otherwise, suppose t(Q) = 3, We only have to consider one case:  $A \cap B = \{c_m\}, A \setminus \{c_m\} = \{c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \cdots, c_{i_s}\}$  and  $B \setminus \{c_m\} = \{c_{j_1}, c_{j_2}, \cdots, c_{j_l}\}$ such that  $s \ge 8, l \ge 8$  and  $\{c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \cdots, c_{i_s}\} \cap \{c_{j_1}, c_{j_2}, \cdots, c_{j_l}\} = \emptyset$ . It is worth noting that in this case,  $g + l \ge k$ . By a similar proof as in Claim 7, we have that there exists some  $c_z \in A^+$  or  $c_z \in B^+$  such that  $d_{G_1}(c_z) \le 3k - 4 - [h + g + 2(l - 1 - 2) + 3] \le 3k - 1 - 2(g + l) \le k - 1$ , a contradiction. Since  $N_C(\{q_1, q_g\}) \cup (N_C(\{q_1, q_g\}))^+ \cup H \subseteq V(G_1)$ , we have

$$n_1 \ge |C| + |H|$$
  

$$\ge |H| + 2|N_C(\{q_1, q_g\})| + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1)$$
  

$$\ge h + 2(k - g + 1) + (t(Q) - 2)(g - 1)$$
  

$$\ge 3k + 1 + (t(Q) - 4)(g - 1).$$

Because of  $n_1 \leq 3k - 4$ , we have  $-5 \geq (t(Q) - 4)(g - 1)$ , a contradiction to  $t(Q) \geq 4$  and  $g \geq 2$ .

Case 3.  $h \ge 2k - 6$ .

Since  $G_1$  is a 3-connected graph and  $\{u, v, z\}$  is not a cut-set, there exists a vertex  $x' \in N_C(H)$ , such that  $x'^- \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$ . It is clear that  $N_{G_1}(x'^-) \cap H = \emptyset$ , and at least two of  $\{v, w_1, w_2\}$  cannot be adjacent to  $x'^-$ . It follows that

$$d_{G_1}(x'^{-}) \leqslant 3k - 4 - (2k - 6) - 2 - 1 = k - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 7.

### 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we characterize a class of graphs that illustrate the sharpness of the bound 2k in Theorem 4. By excluding these particular graphs, we are able to enhance the result and

18

establish that the bound is in fact 2k + 1 for 2-connected, k-regular graphs and 3k - 6 for 3-connected, k-regular graphs. The problem of regular 3-connected 2-path Hamiltonian graphs with n vertices remains intriguing whenever  $3k - 5 \leq n \leq 3k - 1$ . Naturally, we may inquire whether any interesting properties can be observed in regular m-connected graphs for  $m \geq 4$ ? The resolution of the aforementioned question is necessarily relevant to the study of the Hamiltonicity and edge-Hamiltonicity of regular graphs. However, it should be noted that the existence of an L-graph (as illustrated in [11]) presents a counterexample, showcasing higher connectivity that prevents the realization of edge-Hamiltonicity in regular graphs. As a result, we establish 3k - 1 as an upper bound for achieving the optimum outcome.

### References

- J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Macmillan, London, 1976.
- [2] B. Bollobás, A.M. Hobbs, Hamiltonian cycles in regular graphs, in: Advances in Graph Theory, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, M. Kouider, Hamilton cycles in regular 2-connected graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B 44 (1988) 177–186.
- [4] P. Erdös, A.M. Hobbs, Hamiltonian cycles in regular graphs of moderate degree, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B 23 (1977) 139–142.
- [5] R. Häggkvist, Unsolved problems, in: Proceedings of the Fifth Hungarian Colloquim on Combinatorics, 1976.
- [6] B. Jackson, Hamilton cycles in regular 2-connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 29 (1980) 27–46.

- B. Jackson, H. Li, Y. Zhu, Dominating cycles in regular 3-connected graphs, Discrete Mathematics 102 (1991) 163–176.
- [8] H. V. Kronk, A note on k-path Hamiltonian graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 7 (1969) 104–106.
- [9] D. Kühn, A. Lo, D. Osthus, K. Staden, Solution to a problem of Bollobás and Häggkvist on Hamilton cycles in regular graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B 121 (2016) 85–145.
- [10] H. Li, Hamiltonian cycles and circumferences in graphs, Ph.D. Dissertation, Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica 1986.
- [11] H. Li, Edge-Hamiltonian property in regular 2-connected graphs, Discrete Mathematics 82 (1990) 25–34.
- [12] X. Li, W. Yang, On regular 2-connected 2-path Hamiltonian graphs, Discrete Mathematics 346 (2023) 113468.
- [13] R. McCarty, Y. Wang, X. Yu, 7-Connected graphs are 4-ordered, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B 141 (2020) 115–135.
- [14] L. Ng, M. Schultz, k-ordered Hamiltonian graphs, Journal of Graph Theory 24 (1) (1997) 45–57.
- [15] D.R. Woodall, The binding number of a graph and its Anderson number, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B 15 (1973) 225–255.
- [16] Y. Zhu, Z. Liu, Z. Yu, 2-connected k-regular graphs on at most 3k + 3 vertices to be Hamiltonian, Journal of Systems Science and Mathematical Sciences 6 (1986) 36–49 and 136–145.