

Coinductive

Andreas Lochbihler
with contributions by Johannes Hözl

June 5, 2024

Abstract

This article collects formalisations of general-purpose coinductive data types and sets. Currently, it contains:

- coinductive natural numbers,
- coinductive lists, i.e. lazy lists or streams, and a library of operations on coinductive lists,
- coinductive terminated lists, i.e. lazy lists with the stop symbol containing data,
- coinductive streams,
- coinductive resumptions, and
- numerous examples which include a version of König's lemma and the Hamming stream.

The initial theory was contributed by Paulson and Wenzel. Extensions and other coinductive formalisations of general interest are welcome.

Contents

1	Extended natural numbers as a codatatype	2
1.1	Case operator	2
1.2	Corecursion for <i>enat</i>	3
1.3	Less as greatest fixpoint	6
1.4	Equality as greatest fixpoint	7
1.5	Uniqueness of corecursion	7
1.6	Setup for partial_function	8
1.7	Misc.	11
2	Coinductive lists and their operations	12
2.1	Auxiliary lemmata	12
2.2	Type definition	12
2.3	Properties of predefined functions	15
2.4	The subset of finite lazy lists <i>lfinite</i>	17

2.5	Concatenating two lists: <i>lappend</i>	17
2.6	The prefix ordering on lazy lists: <i>lprefix</i>	19
2.7	Setup for <i>partial_function</i>	21
2.8	Monotonicity and continuity of already defined functions	25
2.9	More function definitions	27
2.10	Converting ordinary lists to lazy lists: <i>llist-of</i>	30
2.11	Converting finite lazy lists to ordinary lists: <i>list-of</i>	31
2.12	The length of a lazy list: <i>llength</i>	32
2.13	Taking and dropping from lazy lists: <i>ltake</i> , <i>ldropn</i> , and <i>ldrop</i>	34
2.14	Taking the <i>n</i> -th element of a lazy list: <i>lnth</i>	42
2.15	<i>iterates</i>	44
2.16	More on the prefix ordering on lazy lists: (\sqsubseteq) and <i>lstrict-prefix</i>	45
2.17	Length of the longest common prefix	47
2.18	Zipping two lazy lists to a lazy list of pairs <i>lzip</i>	48
2.19	Taking and dropping from a lazy list: <i>ltakeWhile</i> and <i>ldropWhile</i>	51
2.20	<i>llist-all2</i>	55
2.21	The last element <i>llast</i>	60
2.22	Distinct lazy lists <i>ldistinct</i>	61
2.23	Sortedness <i>lsorted</i>	62
2.24	Lexicographic order on lazy lists: <i>llexord</i>	65
2.25	The filter functional on lazy lists: <i>lfilter</i>	67
2.26	Concatenating all lazy lists in a lazy list: <i>lconcat</i>	70
2.27	Sublist view of a lazy list: <i>lnths</i>	73
2.28	<i>lsum-list</i>	75
2.29	Alternative view on ' <i>a llist</i> ' as datatype with constructors <i>llist-of</i> and <i>inf-llist</i>	75
2.30	Setup for lifting and transfer	77
2.30.1	Relator and predicator properties	77
2.30.2	Transfer rules for the Transfer package	78
3	Instantiation of the order type classes for lazy lists	80
3.1	Instantiation of the order type class	80
3.2	Prefix ordering as a lower semilattice	81
4	Infinite lists as a codatatype	82
4.1	Lemmas about operations from <i>HOL-Library.Stream</i>	83
4.2	Link ' <i>a stream</i> to ' <i>a llist</i>	84
4.3	Link ' <i>a stream</i> with <i>nat</i> \Rightarrow ' <i>a</i>	88
4.4	Function iteration <i>siterate</i> and <i>sconst</i>	88
4.5	Counting elements	89
4.6	First index of an element	90
4.7	<i>stakeWhile</i>	90

5	Terminated coinductive lists and their operations	91
5.1	Auxiliary lemmas	91
5.2	Type definition	91
5.3	Code generator setup	92
5.4	Connection with ' <i>a llist</i> '	94
5.5	Library function definitions	97
5.6	<i>tfinite</i>	98
5.7	The terminal element <i>terminal</i>	98
5.8	<i>tmap</i>	98
5.9	Appending two terminated lazy lists <i>tappend</i>	99
5.10	Appending a terminated lazy list to a lazy list <i>lappendt</i>	99
5.11	Filtering terminated lazy lists <i>tfilter</i>	100
5.12	Concatenating a terminated lazy list of lazy lists <i>tconcat</i>	101
5.13	<i>tllist-all2</i>	101
5.14	From a terminated lazy list to a lazy list <i>llist-of-tllist</i>	104
5.15	The nth element of a terminated lazy list <i>tnth</i>	105
5.16	The length of a terminated lazy list <i>tlength</i>	105
5.17	<i>tdropn</i>	106
5.18	<i>tset</i>	106
5.19	Setup for Lifting/Transfer	107
5.19.1	Relator and predicator properties	107
5.19.2	Transfer rules for the Transfer package	107
6	Setup for Isabelle's quotient package for lazy lists	109
6.1	Rules for the Quotient package	110
7	Setup for Isabelle's quotient package for terminated lazy lists	112
7.1	Rules for the Quotient package	112
8	Code generator setup to implement lazy lists lazily	115
8.1	Lazy lists	116
9	Code generator setup to implement terminated lazy lists lazily	122
10	CCPO topologies	126
10.1	The filter <i>at'</i>	127
10.2	The type class <i>ccpo-topology</i>	127
10.3	Instances for <i>ccpo-topologys</i> and continuity theorems	129
11	A CCPO topology on lazy lists with examples	129
11.1	Continuity and closedness of predefined constants	130
11.2	Define <i>lfilter</i> as continuous extension	133
11.3	Define <i>lconcat</i> as continuous extension	134

11.4 Define <i>ldropWhile</i> as continuous extension	135
11.5 Define <i>ldrop</i> as continuous extension	135
11.6 Define more functions on lazy lists as continuous extensions .	136
12 Cpo structure for terminated lazy lists	141
12.1 The cpo structure	142
12.2 Continuity of predefined constants	145
12.3 Definition of recursive functions	147
13 Example definitions using the CCPo structure on terminated lazy lists	147
14 Example: Koenig's lemma	148
15 Definition of the function lmirror	150
16 The Hamming stream defined as a least fixpoint	152
17 Manual construction of a resumption codatatype	157
17.1 Auxiliary definitions and lemmata similar to <i>HOL-Library.Old-Datatype</i>	157
17.2 Definition for the codatatype universe	158
17.3 Definition of the codatatype as a type	160

1 Extended natural numbers as a codatatype

```
theory Coinductive-Nat imports
  HOL-Library.Extended-Nat
  HOL-Library.Complete-Partial-Order2
begin

lemma inj-enat [simp]: inj-on enat A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Sup-range-enat [simp]: Sup (range enat) = ∞
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas eSuc-plus = iadd-Suc

lemmas plus-enat-eq-0-conv = iadd-is-0

lemma enat-add-sub-same:
  fixes a b :: enat shows a ≠ ∞ ⟹ a + b - a = b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-the-enat: n ≠ ∞ ⟹ enat (the-enat n) = n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-min-eq-0-iff:
  fixes a b :: enat
  shows min a b = 0 ⟷ a = 0 ∨ b = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-le-plus-same: x ≤ (y :: enat) + z ⟹ y ≤ z + x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-enat-0 [simp]: the-enat 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-enat-eSuc: n ≠ ∞ ⟹ the-enat (eSuc n) = Suc (the-enat n)
  ⟨proof⟩

coinductive-set enat-set :: enat set
where 0 ∈ enat-set
| n ∈ enat-set ⟹ (eSuc n) ∈ enat-set

lemma enat-set-eq-UNIV [simp]: enat-set = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

1.1 Case operator

lemma enat-coexhaust:
  obtains (0) n = 0
  | (eSuc) n' where n = eSuc n'
```

```

⟨proof⟩

locale co begin

free-constructors (plugins del: code) case-enat for
  0::enat
  | eSuc epred
where
  epred 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma enat-cocase-0 [simp]: co.case-enat z s 0 = z
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-cocase-eSuc [simp]: co.case-enat z s (eSuc n) = s n
⟨proof⟩

lemma neq-zero-conv-eSuc: n ≠ 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists n'. n = eSuc n'$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-cocase-cert:
  assumes CASE ≡ co.case-enat c d
  shows (CASE 0 ≡ c) &&& (CASE (eSuc n) ≡ d n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-cosplit-asm:
  P (co.case-enat c d n) = ( $\neg (n = 0 \wedge \neg P c \vee (\exists m. n = eSuc m \wedge \neg P (d m)))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-cosplit:
  P (co.case-enat c d n) = (( $n = 0 \rightarrow P c$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall m. n = eSuc m \rightarrow P (d m)$ ))
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation epred :: enat => enat where epred ≡ co.epred

lemma epred-0 [simp]: epred 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩
lemma epred-eSuc [simp]: epred (eSuc n) = n ⟨proof⟩
declare co.enat.collapse[simp]
lemma epred-conv-minus: epred n = n - 1
⟨proof⟩

```

1.2 Corecursion for enat

```

lemma case-enat-numeral [simp]: case-enat f i (numeral v) = (let n = numeral v
in f n)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma case-enat-0 [simp]: case-enat f i 0 = f 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]:
  shows max-eSuc-eSuc: max (eSuc n) (eSuc m) = eSuc (max n m)
  and min-eSuc-eSuc: min (eSuc n) (eSuc m) = eSuc (min n m)
⟨proof⟩

definition epred-numeral :: num ⇒ enat
where [code del]: epred-numeral = enat ∘ pred-numeral

lemma numeral-eq-eSuc: numeral k = eSuc (epred-numeral k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-numeral-simps [simp]:
  epred-numeral num.One = 0
  epred-numeral (num.Bit0 k) = numeral (Num.BitM k)
  epred-numeral (num.Bit1 k) = numeral (num.Bit0 k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]:
  shows eq-numeral-eSuc: numeral k = eSuc n ↔ epred-numeral k = n
  and Suc-eq-numeral: eSuc n = numeral k ↔ n = epred-numeral k
  and less-numeral-Suc: numeral k < eSuc n ↔ epred-numeral k < n
  and less-eSuc-numeral: eSuc n < numeral k ↔ n < epred-numeral k
  and le-numeral-eSuc: numeral k ≤ eSuc n ↔ epred-numeral k ≤ n
  and le-eSuc-numeral: eSuc n ≤ numeral k ↔ n ≤ epred-numeral k
  and diff-eSuc-numeral: eSuc n - numeral k = n - epred-numeral k
  and diff-numeral-eSuc: numeral k - eSuc n = epred-numeral k - n
  and max-eSuc-numeral: max (eSuc n) (numeral k) = eSuc (max n (epred-numeral k))
  and max-numeral-eSuc: max (numeral k) (eSuc n) = eSuc (max (epred-numeral k) n)
  and min-eSuc-numeral: min (eSuc n) (numeral k) = eSuc (min n (epred-numeral k))
  and min-numeral-eSuc: min (numeral k) (eSuc n) = eSuc (min (epred-numeral k) n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-cocase-numeral [simp]:
  co.case-enat a f (numeral v) = (let pv = epred-numeral v in f pv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-cocase-add-eq-if [simp]:
  co.case-enat a f ((numeral v) + n) = (let pv = epred-numeral v in f (pv + n))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma [simp]:
  shows epred-1: epred 1 = 0
  and epred-numeral: epred (numeral i) = epred-numeral i
  and epred-Infty: epred ∞ = ∞
  and epred-enat: epred (enat m) = enat (m - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas epred-simps = epred-0 epred-1 epred-numeral epred-eSuc epred-Infty epred-enat

lemma epred-iadd1: a ≠ 0 ⇒ epred (a + b) = epred a + b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-min [simp]: epred (min a b) = min (epred a) (epred b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-le-epredI: n ≤ m ⇒ epred n ≤ epred m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-minus-epred [simp]:
  m ≠ 0 ⇒ epred n - epred m = n - m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eSuc-epred: n ≠ 0 ⇒ eSuc (epred n) = n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-inject: [| x ≠ 0; y ≠ 0 |] ⇒ epred x = epred y ↔ x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-fun-eSuc[partial-function-mono]:
  monotone (fun-ord (λy x. x ≤ y)) (λy x. x ≤ y) (λf. eSuc (f x))
  ⟨proof⟩

partial-function (gfp) enat-unfold :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a ⇒ enat where
  enat-unfold [code, nitpick-simp]:
    enat-unfold stop next a = (if stop a then 0 else eSuc (enat-unfold stop next (next a)))

lemma enat-unfold-stop [simp]: stop a ⇒ enat-unfold stop next a = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-unfold-next: ¬ stop a ⇒ enat-unfold stop next a = eSuc (enat-unfold stop next (next a))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-unfold-eq-0 [simp]:
  enat-unfold stop next a = 0 ↔ stop a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-enat-unfold [simp]:

```

epred (*enat-unfold stop next a*) = (*if stop a then 0 else enat-unfold stop next (next a)*)
(proof)

lemma *epred-max*: $\text{epred}(\max x y) = \max(\text{epred } x)(\text{epred } y)$
(proof)

lemma *epred-Max*:
assumes *finite A* $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{epred}(\text{Max } A) = \text{Max}(\text{epred}^{\cdot} A)$
(proof)

lemma *finite-imageD2*: $\llbracket \text{finite}(f^{\cdot} A); \text{inj-on } f(A - B); \text{finite } B \rrbracket \implies \text{finite } A$
(proof)

lemma *epred-Sup*: $\text{epred}(\text{Sup } A) = \text{Sup}(\text{epred}^{\cdot} A)$
(proof)

1.3 Less as greatest fixpoint

coinductive-set *Le-enat* :: $(\text{enat} \times \text{enat}) \text{ set}$
where

Le-enat-zero: $(0, n) \in \text{Le-enat}$
 $| \text{Le-enat-add}: \llbracket (m, n) \in \text{Le-enat}; k \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies (\text{eSuc } m, n + k) \in \text{Le-enat}$

lemma *ile-into-Le-enat*:
 $m \leq n \implies (m, n) \in \text{Le-enat}$
(proof)

lemma *Le-enat-imp-ile-enat-k*:
 $(m, n) \in \text{Le-enat} \implies n < \text{enat } l \implies m < \text{enat } l$
(proof)

lemma *enat-less-imp-le*:
assumes $k: \text{!}k. n < \text{enat } k \implies m < \text{enat } k$
shows $m \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *Le-enat-imp-ile*:
 $(m, n) \in \text{Le-enat} \implies m \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *Le-enat-eq-ile*:
 $(m, n) \in \text{Le-enat} \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *enat-leI* [*consumes 1, case-names Leenat, case-conclusion Leenat zero eSuc*]:
assumes *major*: $(m, n) \in X$
and step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge m n. (m, n) \in X \\ & \implies m = 0 \vee (\exists m' n' k. m = eSuc m' \wedge n = n' + enat k \wedge k \neq 0 \wedge ((m', n') \in X \vee m' \leq n')) \\ & \text{shows } m \leq n \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *enat-le-coinduct* [consumes 1, case-names *le*, case-conclusion *le* 0 *eSuc*]:
assumes *P*: *P m n*
and step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge m n. P m n \\ & \implies (n = 0 \longrightarrow m = 0) \wedge \\ & \quad (m \neq 0 \longrightarrow n \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists k n'. P (epred m) n' \wedge epred n = n' + k) \vee \\ & \quad epred m \leq epred n) \\ & \text{shows } m \leq n \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Equality as greatest fixpoint

lemma *enat-equalityI* [consumes 1, case-names *Eq-enat*,
case-conclusion *Eq-enat zero eSuc*]:
assumes *major*: $(m, n) \in X$
and step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge m n. (m, n) \in X \\ & \implies m = 0 \wedge n = 0 \vee (\exists m' n'. m = eSuc m' \wedge n = eSuc n' \wedge ((m', n') \in X \\ & \vee m' = n')) \\ & \text{shows } m = n \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *enat-coinduct* [consumes 1, case-names *Eq-enat*, case-conclusion *Eq-enat zero eSuc*]:
assumes *major*: *P m n*
and step: $\bigwedge m n. P m n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \implies (m = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0) \wedge \\ & \quad (m \neq 0 \longrightarrow n \neq 0 \longrightarrow P (epred m) (epred n) \vee epred m = epred n) \\ & \text{shows } m = n \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *enat-coinduct2* [consumes 1, case-names *zero eSuc*]:
 $\llbracket P m n; \bigwedge m n. P m n \rrbracket \implies m = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0;$
 $\bigwedge m n. \llbracket P m n; m \neq 0; n \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies P (epred m) (epred n) \vee epred m = epred n \rrbracket$

$$\implies m = n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Uniqueness of corecursion

lemma *enat-unfold-unique*:
assumes *h*: $!!x. h x = (\text{if } \text{stop } x \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc (h (\text{next } x)))$
shows *h x = enat-unfold stop next x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 Setup for partial_function

```

lemma enat-diff-cancel-left:  $\llbracket m \leq x; m \leq y \rrbracket \implies x - m = y - m \longleftrightarrow x = (y :: \text{enat})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-lessThan-enatI:
  assumes  $m \neq \infty$ 
  shows  $\text{finite} \{.. < m :: \text{enat}\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma infinite-lessThan-infty:  $\neg \text{finite} \{.. < \infty :: \text{enat}\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-lessThan-enat-iff:
   $\text{finite} \{.. < m :: \text{enat}\} \longleftrightarrow m \neq \infty$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-minus-mono1:  $x \leq y \implies x - m \leq y - (m :: \text{enat})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-enat-minus1:  $\max n m - k = \max (n - k) (m - k :: \text{enat})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Max-enat-minus1:
  assumes  $\text{finite } A \ A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{Max } A - m = \text{Max} ((\lambda n :: \text{enat}. n - m) ` A)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Sup-enat-minus1:
  assumes  $m \neq \infty$ 
  shows  $\bigcup A - m = \bigcup ((\lambda n :: \text{enat}. n - m) ` A)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Sup-image-eadd1:
  assumes  $Y \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{Sup} ((\lambda y :: \text{enat}. y + x) ` Y) = \text{Sup } Y + x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Sup-image-eadd2:
   $Y \neq \{\} \implies \text{Sup} ((\lambda y :: \text{enat}. x + y) ` Y) = x + \text{Sup } Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-eSuc [THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-eSuc:  $\text{monotone } (\leq) (\leq) \text{eSuc}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-eSuc [THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-eSuc:  $\text{mcont } \text{Sup } (\leq) \text{Sup } (\leq) \text{eSuc}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mono2mono-epred* [*THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *monotone-epred*: *monotone* (\leq) (\leq) *epred*
{proof}

lemma *mcont2mcont-epred* [*THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *mcont-epred*: *mcont Sup* (\leq) *Sup* (\leq) *epred*
{proof}

lemma *enat-cocase-mono* [*partial-function-mono, cont-intro*]:
 $\llbracket \text{monotone orda ordb zero; } \bigwedge n. \text{monotone orda ordb } (\lambda f. \text{esuc } f n) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{monotone orda ordb } (\lambda f. \text{co.case-enat } (\text{zero } f) (\text{esuc } f) x)$
{proof}

lemma *enat-cocase-mcont* [*cont-intro, simp*]:
 $\llbracket \text{mcont luba orda lubb ordb zero; } \bigwedge n. \text{mcont luba orda lubb ordb } (\lambda f. \text{esuc } f n) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{mcont luba orda lubb ordb } (\lambda f. \text{co.case-enat } (\text{zero } f) (\text{esuc } f) x)$
{proof}

lemma *eSuc-mono* [*partial-function-mono*]:
monotone (*fun-ord* (\leq)) (\leq) *f* \implies *monotone* (*fun-ord* (\leq)) (\leq) ($\lambda x. \text{eSuc } (f x)$)
{proof}

lemma *mono2mono-enat-minus1* [*THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *monotone-enat-minus1*: *monotone* (\leq) (\leq) ($\lambda n. n - m :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *mcont2mcont-enat-minus* [*THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *mcont-enat-minus*: $m \neq \infty \implies \text{mcont Sup}$ (\leq) *Sup* (\leq) ($\lambda n. n - m :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *monotone-eadd1*: *monotone* (\leq) (\leq) ($\lambda x. x + y :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *monotone-eadd2*: *monotone* (\leq) (\leq) ($\lambda y. x + y :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *mono2mono-eadd* [*THEN lfp.mono2mono2, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *monotone-eadd*: *monotone* (*rel-prod* (\leq) (\leq)) (\leq) ($\lambda(x, y). x + y :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *mcont-eadd2*: *mcont Sup* (\leq) *Sup* (\leq) ($\lambda y. x + y :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *mcont-eadd1*: *mcont Sup* (\leq) *Sup* (\leq) ($\lambda x. x + y :: \text{enat}$)
{proof}

lemma *mcont2mcont-eadd* [*cont-intro, simp*]:

```

 $\llbracket mcont\ lub\ ord\ Sup\ (\leq)\ (\lambda x.\ f\ x);\$ 
 $\quad mcont\ lub\ ord\ Sup\ (\leq)\ (\lambda x.\ g\ x) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies mcont\ lub\ ord\ Sup\ (\leq)\ (\lambda x.\ f\ x + g\ x :: enat)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eadd-partial-function-mono [partial-function-mono]:
 $\llbracket \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq))\ (\leq) f; \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq))\ (\leq) g \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq))\ (\leq) (\lambda x.\ f\ x + g\ x :: enat)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-max-enat1: monotone ( $\leq$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda x.$  max  $x\ y :: enat$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-max-enat2: monotone ( $\leq$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda y.$  max  $x\ y :: enat$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-max-enat[THEN lfp.mono2mono2, cont-intro, simp]:
shows monotone-max-enat: monotone (rel-prod ( $\leq$ ) ( $\leq$ )) ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda(x,\ y).$  max  $x\ y :: enat$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-Sup-enat2:
assumes  $Y \neq \{\}$ 
shows max  $x$  (Sup  $Y$ ) = Sup (( $\lambda y :: enat.$  max  $x\ y$ ) ‘  $Y$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-Sup-enat1:
 $Y \neq \{\} \implies \text{max } (\text{Sup } Y) x = \text{Sup } ((\lambda y :: enat. \text{max } y\ x) ‘ Y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-max-enat1: mcont Sup ( $\leq$ ) Sup ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda x.$  max  $x\ y :: enat$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-max-enat2: mcont Sup ( $\leq$ ) Sup ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda y.$  max  $x\ y :: enat$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-max-enat [cont-intro, simp]:
 $\llbracket mcont\ lub\ ord\ Sup\ (\leq)\ (\lambda x.\ f\ x);\$ 
 $\quad mcont\ lub\ ord\ Sup\ (\leq)\ (\lambda x.\ g\ x) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies mcont\ lub\ ord\ Sup\ (\leq)\ (\lambda x.\ \text{max } (f\ x)\ (g\ x) :: enat)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-enat-partial-function-mono [partial-function-mono]:
 $\llbracket \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq))\ (\leq) f; \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq))\ (\leq) g \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq))\ (\leq) (\lambda x.\ \text{max } (f\ x)\ (g\ x) :: enat)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-epredI:
Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ )  $Y$ 

```

$\implies \text{Complete-Partial-Order}.chain (\leq) (\text{epred} ` (Y \cap \{x. x \neq 0\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *monotone-enat-le-case*:
fixes *bot*
assumes *mono*: *monotone* (\leq) *ord* ($\lambda x. f x (eSuc x)$)
and *ord*: $\bigwedge x. \text{ord } \text{bot} (f x (eSuc x))$
and *bot*: *ord* *bot* *bot*
shows *monotone* (\leq) *ord* ($\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow \text{bot} \mid eSuc x' \Rightarrow f x' x$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mcont-enat-le-case*:
fixes *bot*
assumes *ccpo*: *class.ccpo lub ord* (*mk-less ord*)
and *mcont*: *mcont Sup* (\leq) *lub ord* ($\lambda x. f x (eSuc x)$)
and *ord*: $\bigwedge x. \text{ord } \text{bot} (f x (eSuc x))$
shows *mcont Sup* (\leq) *lub ord* ($\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow \text{bot} \mid eSuc x' \Rightarrow f x' x$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.7 Misc.

lemma *enat-add-mono* [*simp*]:
 $\text{enat } x + y < \text{enat } x + z \longleftrightarrow y < z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enat-add1-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{enat } x + y = \text{enat } x + z \longleftrightarrow y = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enat-add2-eq* [*simp*]: $y + \text{enat } x = z + \text{enat } x \longleftrightarrow y = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enat-less-enat-plusI*: $x < y \implies \text{enat } x < \text{enat } y + z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enat-less-enat-plusI2*:
 $\text{enat } y < z \implies \text{enat } (x + y) < \text{enat } x + z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-enat1-conv-enat*: $\bigwedge a b. \text{min } (\text{enat } a) b = \text{enat } (\text{case } b \text{ of } \text{enat } b' \Rightarrow \text{min } a b' \mid \infty \Rightarrow a)$
and *min-enat2-conv-enat*: $\bigwedge a b. \text{min } a (\text{enat } b) = \text{enat } (\text{case } a \text{ of } \text{enat } a' \Rightarrow \text{min } a' b \mid \infty \Rightarrow b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSuc-le-iff*: $eSuc x \leq y \longleftrightarrow (\exists y'. y = eSuc y' \wedge x \leq y')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSuc-eq-infinity-iff*: $eSuc n = \infty \longleftrightarrow n = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma infinity-eq-eSuc-iff:  $\infty = eSuc\ n \longleftrightarrow n = \infty$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma enat-cocase-inf:  $(\text{case } \infty \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow a \mid eSuc\ b \Rightarrow f\ b) = f\ \infty$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eSuc-Inf:  $eSuc\ (\text{Inf}\ A) = \text{Inf}\ (eSuc\ `A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2 Coinductive lists and their operations

```

theory Coinductive-List
imports
  HOL-Library.Infinite-Set
  HOL-Library.Sublist
  HOL-Library.Simps-Case-Conv
  Coinductive-Nat
begin

```

2.1 Auxiliary lemmata

```

lemma funpow-Suc-conv [simp]:  $(Suc^{\wedge n})\ m = m + n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma wlog-linorder-le [consumes 0, case-names le symmetry]:
  assumes le:  $\bigwedge a\ b :: 'a :: \text{linorder}. a \leq b \implies P\ a\ b$ 
  and sym:  $P\ b\ a \implies P\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $P\ a\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.2 Type definition

```

codatatype (lset: ' $a$ ) llist =
  lnull: LNil
  | LCons (lhd: ' $a$ ) (ltl: ' $a$  llist)
for
  map: lmap
  rel: llist-all2
where
  lhd LNil = undefined
  | ltl LNil = LNil

```

Coiterator setup.

```

primcorec unfold-llist :: ( $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  llist
where
   $p\ a \implies \text{unfold-llist}\ p\ g21\ g22\ a = \text{LNil} \mid$ 

```

- $\implies \text{unfold-llist } p \ g21 \ g22 \ a = L\text{Cons} \ (g21 \ a) \ (\text{unfold-llist } p \ g21 \ g22 \ (g22 \ a))$

declare

unfold-llist.ctr(1) [*simp*]
llist.corec(1) [*simp*]

The following setup should be done by the BNF package.

congruence rule

declare *llist.map-cong* [*cong*]

Code generator setup

lemma *corec-llist-never-stop*: *corec-llist IS-LNIL LHD* ($\lambda\text{-}.\ False$) *MORE LTL x*
 $= \text{unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL x}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas about generated constants

lemma *eq-LConsD*: *xs = LCons y ys \implies xs \neq LNil \wedge lhd xs = y \wedge ltl xs = ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

shows *LNil-eq-lmap*: *LNil = lmap f xs \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil*
and *lmap-eq-LNil*: *lmap f xs = LNil \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *llist.map-sel(1)*[*simp*]

lemma *ltl-lmap*[*simp*]: *ltl (lmap f xs) = lmap f (ltl xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *llist.map-ident*[*simp*]

lemma *lmap-eq-LCons-conv*:
lmap f xs = LCons y ys \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists x \ x s'. \ xs = LCons \ x \ x s' \wedge y = f \ x \wedge ys = lmap \ f \ x s')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-conv-unfold-llist*:
lmap f = unfold-llist (\lambda xs. xs = LNil) (f o lhd) ltl (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-unfold-llist*:

lmap f (unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL b) = unfold-llist IS-LNIL (f o LHD) LTL
 b
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-corec-llist*:

lmap f (corec-llist IS-LNIL LHD endORmore TTL-end TTL-more b) =
corec-llist IS-LNIL (f o LHD) endORmore (lmap f o TTL-end) TTL-more b

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-llist-ltl-unroll*:

unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL (LTL b) = unfold-llist (IS-LNIL o LTL) (LHD o LTL) LTL b

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-unfold-llist*:

*ltl (unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL a) =
(if IS-LNIL a then LNil else unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL (LTL a))*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-llist-eq-LCons [simp]*:

*unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL b = LCons x xs \longleftrightarrow
¬ IS-LNIL b \wedge x = LHD b \wedge xs = unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL (LTL b)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-llist-id [simp]*: *unfold-llist lnull lhd ltl xs = xs*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-eq-empty [simp]*: *lset xs = {} \longleftrightarrow lnull xs*

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *llist.setsel(1)[simp]*

lemma *lset-ltl*: *lset (ltl xs) \subseteq lset xs*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-lset-ltlD*: *x \in lset (ltl xs) \implies x \in lset xs*

$\langle proof \rangle$

induction rules

theorem *llist-set-induct[consumes 1, case-names find step]*:

assumes *x \in lset xs and $\bigwedge_{xs} \neg lnull xs \implies P (lhd xs) xs$*
and *$\bigwedge_{xs} \forall y. [\neg lnull xs; y \in lset (ltl xs); P y (ltl xs)] \implies P y xs$*
shows *P x xs*

$\langle proof \rangle$

Test quickcheck setup

lemma $\bigwedge_{xs} xs = LNil$

quickcheck[random, expect=counterexample]

quickcheck[exhaustive, expect=counterexample]

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LCons x xs = LCons x xs*

quickcheck[narrowing, expect=no-counterexample]

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Properties of predefined functions

```

lemmas lhd-LCons = llist.sel(1)
lemmas ltl-simps = llist.sel(2,3)

lemmas lhd-LCons-ltl = llist.collapse(2)

lemma lnull-ltlI [simp]: lnull xs  $\implies$  lnull (ltl xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma neq-LNil-conv: xs  $\neq$  LNil  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \, xs'. \, xs = LCons \, x \, xs'$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-lnull-conv:  $\neg$  lnull xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \, xs'. \, xs = LCons \, x \, xs'$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-LCons:
  lset (LCons x xs) = insert x (lset xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-intros:
   $x \in lset (LCons \, x \, xs)$ 
   $x \in lset \, xs \implies x \in lset (LCons \, x' \, xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-cases [elim?]:
  assumes  $x \in lset \, xs$ 
  obtains  $xs'$  where  $xs = LCons \, x \, xs'$ 
  |  $x' \, xs'$  where  $xs = LCons \, x' \, xs' \, x \in lset \, xs'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-induct' [consumes 1, case-names find step]:
  assumes major:  $x \in lset \, xs$ 
  and 1:  $\bigwedge_{xs.} P (LCons \, x \, xs)$ 
  and 2:  $\bigwedge_{x' \, xs.} [\, x \in lset \, xs; \, P \, xs \,] \implies P (LCons \, x' \, xs)$ 
  shows  $P \, xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-induct [consumes 1, case-names find step, induct set: lset]:
  assumes major:  $x \in lset \, xs$ 
  and find:  $\bigwedge_{xs.} P (LCons \, x \, xs)$ 
  and step:  $\bigwedge_{x' \, xs.} [\, x \in lset \, xs; \, x \neq x'; \, P \, xs \,] \implies P (LCons \, x' \, xs)$ 
  shows  $P \, xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas lset-LNil = llist.set(1)

lemma lset-lnull: lnull xs  $\implies$  lset xs = {}
⟨proof⟩

```

Alternative definition of *lset* for nitpick

```
inductive lsetp :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where
  lsetp (LCons x xs) x
| lsetp xs x ⟹ lsetp (LCons x' xs) x

lemma lset-into-lsetp:
  x ∈ lset xs ⟹ lsetp xs x
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsetp-into-lset:
  lsetp xs x ⟹ x ∈ lset xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-eq-lsetp [nitpick-unfold]:
  lset xs = {x. lsetp xs x}
⟨proof⟩

hide-const (open) lsetp
hide-fact (open) lsetp.intros lsetp.cases lsetp.induct lset-into-lsetp lset-eq-lsetp

code setup for lset

definition gen-lset :: 'a set ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a set
where gen-lset A xs = A ∪ lset xs

lemma gen-lset-code [code]:
  gen-lset A LNil = A
  gen-lset A (LCons x xs) = gen-lset (insert x A) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-code [code]:
  lset = gen-lset {}
⟨proof⟩

definition lmmember :: 'a ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ bool
where lmmember x xs ↔ x ∈ lset xs

lemma lmmember-code [code]:
  lmmember x LNil ↔ False
  lmmember x (LCons y ys) ↔ x = y ∨ lmmember x ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-lmmember [code-unfold]:
  x ∈ lset xs ↔ lmmember x xs
⟨proof⟩

lemmas lset-lmap [simp] = llist.set-map
```

2.4 The subset of finite lazy lists $lfinite$

```

inductive lfinite :: 'a llist ⇒ bool
where
  lfinite-LNil: lfinite LNil
  | lfinite-LConsI: lfinite xs ⇒ lfinite (LCons x xs)

declare lfinite-LNil [iff]

lemma lnull-imp-lfinite [simp]: lnull xs ⇒ lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-LCons [simp]: lfinite (LCons x xs) = lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-ltl [simp]: lfinite (ltl xs) = lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-code [code]:
  lfinite LNil = True
  lfinite (LCons x xs) = lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-induct [consumes 1, case-names LNil LCons]:
  assumes lfinite: lfinite xs
  and LNil: ∀xs. lnull xs ⇒ P xs
  and LCons: ∀xs. [| lfinite xs; ¬ lnull xs; P (ltl xs) |] ⇒ P xs
  shows P xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-imp-finite-lset:
  assumes lfinite xs
  shows finite (lset xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 Concatenating two lists: $lappend$

```

primcorec lappend :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist
where
  lappend xs ys = (case xs of LNil ⇒ ys | LCons x xs' ⇒ LCons x (lappend xs' ys))

simps-of-case lappend-code [code, simp, nitpick-simp]: lappend.code

lemmas lappend-LNil-LNil = lappend-code(1)[where ys = LNil]

lemma lappend-simps [simp]:
  shows lhd-lappend: lhd (lappend xs ys) = (if lnull xs then lhd ys else lhd xs)
  and ltl-lappend: ltl (lappend xs ys) = (if lnull xs then ltl ys else lappend (ltl xs)
  ys)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *lnull-lappend* [simp]:
 $\text{lnull}(\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{lnull } xs \wedge \text{lnull } ys$
(proof)

lemma *lappend-eq-LNil-iff*:
 $\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys = LNil \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil \wedge ys = LNil$
(proof)

lemma *LNil-eq-lappend-iff*:
 $LNil = \text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil \wedge ys = LNil$
(proof)

lemma *lappend-LNil2* [simp]: $\text{lappend } xs \text{ } LNil = xs$
(proof)

lemma shows *lappend-lnull1*: $\text{lnull } xs \implies \text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys = ys$
and *lappend-lnull2*: $\text{lnull } ys \implies \text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys = xs$
(proof)

lemma *lappend-assoc*: $\text{lappend}(\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys) \text{ } zs = \text{lappend } xs \text{ } (\text{lappend } ys \text{ } zs)$
(proof)

lemma *lmap-lappend-distrib*:
 $\text{lmap } f(\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{lappend}(\text{lmap } f \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{lmap } f \text{ } ys)$
(proof)

lemma *lappend-snocL1-conv-LCons2*:
 $\text{lappend}(\text{lappend } xs \text{ } (LCons \text{ } y \text{ } LNil)) \text{ } ys = \text{lappend } xs \text{ } (LCons \text{ } y \text{ } ys)$
(proof)

lemma *lappend-ltl*: $\neg \text{lnull } xs \implies \text{lappend } (\text{ltl } xs) \text{ } ys = \text{ltl } (\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys)$
(proof)

lemma *lfinite-lappend* [simp]:
 $\text{lfinite}(\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{lfinite } xs \wedge \text{lfinite } ys$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *lappend-inf*: $\neg \text{lfinite } xs \implies \text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys = xs$
(proof)

lemma *lfinite-lmap* [simp]:
 $\text{lfinite}(\text{lmap } f \text{ } xs) = \text{lfinite } xs$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *lset-lappend-lfinite* [simp]:
 $\text{lfinite } xs \implies \text{lset}(\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{lset } xs \cup \text{lset } ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-lappend*: $lset(lappend xs ys) \subseteq lset xs \cup lset ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-lappend1*: $lset xs \subseteq lset(lappend xs ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-lappend-conv*: $lset(lappend xs ys) = (\text{if } lfinite xs \text{ then } lset xs \cup lset ys \text{ else } lset xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-lset-lappend-iff*: $x \in lset(lappend xs ys) \longleftrightarrow x \in lset xs \vee lfinite xs \wedge x \in lset ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-llist-first*:
assumes $x \in lset xs$
shows $\exists ys zs. xs = lappend ys (LCons x zs) \wedge lfinite ys \wedge x \notin lset ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-llist*: $x \in lset xs \implies \exists ys zs. xs = lappend ys (LCons x zs) \wedge lfinite ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 The prefix ordering on lazy lists: *lprefix*

coinductive *lprefix* :: $'a llist \Rightarrow 'a llist \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \sqsubseteq 65)
where

$LNil\text{-}lprefix$ [*simp, intro!*]: $LNil \sqsubseteq xs$
 $| Le\text{-}LCons: xs \sqsubseteq ys \implies LCons x xs \sqsubseteq LCons x ys$

lemma *lprefixI* [*consumes 1, case-names lprefix, case-conclusion lprefix LeLNil LeLCons*]:
assumes *major*: $(xs, ys) \in X$
and step:
 $\bigwedge xs ys. (xs, ys) \in X$
 $\implies lnull xs \vee (\exists x xs' ys'. xs = LCons x xs' \wedge ys = LCons x ys' \wedge ((xs', ys') \in X \vee xs' \sqsubseteq ys'))$
shows $xs \sqsubseteq ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-coinduct* [*consumes 1, case-names lprefix, case-conclusion lprefix LNil LCons, coinduct pred: lprefix*]:
assumes *major*: $P xs ys$
and step: $\bigwedge xs ys. P xs ys$
 $\implies (lnull ys \longrightarrow lnull xs) \wedge$
 $(\neg lnull xs \longrightarrow \neg lnull ys \longrightarrow lhd xs = lhd ys \wedge (P(ltl xs)(ltl ys)) \vee ltl xs \sqsubseteq ltl ys))$

shows $xs \sqsubseteq ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}refl$ [intro, simp]: $xs \sqsubseteq xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}LNil$ [simp]: $xs \sqsubseteq LNil \longleftrightarrow lnull xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}lnull$: $lnull ys \implies xs \sqsubseteq ys \longleftrightarrow lnull xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnull\text{-}lprefix$: $lnull xs \implies lprefix xs ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}LCons\text{-}conv$:
 $xs \sqsubseteq LCons y ys \longleftrightarrow$
 $xs = LNil \vee (\exists xs'. xs = LCons y xs' \wedge xs' \sqsubseteq ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $LCons\text{-}lprefix\text{-}LCons$ [simp]:
 $LCons x xs \sqsubseteq LCons y ys \longleftrightarrow x = y \wedge xs \sqsubseteq ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $LCons\text{-}lprefix\text{-}conv$:
 $LCons x xs \sqsubseteq ys \longleftrightarrow (\exists ys'. ys = LCons x ys' \wedge xs \sqsubseteq ys')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}ltlI$: $xs \sqsubseteq ys \implies ltl xs \sqsubseteq ltl ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}code$ [code]:
 $LNil \sqsubseteq ys \longleftrightarrow True$
 $LCons x xs \sqsubseteq LNil \longleftrightarrow False$
 $LCons x xs \sqsubseteq LCons y ys \longleftrightarrow x = y \wedge xs \sqsubseteq ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}lhdD$: $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; \neg lnull xs \rrbracket \implies lhd xs = lhd ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}lnullD$: $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; lnull ys \rrbracket \implies lnull xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}not\text{-}lnullD$: $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; \neg lnull xs \rrbracket \implies \neg lnull ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lprefix\text{-}expand$:
 $(\neg lnull xs \implies \neg lnull ys \wedge lhd xs = lhd ys \wedge ltl xs \sqsubseteq ltl ys) \implies xs \sqsubseteq ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lprefix-antisym:
   $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; ys \sqsubseteq xs \rrbracket \implies xs = ys$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-trans [trans]:
   $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; ys \sqsubseteq zs \rrbracket \implies xs \sqsubseteq zs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma preorder-lprefix [cont-intro]:
  class.preorder ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (mk-less ( $\sqsubseteq$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-lsetD:
  assumes  $xs \sqsubseteq ys$ 
  shows  $lset\ xs \subseteq lset\ ys$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-lappend-sameI:
  assumes  $xs \sqsubseteq ys$ 
  shows  $lappend\ zs\ xs \sqsubseteq lappend\ zs\ ys$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma not-lfinite-lprefix-conv-eq:
  assumes  $nfin: \neg lfinite\ xs$ 
  shows  $xs \sqsubseteq ys \longleftrightarrow xs = ys$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-lappend:  $xs \sqsubseteq lappend\ xs\ ys$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-down-linear:
  assumes  $xs \sqsubseteq zs$   $ys \sqsubseteq zs$ 
  shows  $xs \sqsubseteq ys \vee ys \sqsubseteq xs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-lappend-same [simp]:
   $lappend\ xs\ ys \sqsubseteq lappend\ xs\ zs \longleftrightarrow (lfinite\ xs \longrightarrow ys \sqsubseteq zs)$ 
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.7 Setup for partial_function

```

primcorec lSup :: 'a llist set  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
  lSup A =
    (if  $\forall x \in A.$  lnull x then LNil
     else LCons (THE x.  $x \in lhd` (A \cap \{xs. \neg lnull\ xs\})$ ) (lSup (ltl` (A  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg lnull\ xs\})))))$ 
```

```

declare lSup.simps[simp del]

lemma lnull-lSup [simp]: lnull (lSup A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in A$ . lnull x)
{proof}

lemma lhd-lSup [simp]:  $\exists x \in A$ .  $\neg$  lnull x  $\implies$  lhd (lSup A) = (THE x. x  $\in$  lhd ` (A  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs}))
{proof}

lemma ltl-lSup [simp]: ltl (lSup A) = lSup (ltl ` (A  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs}))
{proof}

lemma lhd-lSup-eq:
assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) Y
shows [ xs  $\in$  Y;  $\neg$  lnull xs ]  $\implies$  lhd (lSup Y) = lhd xs
{proof}

lemma lSup-empty [simp]: lSup {} = LNil
{proof}

lemma lSup-singleton [simp]: lSup {xs} = xs
{proof}

lemma LCons-image-Int-not-lnull: (LCons x ` A  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs}) = LCons x ` A
{proof}

lemma lSup-LCons: A  $\neq$  {}  $\implies$  lSup (LCons x ` A) = LCons x (lSup A)
{proof}

lemma lSup-eq-LCons-iff:
lSup Y = LCons x xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \in Y$ .  $\neg$  lnull x)  $\wedge$  x = (THE x. x  $\in$  lhd ` (Y  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs}))  $\wedge$  xs = lSup (ltl ` (Y  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs}))
{proof}

lemma lSup-insert-LNil: lSup (insert LNil Y) = lSup Y
{proof}

lemma lSup-minus-LNil: lSup (Y - {LNil}) = lSup Y
{proof}

lemma chain-lprefix-ltl:
assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) A
shows Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (ltl ` (A  $\cap$  {xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs}))
{proof}

lemma lSup-finite-prefixes: lSup {ys. ys  $\sqsubseteq$  xs  $\wedge$  lfinite ys} = xs (is lSup (?C xs) = -)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lSup-finite-gen-prefixes*:
 assumes $zs \sqsubseteq xs$ *lfinite* zs
 shows $lSup \{ys. ys \sqsubseteq xs \wedge zs \sqsubseteq ys \wedge lfinite ys\} = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lSup-strict-prefixes*:
 $\neg lfinite xs \implies lSup \{ys. ys \sqsubseteq xs \wedge ys \neq xs\} = xs$
 (**is** $- \implies lSup (?C xs) = -$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chain-lprefix-lSup*:
 $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order}.chain (\sqsubseteq) A; xs \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies xs \sqsubseteq lSup A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chain-lSup-lprefix*:
 $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order}.chain (\sqsubseteq) A; \bigwedge xs. xs \in A \implies xs \sqsubseteq zs \rrbracket$
 $\implies lSup A \sqsubseteq zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-ccpo* [*simp, cont-intro*]: *class ccpo* $lSup (\sqsubseteq)$ (*mk-less* (\sqsubseteq))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cont-intro*] = *ccpo.admissible-leI*[*OF llist-ccpo*]

lemma *llist-partial-function-definitions*:
 partial-function-definitions (\sqsubseteq) *lSup*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *llist*: *partial-function-definitions* (\sqsubseteq) *lSup*
 rewrites *lSup {}* $\equiv LNil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *mono-llist* \equiv *monotone* (*fun-ord* (\sqsubseteq)) (\sqsubseteq)

interpretation *llist-lift*: *partial-function-definitions* *fun-ord* *lprefix* *fun-lub* *lSup*
 rewrites *fun-lub lSup {}* $\equiv \lambda -. LNil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *mono-llist-lift* \equiv *monotone* (*fun-ord* (*fun-ord* *lprefix*)) (*fun-ord* *lprefix*)

lemma *lprefixes-chain*:
 Complete-Partial-Order.chain (\sqsubseteq) $\{ys. lprefix ys xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-gen-induct*:

```

assumes adm: ccpo.admissible lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) P
and step:  $\exists z s. z s \sqsubseteq x s \wedge lfinite z s \wedge (\forall y s. z s \sqsubseteq y s \longrightarrow y s \sqsubseteq x s \longrightarrow lfinite y s \longrightarrow P y s)$ 
shows P xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-induct [case-names adm LNil LCons, induct type: llist]:
assumes adm: ccpo.admissible lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) P
and LNil: P LNil
and LCons:  $\bigwedge x x s. [\lceil lfinite x s; P x s \rceil \implies P (LCons x x s)]$ 
shows P xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma LCons-mono [partial-function-mono, cont-intro]:
mono-list A  $\implies$  mono-list ( $\lambda f. LCons x (A f)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-LCons [THEN llist.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]:
shows monotone-LCons: monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (LCons x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-LCons [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
shows mcont-LCons: mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (LCons x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-ltl[THEN llist.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]:
shows monotone-ltl: monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ltl
⟨proof⟩

lemma cont-ltl: cont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ltl
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-ltl [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
shows mcont-ltl: mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ltl
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-case-mono [partial-function-mono, cont-intro]:
assumes lnil: monotone orda ordB lnil
and lcons:  $\bigwedge x x s. \text{monotone orda ordB } (\lambda f. lcons f x x s)$ 
shows monotone orda ordB ( $\lambda f. \text{case-list} (lnil f) (lcons f) x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-llist-case [cont-intro, simp]:
 $[\lceil \text{mcont luba orda lubb ordB } (\lambda x. f x); \bigwedge x x s. \text{mcont luba orda lubb ordB } (\lambda y. g x x s y) \rceil]$ 
 $\implies \text{mcont luba orda lubb ordB } (\lambda y. \text{case } x s \text{ of LNil } \Rightarrow f y \mid LCons x x s' \Rightarrow g x x s')$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monotone-lprefix-case [cont-intro, simp]:
  assumes mono:  $\lambda x. \text{monotone } (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (\lambda xs. f x xs (LCons x xs))$ 
  shows monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow LNil \mid LCons x xs' \Rightarrow f x xs'$ )
     $\vdots$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mcont-lprefix-case-aux:
  fixes f bot
  defines g  $\equiv \lambda xs. f (lhd xs) (ltd xs) (LCons (lhd xs) (ltd xs))$ 
  assumes mcont:  $\lambda x. \text{mcont } lSup (\sqsubseteq) \text{lub } ord (\lambda xs. f x xs (LCons x xs))$ 
  and ccpo: class ccpo lub ord (mk-less ord)
  and bot:  $\lambda x. \text{ord } bot x$ 
  shows mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lub ord ( $\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow bot \mid LCons x xs' \Rightarrow f x xs'$ )
     $\vdots$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mcont-lprefix-case [cont-intro, simp]:
  assumes  $\lambda x. \text{mcont } lSup (\sqsubseteq) lSup (\sqsubseteq) (\lambda xs. f x xs (LCons x xs))$ 
  shows mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow LNil \mid LCons x xs' \Rightarrow f x xs'$ )
     $\vdots$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monotone-lprefix-case-lfp [cont-intro, simp]:
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  - :: order-bot
  assumes mono:  $\lambda x. \text{monotone } (\sqsubseteq) (\leq) (\lambda xs. f x xs (LCons x xs))$ 
  shows monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow \perp \mid LCons x xs \Rightarrow f x xs$ )
     $\vdots$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mcont-lprefix-case-lfp [cont-intro, simp]:
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  - :: complete-lattice
  assumes  $\lambda x. \text{mcont } lSup (\sqsubseteq) Sup (\leq) (\lambda xs. f x xs (LCons x xs))$ 
  shows mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) Sup ( $\leq$ ) ( $\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow \perp \mid LCons x xs \Rightarrow f x xs$ )
     $\vdots$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

```

2.8 Monotonicity and continuity of already defined functions

```

lemma fixes f F
  defines F  $\equiv \lambda lmap xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow LNil \mid LCons x xs \Rightarrow LCons (f x)$ 
     $\vdots$ 
   $(lmap xs)$ 
  shows lmap-conv-fixp:  $lmap f \equiv \text{ccpo.fixp } (\text{fun-lub } lSup) (\text{fun-ord } (\sqsubseteq)) F$  (is ?lhs
     $\equiv$  ?rhs)
  and lmap-mono:  $\lambda xs. \text{mono-llist } (\lambda lmap. F lmap xs)$  (is PROP ?mono)
   $\vdots$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mono2mono-lmap[THEN llist.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]:

```

```

shows monotone-lmap: monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (lmap f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lmap[THEN llist.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
  shows mcont-lmap: mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (lmap f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma [partial-function-mono]: mono-list F  $\implies$  mono-list ( $\lambda f$ . lmap g (F f))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono-list-lappend2 [partial-function-mono]:
  mono-list A  $\implies$  mono-list ( $\lambda f$ . lappend xs (A f))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-lappend2 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-lappend2: monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (lappend xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lappend2 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-lappend2: mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (lappend xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fixes f F
  defines F  $\equiv$   $\lambda lset\ xs$ . case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  {} | LCons x xs  $\Rightarrow$  insert x (lset xs)
  shows lset-conv-fixp: lset  $\equiv$  cpo.fixp (fun-lub Union) (fun-ord ( $\sqsubseteq$ )) F (is -  $\equiv$  ?fixp)
  and lset-mono:  $\bigwedge x$ . monotone (fun-ord ( $\sqsubseteq$ )) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda f$ . F f x) (is PROP ?mono)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-lset [THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-lset: monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lset
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lset[THEN mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-lset: mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) Union ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lset
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-lSup: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) Y  $\implies$  lset (lSup Y) =  $\bigcup$  (lset ‘ Y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-lSupD: lfinite (lSup A)  $\implies$   $\forall xs \in A$ . lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-enat-le-lprefix-case [cont-intro, simp]:
  monotone ( $\leq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda x$ . f x (eSuc x))  $\implies$  monotone ( $\leq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda x$ . case x of 0  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | eSuc x'  $\Rightarrow$  f x' x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mcont-enat-le-lprefix-case [cont-intro, simp]:
  assumes mcont Sup ( $\leq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda x. f x (eSuc x)$ )
  shows mcont Sup ( $\leq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow LNil \mid eSuc x' \Rightarrow f x' x$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compact-LConsI:
  assumes ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) xs
  shows ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (LCons x xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compact-LConsD:
  assumes ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (LCons x xs)
  shows ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compact-LCons-iff [simp]:
  ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (LCons x xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compact-lfiniteI:
  lfinite xs  $\implies$  ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compact-lfiniteD:
  assumes ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) xs
  shows lfinite xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compact-eq-lfinite [simp]: ccpo.compact lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) = lfinite
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.9 More function definitions

```

primcorec iterates :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where iterates f x = LCons x (iterates f (f x))

primrec llist-of :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
  llist-of [] = LNil
  | llist-of (x#xs) = LCons x (llist-of xs)

definition list-of :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where [code del]: list-of xs = (if lfinite xs then inv llist-of xs else undefined)

definition llength :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  enat
where [code del]:
  llength = enat-unfold lnull ttl

```

```

primcorec ltake :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
   $n = 0 \vee lnull\ xs \implies lnull\ (ltake\ n\ xs)$ 
  | lhd\ (ltake\ n\ xs) = lhd\ xs
  | ltl\ (ltake\ n\ xs) = ltake\ (epred\ n)\ (ltl\ xs)

definition ldropn :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where ldropn n xs = (ltl  $\wedge\wedge$  n) xs

context notes [[function-internals]]
begin

partial-function (llist) ldrop :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
  ldrop n xs = (case n of 0  $\Rightarrow$  xs | eSuc n'  $\Rightarrow$  case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  ldrop n' xs')

end

primcorec ltakeWhile :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
   $lnull\ xs \vee \neg P\ (lhd\ xs) \implies lnull\ (ltakeWhile\ P\ xs)$ 
  | lhd\ (ltakeWhile P xs) = lhd\ xs
  | ltl\ (ltakeWhile P xs) = ltakeWhile P (ltl xs)

context fixes P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
notes [[function-internals]]
begin

partial-function (llist) ldropWhile :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where ldropWhile xs = (case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  if P x then ldropWhile xs' else xs)

partial-function (llist) lfilter :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where lfilter xs = (case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  if P x then LCons x (lfilter xs') else lfilter xs')

end

primrec lnth :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
  lnth xs 0 = (case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  undefined (0 :: nat) | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  x)
  | lnth xs (Suc n) = (case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  undefined (Suc n) | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  lnth xs' n)

declare lnth.simps [simp del]

primcorec lzip :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'b llist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) llist
where

```

```

lnull xs ∨ lnull ys ==> lnull (lzip xs ys)
| lhd (lzip xs ys) = (lhd xs, lhd ys)
| ltl (lzip xs ys) = lzip (ltl xs) (ltl ys)

definition llast :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a
where [nitpick-simp]:
  llast xs = (case llength xs of enat n ⇒ (case n of 0 ⇒ undefined | Suc n' ⇒ lnth
  xs n') | ∞ ⇒ undefined)

coinductive ldistinct :: 'a llist ⇒ bool
where
  LNil [simp]: ldistinct LNil
  | LCons: [ x ∉ lset xs; ldistinct xs ] ==> ldistinct (LCons x xs)

hide-fact (open) LNil LCons

definition inf_llist :: (nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a llist
where [code del]: inf_llist f = lmap f (iterates Suc 0)

abbreviation repeat :: 'a ⇒ 'a llist
where repeat ≡ iterates (λx. x)

definition lstrict-prefix :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ bool
where [code del]: lstrict-prefix xs ys ≡ xs ⊑ ys ∧ xs ≠ ys

longest common prefix

definition llcp :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ enat
where [code del]:
  llcp xs ys =
    enat-unfold (λ(xs, ys). lnull xs ∨ lnull ys ∨ lhd xs ≠ lhd ys) (map-prod ltl ltl)
  (xs, ys)

coinductive llexord :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ bool
for r :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where
  llexord-LCons-eq: llexord r xs ys ==> llexord r (LCons x xs) (LCons x ys)
  | llexord-LCons-less: r x y ==> llexord r (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys)
  | llexord-LNil [simp, intro!]: llexord r LNil ys

context notes [[function-internals]]
begin

partial-function (llist) lconcat :: 'a llist llist ⇒ 'a llist
where lconcat xss = (case xss of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons xs xss' ⇒ lappend xs
(lconcat xss'))

end

definition lhd' :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a option where

```

$lhd' xs = (\text{if } lnull\ xs \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{Some } (lhd\ xs))$

lemma lhd' -simp[simp]:

$lhd' LNil = \text{None}$

$lhd' (LCons\ x\ xs) = \text{Some}\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $ltl' :: 'a llist \Rightarrow 'a llist \text{ option where}$
 $ltl' xs = (\text{if } lnull\ xs \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{Some } (ltd\ xs))$

lemma ltl' -simp[simp]:

$ltl' LNil = \text{None}$

$ltl' (LCons\ x\ xs) = \text{Some}\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $lnths :: 'a llist \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \Rightarrow 'a llist$
where $lnths\ xs\ A = lmap\ fst\ (lfilter\ (\lambda(x, y). y \in A)\ (lzip\ xs\ (\text{iterates}\ Suc\ 0)))$

definition (in monoid-add) $lsum-list :: 'a llist \Rightarrow 'a$
where $lsum-list\ xs\ A = (if\ lfinite\ xs\ then\ sum-list\ (\text{list-of}\ xs)\ else\ 0)$

2.10 Converting ordinary lists to lazy lists: $llist$ -of

lemma lhd - $llist$ -of [simp]: $lhd\ (llist\text{-of}\ xs) = hd\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ltl - $llist$ -of [simp]: $ltl\ (llist\text{-of}\ xs) = llist\text{-of}\ (tl\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lfinite$ - $llist$ -of [simp]: $lfinite\ (llist\text{-of}\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lfinite$ -eq-range- $llist$ -of: $lfinite\ xs \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{range } llist\text{-of}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnull$ - $llist$ -of [simp]: $lnull\ (llist\text{-of}\ xs) \longleftrightarrow xs = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $llist$ -of-eq- $LNil$ -conv:
 $llist\text{-of}\ xs = LNil \longleftrightarrow xs = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $llist$ -of-eq- $LCons$ -conv:
 $llist\text{-of}\ xs = LCons\ y\ ys \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs'. xs = y \# xs' \wedge ys = llist\text{-of}\ xs')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lappend$ - $llist$ -of- $llist$ -of:
 $lappend\ (llist\text{-of}\ xs)\ (llist\text{-of}\ ys) = llist\text{-of}\ (xs @ ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lfinite-rev-induct [consumes 1, case-names Nil snoc]:
  assumes fin: lfinite xs
  and Nil: P LNil
  and snoc:  $\bigwedge x \text{ xs}. \llbracket \text{lfinite } \text{xs}; P \text{ xs} \rrbracket \implies P (\text{lappend } \text{xs} (\text{LCons } x \text{ LNil}))$ 
  shows P xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lappend-llist-of-LCons:
  lappend (llist-of xs) (LCons y ys) = lappend (llist-of (xs @ [y])) ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lmap-llist-of [simp]:
  lmap f (llist-of xs) = llist-of (map f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-llist-of [simp]: lset (llist-of xs) = set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-of-inject [simp]: llist-of xs = llist-of ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-llist-of [simp]: inj llist-of
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.11 Converting finite lazy lists to ordinary lists: *list-of*

```

lemma list-of-llist-of [simp]: list-of (llist-of xs) = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-of-list-of [simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  llist-of (list-of xs) = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-LNil [simp, nitpick-simp]: list-of LNil = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-LCons [simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  list-of (LCons x xs) = x # list-of xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-LCons-conv [nitpick-simp]:
  list-of (LCons x xs) = (if lfinite xs then x # list-of xs else undefined)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-lappend:
  assumes lfinite xs lfinite ys
  shows list-of (lappend xs ys) = list-of xs @ list-of ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-lmap [simp]:

```

```

assumes lfinite xs
shows list-of (lmap f xs) = map f (list-of xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-list-of [simp]:
assumes lfinite xs
shows set (list-of xs) = lset xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma hd-list-of [simp]: lfinite xs ==> hd (list-of xs) = lhd xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma tl-list-of: lfinite xs ==> tl (list-of xs) = list-of (ltl xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

Efficient implementation via tail recursion suggested by Brian Huffman

```

definition list-of-aux :: 'a list => 'a llist => 'a list
where list-of-aux xs ys = (if lfinite ys then rev xs @ list-of ys else undefined)

```

```

lemma list-of-code [code]: list-of = list-of-aux []
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-of-aux-code [code]:
list-of-aux xs LNil = rev xs
list-of-aux xs (LCons y ys) = list-of-aux (y # xs) ys
⟨proof⟩

```

2.12 The length of a lazy list: llength

```

lemma [simp, nitpick-simp]:
shows llength-LNil: llength LNil = 0
and llength-LCons: llength (LCons x xs) = eSuc (llength xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma llength-eq-0 [simp]: llength xs = 0 <=> lnull xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma llength-lnull [simp]: lnull xs ==> llength xs = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma epred-llength:
epred (llength xs) = llength (ltl xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas llength-ltl = epred-llength[symmetric]

```

```

lemma llength-lmap [simp]: llength (lmap f xs) = llength xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma llength-lappend [simp]: llength (lappend xs ys) = llength xs + llength ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-llist-of [simp]:
  llength (llist-of xs) = enat (length xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-list-of:
  lfinite xs ⇒ enat (length (list-of xs)) = llength xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-list-of-conv-the-enat:
  lfinite xs ⇒ length (list-of xs) = the-enat (llength xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-eq-enat-lfiniteD: llength xs = enat n ⇒ lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-llength-enat:
  assumes lfinite xs
  shows ∃ n. llength xs = enat n
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-conv,llength-enat:
  lfinite xs ↔ (∃ n. llength xs = enat n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-lfinite-llength:
  ¬ lfinite xs ⇒ llength xs = ∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite:
  llength xs = ∞ ↔ ¬ lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-finite-index: lfinite xs ⇒ finite {n. enat n < llength xs}
⟨proof⟩

tail-recursive implementation for llength

definition gen-llength :: nat ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ enat
where gen-llength n xs = enat n + llength xs

lemma gen-llength-code [code]:
  gen-llength n LNil = enat n
  gen-llength n (LCons x xs) = gen-llength (n + 1) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-code [code]: llength = gen-llength 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fixes  $F$ 
  defines  $F \equiv \lambda llength\ xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow 0 \mid LCons\ x\ xs \Rightarrow eSuc\ (llength\ xs)$ 
  shows  $llength\text{-conv-fixp}: llength \equiv \text{ccpo.fixp}(\text{fun-lub Sup})(\text{fun-ord } (\leq)) F$  (is -  

 $\equiv ?fixp$ )
  and  $llength\text{-mono}: \bigwedge xs. \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\leq)) (\leq) (\lambda llength. F\ llength\ xs)$  (is  

 $\text{PROP } ?mono$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $mono2mono\text{-llength}[THEN\ lfp.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]$ :
  shows  $\text{monotone}\text{-llength}: \text{monotone } (\sqsubseteq) (\leq) llength$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $mcont2mcont\text{-llength}[THEN\ lfp.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]$ :
  shows  $\text{mcont}\text{-llength}: mcont\ lSup\ (\sqsubseteq)\ Sup\ (\leq) llength$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.13 Taking and dropping from lazy lists: $ltake$, $ldropn$, and $ldrop$

```

lemma  $ltake\text{-LNil} [simp, code, nitpick-simp]$ :  $ltake\ n\ LNil = LNil$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $ltake\text{-0} [simp]$ :  $ltake\ 0\ xs = LNil$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $ltake\text{-eSuc-LCons} [simp]$ :
   $ltake\ (eSuc\ n)\ (LCons\ x\ xs) = LCons\ x\ (ltake\ n\ xs)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $lnull\text{-ltake} [simp]$ :  $lnull\ (ltake\ n\ xs) \longleftrightarrow lnull\ xs \vee n = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $ltake\text{-eq-LNil-iff}$ :  $ltake\ n\ xs = LNil \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil \vee n = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $LNil\text{-eq-ltake-iff} [simp]$ :  $LNil = ltake\ n\ xs \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil \vee n = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $ltake\text{-LCons} [code, nitpick-simp]$ :
   $ltake\ n\ (LCons\ x\ xs) =$ 
   $(\text{case } n \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow LNil \mid eSuc\ n' \Rightarrow LCons\ x\ (ltake\ n'\ xs))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lhd-ltake [simp]:  $n \neq 0 \implies \text{lhd}(\text{ltake } n \ xs) = \text{lhd } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ttl-ltake:  $\text{ttl}(\text{ltake } n \ xs) = \text{ltake}(\text{epred } n)(\text{ttl } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ltake-epred-ttl = ttl-ltake [symmetric]

declare ltake.sel(2) [simp del]

lemma ltake-ttl:  $\text{ltake } n (\text{ttl } xs) = \text{ttl}(\text{ltake}(\text{eSuc } n) \ xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-ltake [simp]:  $\text{llength}(\text{ltake } n \ xs) = \min n (\text{llength } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-lmap [simp]:  $\text{ltake } n (\text{lmap } f \ xs) = \text{lmap } f (\text{ltake } n \ xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-ltake [simp]:  $\text{ltake } n (\text{ltake } m \ xs) = \text{ltake}(\min n m) \ xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-ltake:  $\text{lset}(\text{ltake } n \ xs) \subseteq \text{lset } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-all:  $\text{llength } xs \leq m \implies \text{ltake } m \ xs = xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-llist-of [simp]:
 $\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n)(\text{llist-of } xs) = \text{llist-of}(\text{take } n \ xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-ltake [simp]:
 $\text{lfinite}(\text{ltake } n \ xs) \longleftrightarrow \text{lfinite } xs \vee n < \infty$ 
(is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-lappend1:  $n \leq \text{llength } xs \implies \text{ltake } n (\text{lappend } xs \ ys) = \text{ltake } n \ xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-lappend2:
 $\text{llength } xs \leq n \implies \text{ltake } n (\text{lappend } xs \ ys) = \text{lappend } xs (\text{ltake}(n - \text{llength } xs) \ ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-lappend:
 $\text{ltake } n (\text{lappend } xs \ ys) = \text{lappend}(\text{ltake } n \ xs)(\text{ltake}(n - \text{llength } xs) \ ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma take-list-of:
  assumes lfinite xs
  shows take n (list-of xs) = list-of (ltake (enat n) xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-eq-ltake-antimono:
  ⟦ ltake n xs = ltake n ys; m ≤ n ⟧ ⟹ ltake m xs = ltake m ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-is-lprefix [simp, intro]: ltake n xs ⊑ xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-ltake-same [simp]:
  ltake n xs ⊑ ltake m xs ⟺ n ≤ m ∨ llength xs ≤ m
  (is ?lhs ⟺ ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fixes f F
  defines F ≡ λltake n xs. case xs of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons x xs ⇒ case n of 0 ⇒
    LNil | eSuc n ⇒ LCons x (ltake n xs)
  shows ltake-conv-fixp: ltake ≡ curry (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub lSup) (fun-ord (⊒)))
  (λltake. case-prod (F (curry ltake))) (is ?lhs ≡ ?rhs)
  and ltake-mono: ∀nxs. mono-llist (λltake. case nxs of (n, xs) ⇒ F (curry ltake)
  n xs) (is PROP ?mono)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-ltake: monotone (rel-prod (≤) (⊒)) (⊒) (case-prod ltake)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-ltake1 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-ltake1: monotone (≤) (⊒) (λn. ltake n xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-ltake2 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-ltake2: monotone (⊒) (⊒) (ltake n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-ltake: mcont (prod-lub Sup lSup) (rel-prod (≤) (⊒)) lSup (⊒) (case-prod
ltake)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-ltake1 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-ltake1: mcont Sup (≤) lSup (⊒) (λn. ltake n xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-ltake2 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-ltake2: mcont lSup (⊒) lSup (⊒) (ltake n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma [partial-function-mono]: $\text{mono-llist } F \implies \text{mono-llist } (\lambda f. \text{ltake } n (F f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma llist-induct2:

assumes adm: $\text{ccpo.admissible}(\text{prod-lub } lSup \text{ } lSup)$ ($\text{rel-prod } (\sqsubseteq) \text{ } (\sqsubseteq)$) ($\lambda x. P(fst x)$ ($\text{snd } x$))
and $LNil: P \text{ } LNil \text{ } LNil$
and $LCons1: \bigwedge x \text{ } xs. [\lfloor lfinite \text{ } xs; P \text{ } xs \text{ } LNil \rfloor] \implies P(LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } LNil$
and $LCons2: \bigwedge y \text{ } ys. [\lfloor lfinite \text{ } ys; P \text{ } LNil \text{ } ys \rfloor] \implies P \text{ } LNil \text{ } (LCons \text{ } y \text{ } ys)$
and $LCons: \bigwedge x \text{ } xs \text{ } y \text{ } ys. [\lfloor lfinite \text{ } xs; lfinite \text{ } ys; P \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \rfloor] \implies P(LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs) \\ (LCons \text{ } y \text{ } ys)$
shows $P \text{ } xs \text{ } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldropn-0 [simp]: $ldropn \text{ } 0 \text{ } xs = xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldropn-LNil [code, simp]: $ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } LNil = LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldropn-lnull: $lnull \text{ } xs \implies ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } xs = LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldropn-LCons [code]:

$ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } (LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs) = (\text{case } n \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs \mid Suc \text{ } n' \Rightarrow ldropn \text{ } n' \text{ } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldropn-Suc: $ldropn \text{ } (Suc \text{ } n) \text{ } xs = (\text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow LNil \mid LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs' \Rightarrow ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } xs')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldropn-Suc-LCons [simp]: $ldropn \text{ } (Suc \text{ } n) \text{ } (LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs) = ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ltl-ldropn: $ltl \text{ } (ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } xs) = ldropn \text{ } n \text{ } (ltl \text{ } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldrop-simps [simp]:

shows $ldrop \text{-} LNil: ldrop \text{ } n \text{ } LNil = LNil$
and $ldrop \text{-} 0: ldrop \text{ } 0 \text{ } xs = xs$
and $ldrop \text{-} eSuc \text{-} LCons: ldrop \text{ } (eSuc \text{ } n) \text{ } (LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs) = ldrop \text{ } n \text{ } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ldrop-lnull: $lnull \text{ } xs \implies ldrop \text{ } n \text{ } xs = LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fixes $f \text{ } F$

defines $F \equiv \lambda ldropn \text{ } xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow \lambda \cdot. LNil \mid LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs \Rightarrow \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } LCons \text{ } x \text{ } xs \text{ else } ldropn \text{ } xs \text{ } (n - 1)$

shows *ldropn-fixp*: $(\lambda xs\ n.\ ldropn\ n\ xs) \equiv ccpo.fixp\ (fun-lub\ (fun-lub\ lSup))\ (fun-ord\ (fun-ord\ lprefix))\ (\lambda ldrop.\ F\ ldrop)\ (\mathbf{is}\ ?lhs \equiv ?rhs)$
and *ldropn-mono*: $\bigwedge xs.\ mono-llist-lift\ (\lambda ldrop.\ F\ ldrop\ xs)\ (\mathbf{is}\ PROP\ ?mono)$
{proof}

lemma *ldropn-fixp-case-conv*:

$(\lambda xs.\ case\ xs\ of\ LNil \Rightarrow \lambda .\ LNil \mid LCons\ x\ xs \Rightarrow \lambda n.\ if\ n = 0\ then\ LCons\ x\ xs\ else\ f\ xs\ (n - 1)) =$
 $(\lambda xs\ n.\ case\ xs\ of\ LNil \Rightarrow LNil \mid LCons\ x\ xs \Rightarrow if\ n = 0\ then\ LCons\ x\ xs\ else\ f\ xs\ (n - 1))$
{proof}

lemma *monotone-ldropn-aux*: *monotone lprefix (fun-ord lprefix) ($\lambda xs\ n.\ ldropn\ n\ xs$)*
{proof}

lemma *mono2mono-ldropn* [THEN *llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *monotone-ldropn'*: *monotone lprefix lprefix ($\lambda xs.\ ldropn\ n\ xs$)*
{proof}

lemma *mcont-ldropn-aux*: *mcont lSup lprefix (fun-lub lSup) (fun-ord lprefix) ($\lambda xs\ n.\ ldropn\ n\ xs$)*
{proof}

lemma *mcont2mcont-ldropn* [THEN *llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *mcont-ldropn*: *mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix (ldropn n)*
{proof}

lemma *monotone-enat-cocase* [cont-intro, simp]:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge n.\ monotone\ (\leq)\ ord\ (\lambda n.\ f\ n\ (eSuc\ n));$
 $\bigwedge n.\ ord\ a\ (f\ n\ (eSuc\ n));\ ord\ a\ a \rrbracket$
 $\implies monotone\ (\leq)\ ord\ (\lambda n.\ case\ n\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow a \mid eSuc\ n' \Rightarrow f\ n'\ n)$
{proof}

lemma *monotone-ldrop*: *monotone (rel-prod (=) (\sqsubseteq)) (\sqsubseteq) (case-prod ldrop)*
{proof}

lemma *mono2mono-ldrop2* [THEN *llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *monotone-ldrop2*: *monotone (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (ldrop n)*
{proof}

lemma *mcont-ldrop*: *mcont (prod-lub the-Sup lSup) (rel-prod (=) (\sqsubseteq)) lSup (\sqsubseteq) (case-prod ldrop)*
{proof}

lemma *mcont2monct-ldrop2* [THEN *llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *mcont-ldrop2*: *mcont lSup (\sqsubseteq) lSup (\sqsubseteq) (ldrop n)*
{proof}

lemma *ldrop-eSuc-conv-ltl*: $\text{ldrop}(\text{eSuc } n) \text{ xs} = \text{ltl}(\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs})$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldrop-ltl*: $\text{ldrop } n (\text{ltl } \text{xs}) = \text{ldrop}(\text{eSuc } n) \text{ xs}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *lnull-ldropn* [simp]: $\text{lnull}(\text{ldropn } n \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow \text{llength } \text{xs} \leq \text{enat } n$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldropn-eq-LNil* [simp]: $\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs} = \text{LNil} \longleftrightarrow \text{llength } \text{xs} \leq n$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *lnull-ldrop* [simp]: $\text{lnull}(\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow \text{llength } \text{xs} \leq n$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldropn-eq-LNil*: $(\text{ldropn } n \text{ xs} = \text{LNil}) = (\text{llength } \text{xs} \leq \text{enat } n)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldropn-all*: $\text{llength } \text{xs} \leq \text{enat } m \implies \text{ldropn } m \text{ xs} = \text{LNil}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldrop-all*: $\text{llength } \text{xs} \leq m \implies \text{ldrop } m \text{ xs} = \text{LNil}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ltl-ldrop*: $\text{ltl}(\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs}) = \text{ldrop } n (\text{ltl } \text{xs})$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldrop-eSuc*:
 $\text{ldrop}(\text{eSuc } n) \text{ xs} = (\text{case } \text{xs} \text{ of } \text{LNil} \Rightarrow \text{LNil} \mid \text{LCons } x \text{ xs}' \Rightarrow \text{ldrop } n \text{ xs}')$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldrop-LCons*:
 $\text{ldrop } n (\text{LCons } x \text{ xs}) = (\text{case } n \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow \text{LCons } x \text{ xs} \mid \text{eSuc } n' \Rightarrow \text{ldrop } n' \text{ xs})$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldrop-inf* [code, simp]: $\text{ldrop } \infty \text{ xs} = \text{LNil}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldrop-enat* [code]: $\text{ldrop}(\text{enat } n) \text{ xs} = \text{ldropn } n \text{ xs}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *lfinite-ldropn* [simp]: $\text{lfinite}(\text{ldropn } n \text{ xs}) = \text{lfinite } \text{xs}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *lfinite-ldrop* [simp]:
 $\text{lfinite}(\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow \text{lfinite } \text{xs} \vee n = \infty$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ldropn-ltl*: $\text{ldropn } n (\text{ltl } \text{xs}) = \text{ldropn}(\text{Suc } n) \text{ xs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $ldrop\text{-}eSuc\text{-}ltl = ldropn\text{-}ltl[symmetric]$

lemma $lset\text{-}ldropn\text{-}subset$: $lset(ldropn n xs) \subseteq lset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in\text{-}lset\text{-}ldropnD$: $x \in lset(ldropn n xs) \implies x \in lset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lset\text{-}ldrop\text{-}subset$: $lset(ldrop n xs) \subseteq lset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in\text{-}lset\text{-}ldropD$: $x \in lset(ldrop n xs) \implies x \in lset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lappend\text{-}ltake\text{-}ldrop$: $lappend(ltake n xs)(ldrop n xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropn\text{-}lappend$:
 $ldropn n (lappend xs ys) =$
 $(if enat n < llength xs then lappend(ldropn n xs) ys$
 $else ldropn(n - the-enat(llength xs)) ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropn\text{-}lappend2$:
 $llength xs \leq enat n \implies ldropn n (lappend xs ys) = ldropn(n - the-enat(llength xs)) ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lappend\text{-}ltake\text{-}enat\text{-}ldropn$ [simp]: $lappend(ltake(enat n) xs)(ldropn n xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldrop\text{-}lappend$:
 $ldrop n (lappend xs ys) =$
 $(if n < llength xs then lappend(ldrop n xs) ys$
 $else ldrop(n - llength xs) ys)$
— cannot prove this directly using fixpoint induction, because $(-)$ is not a least fixpoint
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ltake\text{-}plus\text{-}conv\text{-}lappend$:
 $ltake(n + m) xs = lappend(ltake n xs)(ltake m (ldrop n xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropn\text{-}eq\text{-}LConsD$:
 $ldropn n xs = LCons y ys \implies enat n < llength xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldrop-eq-LConsD*:
 $ldrop\ n\ xs = LCons\ y\ ys \implies n < llength\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *ldropn-lmap [simp]*: $ldropn\ n\ (lmap\ f\ xs) = lmap\ f\ (ldropn\ n\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldrop-lmap [simp]*: $ldrop\ n\ (lmap\ f\ xs) = lmap\ f\ (ldrop\ n\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldropn-ldropn [simp]*:
 $ldropn\ n\ (ldropn\ m\ xs) = ldropn\ (n + m)\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *ldrop-ldrop [simp]*:
 $ldrop\ n\ (ldrop\ m\ xs) = ldrop\ (n + m)\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *llength-ldropn [simp]*: $llength\ (ldropn\ n\ xs) = llength\ xs - enat\ n$
(proof)

lemma *enat-llength-ldropn*:
 $enat\ n \leq llength\ xs \implies enat\ (n - m) \leq llength\ (ldropn\ m\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldropn-llist-of [simp]*: $ldropn\ n\ (llist-of\ xs) = llist-of\ (drop\ n\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldrop-llist-of*: $ldrop\ (enat\ n)\ (llist-of\ xs) = llist-of\ (drop\ n\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *drop-list-of*:
 $lfinite\ xs \implies drop\ n\ (list-of\ xs) = list-of\ (ldropn\ n\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *llength-ldrop*: $llength\ (ldrop\ n\ xs) = (\text{if } n = \infty \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } llength\ xs - n)$
(proof)

lemma *ltake-ldropn*: $ltake\ n\ (ldropn\ m\ xs) = ldropn\ m\ (ltake\ (n + enat\ m)\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldropn-ltake*: $ldropn\ n\ (ltake\ m\ xs) = ltake\ (m - enat\ n)\ (ldropn\ n\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ltake-ldrop*: $ltake\ n\ (ldrop\ m\ xs) = ldrop\ m\ (ltake\ (n + m)\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldrop-ltake*: $ldrop\ n\ (ltake\ m\ xs) = ltake\ (m - n)\ (ldrop\ n\ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.14 Taking the n -th element of a lazy list: $lnth$

lemma $lnth-LNil$:

$lnth LNil n = undefined\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnth-0$ [simp]:

$lnth (LCons\ x\ xs)\ 0 = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnth-Suc-LCons$ [simp]:

$lnth (LCons\ x\ xs)\ (Suc\ n) = lnth\ xs\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnth-LCons$:

$lnth (LCons\ x\ xs)\ n = (case\ n\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow x\ |\ Suc\ n' \Rightarrow lnth\ xs\ n')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnth-LCons'$: $lnth (LCons\ x\ xs)\ n = (if\ n = 0\ then\ x\ else\ lnth\ xs\ (n - 1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lhd-conv-lnth$:

$\neg lnull\ xs \implies lhd\ xs = lnth\ xs\ 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $lnth-0-conv-lhd = lhd-conv-lnth$ [symmetric]

lemma $lnth-ltl$: $\neg lnull\ xs \implies lnth (ltl\ xs)\ n = lnth\ xs\ (Suc\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lhd-ldropn$:

$enat\ n < llength\ xs \implies lhd (ldropn\ n\ xs) = lnth\ xs\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lhd-ldrop$:

assumes $n < llength\ xs$

shows $lhd (ldrop\ n\ xs) = lnth\ xs\ (\text{the-enat}\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnth-beyond$:

$llength\ xs \leq enat\ n \implies lnth\ xs\ n = undefined\ (n - (case\ llength\ xs\ of\ enat\ m \Rightarrow m))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnth-lmap$ [simp]:

$enat\ n < llength\ xs \implies lnth (lmap\ f\ xs)\ n = f\ (lnth\ xs\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lnth-ldropn [simp]:
  enat (n + m) < llength xs ==> lnth (ldropn n xs) m = lnth xs (m + n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-ldrop [simp]:
  n + enat m < llength xs ==> lnth (ldrop n xs) m = lnth xs (m + the-enat n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-lset-conv-lnth:
  x ∈ lset xs ↔ (exists n. enat n < llength xs ∧ lnth xs n = x)
  (is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-conv-lnth: lset xs = {lnth xs n | n. enat n < llength xs}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-llist-of [simp]: lnth (llist-of xs) = nth xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-list-of [simp]:
  assumes lfinite xs
  shows nth (list-of xs) = lnth xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lappend1:
  enat n < llength xs ==> lnth (lappend xs ys) n = lnth xs n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lappend-llist-of:
  lnth (lappend (llist-of xs) ys) n =
  (if n < length xs then xs ! n else lnth ys (n - length xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lappend2:
  [| llength xs = enat k; k ≤ n |] ==> lnth (lappend xs ys) n = lnth ys (n - k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lappend:
  lnth (lappend xs ys) n = (if enat n < llength xs then lnth xs n else lnth ys (n - the-enat (llength xs)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-ltake:
  enat m < n ==> lnth (ltake n xs) m = lnth xs m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn:
  enat n < llength xs ==> LCons (lnth xs n) (ldropn (Suc n) xs) = ldropn n xs

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth*:

enat m < llength xs \implies ltake (enat (Suc m)) xs = lappend (ltake (enat m) xs) (LCons (lnth xs m) LNil)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lappend-eq-lappend-conv*:

assumes *len: llength xs = llength us*

shows *lappend xs ys = lappend us vs \longleftrightarrow*

xs = us \wedge (lfinite xs \longrightarrow ys = vs) (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.15 iterates

lemmas *iterates [code, nitpick-simp] = iterates.ctr*

and *lnull-iterates = iterates.simps(1)*

and *lhd-iterates = iterates.simps(2)*

and *ttl-iterates = iterates.simps(3)*

lemma *lfinite-iterates [iff]: \neg lfinite (iterates f x)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-iterates: lmap f (iterates f x) = iterates f (f x)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-lmap: iterates f x = LCons x (lmap f (iterates f x))*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lappend-iterates: lappend (iterates f x) xs = iterates f x*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:

fixes *f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a*

shows *lnull-funpow-lmap: lnull ((lmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) xs) \longleftrightarrow lnull xs*

and *lhd-funpow-lmap: \neg lnull xs \implies lhd ((lmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) xs) = (f $\wedge\wedge$ n) (lhd xs)*

and *ttl-funpow-lmap: \neg lnull xs \implies ttl ((lmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) xs) = (lmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) (ttl xs)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-equality:*

assumes *h: $\bigwedge x. h x = LCons x (lmap f (h x))$*

shows *h = iterates f*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-iterates [simp]: llength (iterates f x) = ∞*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldropn-iterates: ldropn n (iterates f x) = iterates f ((f $\wedge\wedge$ n) x)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldrop-iterates*: *ldrop (enat n) (iterates f x) = iterates f ((f ^ n) x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-iterates [simp]*: *lnth (iterates f x) n = (f ^ n) x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-iterates*:
lset (iterates f x) = {(f ^ n) x | n. True}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-repeat [simp]*: *lset (repeat x) = {x}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.16 More on the prefix ordering on lazy lists: (\sqsubseteq) and *lstrict-prefix*

lemma *lstrict-prefix-code [code, simp]*:
lstrict-prefix LNil LNil \longleftrightarrow False
lstrict-prefix LNil (LCons y ys) \longleftrightarrow True
lstrict-prefix (LCons x xs) LNil \longleftrightarrow False
lstrict-prefix (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys) \longleftrightarrow x = y \wedge lstrict-prefix xs ys
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-lprefix*: *xs \sqsubseteq ys \implies lmap f xs \sqsubseteq lmap f ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-llength-eq-imp-eq*:
 $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; \text{llength } xs = \text{llength } ys \rrbracket \implies xs = ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-llength-le*: *xs \sqsubseteq ys \implies llength xs \leq llength ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lstrict-prefix-llength-less*:
assumes *lstrict-prefix xs ys*
shows *llength xs < llength ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lstrict-prefix-lfinite1*: *lstrict-prefix xs ys \implies lfinite xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfP-lstrict-prefix*: *wfP lstrict-prefix*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-less-induct*[case-names less]:
 $(\bigwedge xs. (\bigwedge ys. \text{lstrict-prefix } ys \text{ } xs \implies P \text{ } ys) \implies P \text{ } xs) \implies P \text{ } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma ltake-enat-eq-imp-eq: ( $\bigwedge n. \text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xs = \text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) ys \implies xs = ys$ )
  (proof)

lemma ltake-enat-lprefix-imp-lprefix:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. \text{lprefix}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xs) (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) ys)$ 
  shows  $\text{lprefix} xs ys$ 
  (proof)

lemma lprefix-conv-lappend:  $xs \sqsubseteq ys \longleftrightarrow (\exists zs. ys = \text{lappend} xs zs)$  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
  (proof)

lemma lappend-lprefixE:
  assumes  $\text{lappend} xs ys \sqsubseteq zs$ 
  obtains  $zs'$  where  $zs = \text{lappend} xs zs'$ 
  (proof)

lemma lprefix-lfiniteD:
   $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq ys; \text{lfinite} ys \rrbracket \implies \text{lfinite} xs$ 
  (proof)

lemma lprefix-lappendD:
  assumes  $xs \sqsubseteq \text{lappend} ys zs$ 
  shows  $xs \sqsubseteq ys \vee ys \sqsubseteq xs$ 
  (proof)

lemma lstrict-prefix-lappend-conv:
   $\text{lstrict-prefix} xs (\text{lappend} xs ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{lfinite} xs \wedge \neg \text{lnull} ys$ 
  (proof)

lemma lprefix-llist-ofI:
   $\exists zs. ys = xs @ zs \implies \text{llist-of} xs \sqsubseteq \text{llist-of} ys$ 
  (proof)

lemma lprefix-llist-of [simp]:  $\text{llist-of} xs \sqsubseteq \text{llist-of} ys \longleftrightarrow \text{prefix} xs ys$ 
  (proof)

lemma llimit-induct [case-names LNil LCons limit]:
  — The limit case is just an instance of admissibility
  assumes LNil:  $P \text{ LNil}$ 
  and LCons:  $\bigwedge x xs. \llbracket \text{lfinite} xs; P xs \rrbracket \implies P (\text{LCons} x xs)$ 
  and limit:  $(\bigwedge ys. \text{lstrict-prefix} ys xs \implies P ys) \implies P xs$ 
  shows  $P xs$ 
  (proof)

lemma lmap-lstrict-prefix:
   $\text{lstrict-prefix} xs ys \implies \text{lstrict-prefix} (\text{lmap} f xs) (\text{lmap} f ys)$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma lprefix-lnthD:
  assumes xs ⊑ ys and enat n < llength xs
  shows lnth xs n = lnth ys n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-lSup-chain:
  assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain (⊑) A
  shows lfinite (lSup A) ←→ finite A ∧ (∀ xs ∈ A. lfinite xs) (is ?lhs ←→ ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

Setup for (⊑) for Nitpick

definition finite-lprefix :: 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ bool
where finite-lprefix = (⊑)

lemma finite-lprefix-nitpick-simps [nitpick-simp]:
  finite-lprefix xs LNil ←→ xs = LNil
  finite-lprefix LNil xs ←→ True
  finite-lprefix xs (LCons y ys) ←→
    xs = LNil ∨ (∃ xs'. xs = LCons y xs' ∧ finite-lprefix xs' ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-nitpick-simps [nitpick-simp]:
  xs ⊑ ys = (if lfinite xs then finite-lprefix xs ys else xs = ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

hide-const (open) finite-lprefix
hide-fact (open) finite-lprefix-def finite-lprefix-nitpick-simps lprefix-nitpick-simps

```

2.17 Length of the longest common prefix

```

lemma llcp-simps [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  shows llcp-LNil1: llcp LNil ys = 0
  and llcp-LNil2: llcp xs LNil = 0
  and llcp-LCons: llcp (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys) = (if x = y then eSuc (llcp xs ys) else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llcp-eq-0-iff:
  llcp xs ys = 0 ←→ lnull xs ∨ lnull ys ∨ lhd xs ≠ lhd ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma epred-llcp:
  [¬ lnull xs; ¬ lnull ys; lhd xs = lhd ys]
  ⇒ epred (llcp xs ys) = llcp (lhd xs) (lhd ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llcp-commute: llcp xs ys = llcp ys xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *llcp-same-conv-length* [*simp*]: $\text{llcp } xs \ xs = \text{llength } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-lappend-same* [*simp*]:
 $\text{llcp } (\text{lappend } xs \ ys) \ (\text{lappend } xs \ zs) = \text{llength } xs + \text{llcp } ys \ zs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-lprefix1* [*simp*]: $xs \sqsubseteq ys \implies \text{llcp } xs \ ys = \text{llength } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-lprefix2* [*simp*]: $ys \sqsubseteq xs \implies \text{llcp } xs \ ys = \text{llength } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-le-length*: $\text{llcp } xs \ ys \leq \min(\text{llength } xs, \text{llength } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-ltake1*: $\text{llcp } (\text{ltake } n \ xs) \ ys = \min(n, \text{llcp } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-ltake2*: $\text{llcp } xs \ (\text{ltake } n \ ys) = \min(n, \text{llcp } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llcp-ltake* [*simp*]: $\text{llcp } (\text{ltake } n \ xs) \ (\text{ltake } m \ ys) = \min(\min(n, m), \text{llcp } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.18 Zipping two lazy lists to a lazy list of pairs *lzip*

lemma *lzip-simps* [*simp, code, nitpick-simp*]:
 $\text{lzip } LNil \ ys = LNil$
 $\text{lzip } xs \ LNil = LNil$
 $\text{lzip } (LCons \ x \ xs) \ (LCons \ y \ ys) = LCons \ (x, y) \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lnull-lzip* [*simp*]: $\text{lnull } (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) \iff \text{lnull } xs \vee \text{lnull } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-eq-LNil-conv*: $\text{lzip } xs \ ys = LNil \iff xs = LNil \vee ys = LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *lhd-lzip = lzip.sel(1)*
and *ltl-lzip = lzip.sel(2)*

lemma *lzip-eq-LCons-conv*:
 $\text{lzip } xs \ ys = LCons \ z \ zs \iff$
 $(\exists x \ xs' \ y \ ys'. \ xs = LCons \ x \ xs' \wedge ys = LCons \ y \ ys' \wedge z = (x, y) \wedge zs = \text{lzip } xs' \ ys')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma lzip-lappend:
  llength xs = llength us
  ==> lzip (lappend xs ys) (lappend us vs) = lappend (lzip xs us) (lzip ys vs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-lzip [simp]:
  llength (lzip xs ys) = min (llength xs) (llength ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltake-lzip: ltake n (lzip xs ys) = lzip (ltake n xs) (ltake n ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropn-lzip [simp]:
  ldropn n (lzip xs ys) = lzip (ldropn n xs) (ldropn n ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes F
  defines F ≡ λlzip (xs, ys). case xs of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons x xs' ⇒ case ys of
    LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons y ys' ⇒ LCons (x, y) (curry lzip xs' ys')
  shows lzip-conv-fixp: lzip ≡ curry (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub lSup) (fun-ord (⊓)) F) (is
    ?lhs ≡ ?rhs)
  and lzip-mono: mono-llist (λlzip. F lzip xs) (is ?mono xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-lzip: monotone (rel-prod (⊓) (⊓)) (⊓) (case-prod lzip)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-lzip1 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-lzip1: monotone (⊓) (⊓) (λxs. lzip xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-lzip2 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-lzip2: monotone (⊓) (⊓) (λys. lzip xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-lzip: mcont (prod-lub lSup lSup) (rel-prod (⊓) (⊓)) lSup (⊓) (case-prod
lzip)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lzip1 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-lzip1: mcont lSup (⊓) lSup (⊓) (λxs. lzip xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lzip2 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-lzip2: mcont lSup (⊓) lSup (⊓) (λys. lzip xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *ldrop-lzip* [simp]: $\text{ldrop } n \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) = \text{lzip} \ (\text{ldrop } n \ xs) \ (\text{ldrop } n \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-iterates*:
 $\text{lzip} \ (\text{iterates } f \ x) \ (\text{iterates } g \ y) = \text{iterates} \ (\lambda(x, y). \ (f \ x, g \ y)) \ (x, y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-llist-of* [simp]:
 $\text{lzip} \ (\text{llist-of } xs) \ (\text{llist-of } ys) = \text{llist-of} \ (\text{zip } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lnth-lzip*:
 $\begin{aligned} & [\text{enat } n < \text{llength } xs; \text{enat } n < \text{llength } ys] \\ & \implies \text{lnth} \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) \ n = (\text{lnth } xs \ n, \text{lnth } ys \ n) \end{aligned}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-lzip*:
 $\text{lset} \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) = \{(\text{lnth } xs \ n, \text{lnth } ys \ n) | n. \text{enat } n < \min(\text{llength } xs, \text{llength } ys)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-lzipD1*: $(x, y) \in \text{lset} \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) \implies x \in \text{lset } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-lzipD2*: $(x, y) \in \text{lset} \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) \implies y \in \text{lset } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-lzip-same* [simp]: $\text{lset} \ (\text{lzip } xs \ xs) = (\lambda x. (x, x)) \ ` \text{lset } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-lzip* [simp]:
 $\text{lfinite} \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{lfinite } xs \vee \text{lfinite } ys \ (\text{is? } ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-eq-lappend-conv*:
assumes *eq*: $\text{lzip } xs \ ys = \text{lappend } us \ vs$
shows $\exists xs' xs'' ys' ys''. \ xs = \text{lappend } xs' xs'' \wedge ys = \text{lappend } ys' ys'' \wedge$
 $\text{llength } xs' = \text{llength } ys' \wedge us = \text{lzip } xs' ys' \wedge$
 $vs = \text{lzip } xs'' ys''$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-lmap* [simp]:
 $\text{lzip} \ (\text{lmap } f \ xs) \ (\text{lmap } g \ ys) = \text{lmap} \ (\lambda(x, y). \ (f \ x, g \ y)) \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-lmap1*:
 $\text{lzip} \ (\text{lmap } f \ xs) \ ys = \text{lmap} \ (\lambda(x, y). \ (f \ x, y)) \ (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lzip-lmap2*:

$$\text{lzip } xs \text{ (lmap } f \text{ ys)} = \text{lmap } (\lambda(x, y). (x, f y)) \text{ (lzip } xs \text{ ys)}$$

(proof)

lemma *lmap-fst-lzip-conv-ltake*:

$$\text{lmap } \text{fst } (\text{lzip } xs \text{ ys}) = \text{ltake } (\min (\text{llength } xs) (\text{llength } ys)) \text{ xs}$$

(proof)

lemma *lmap-snd-lzip-conv-ltake*:

$$\text{lmap } \text{snd } (\text{lzip } xs \text{ ys}) = \text{ltake } (\min (\text{llength } xs) (\text{llength } ys)) \text{ ys}$$

(proof)

lemma *lzip-conv-lzip-ltake-min-llength*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lzip } xs \text{ ys} &= \\ &\text{lzip } (\text{ltake } (\min (\text{llength } xs) (\text{llength } ys)) \text{ xs}) \\ &\quad (\text{ltake } (\min (\text{llength } xs) (\text{llength } ys)) \text{ ys}) \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

2.19 Taking and dropping from a lazy list: *ltakeWhile* and *ldropWhile*

lemma *ltakeWhile-simps* [*simp*, *code*, *nitpick-simp*]:

- shows** *ltakeWhile-LNil*: $\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ LNil} = \text{LNil}$
- and** *ltakeWhile-LCons*: $\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ (LCons } x \text{ xs)} = (\text{if } P \text{ } x \text{ then LCons } x \text{ (ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs)} \text{ else LNil})$

(proof)

lemma *ldropWhile-simps* [*simp*, *code*]:

- shows** *ldropWhile-LNil*: $\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ LNil} = \text{LNil}$
- and** *ldropWhile-LCons*: $\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ (LCons } x \text{ xs)} = (\text{if } P \text{ } x \text{ then ldropWhile } P \text{ xs} \text{ else LCons } x \text{ xs})$

(proof)

lemma *fixes f F P*

- defines** $F \equiv \lambda \text{ltakeWhile } xs. \text{ case } xs \text{ of LNil} \Rightarrow \text{LNil} \mid \text{LCons } x \text{ xs} \Rightarrow \text{if } P \text{ } x \text{ then LCons } x \text{ (ltakeWhile } xs) \text{ else LNil}$
- shows** *ltakeWhile-conv-fixp*: $\text{ltakeWhile } P \equiv \text{ccpo.fixp } (\text{fun-lub } \text{lSup}) \text{ (fun-ord lprefix) } F$ (**is** $?lhs \equiv ?rhs$)
- and** *ltakeWhile-mono*: $\wedge \text{xs. mono-llist } (\lambda \text{ltakeWhile. } F \text{ ltakeWhile } xs)$ (**is** *PROP* $?mono$)

(proof)

lemma *mono2mono-ltakeWhile* [*THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp*]:

- shows** *monotone-ltakeWhile*: *monotone lprefix lprefix (ltakeWhile P)*

(proof)

lemma *mcont2mcont-ltakeWhile* [*THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:

- shows** *mcont-ltakeWhile*: *mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix (ltakeWhile P)*

(proof)

```

lemma mono-llist-ltakeWhile [partial-function-mono]:
  mono-llist F  $\implies$  mono-llist ( $\lambda f$ . ltakeWhile P (F f))
  {proof}

lemma mono2mono-ldropWhile [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-ldropWhile: monotone ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (ldropWhile P)
  {proof}

lemma mcont2mcont-ldropWhile [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-ldropWhile: mcont lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (ldropWhile P)
  {proof}

lemma lnull-ltakeWhile [simp]: lnull (ltakeWhile P xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  lnull xs  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  P (lhd xs))
  {proof}

lemma ltakeWhile-eq-LNil-iff: ltakeWhile P xs = LNil  $\longleftrightarrow$  (xs  $\neq$  LNil  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  P (lhd xs))
  {proof}

lemmas lhd-ltakeWhile = ltakeWhile.sel(1)

lemma ltl-ltakeWhile:
  ltl (ltakeWhile P xs) = (if P (lhd xs) then ltakeWhile P (ltl xs) else LNil)
  {proof}

lemma lprefix-ltakeWhile: ltakeWhile P xs  $\sqsubseteq$  xs
  {proof}

lemma llength-ltakeWhile-le: llength (ltakeWhile P xs)  $\leq$  llength xs
  {proof}

lemma ltakeWhile-nth: enat i  $<$  llength (ltakeWhile P xs)  $\implies$  lnth (ltakeWhile P xs) i = lnth xs i
  {proof}

lemma ltakeWhile-all:  $\forall x \in lset xs$ . P x  $\implies$  ltakeWhile P xs = xs
  {proof}

lemma lset-ltakeWhileD:
  assumes  $x \in lset (ltakeWhile P xs)$ 
  shows  $x \in lset xs \wedge P x$ 
  {proof}

lemma lset-ltakeWhile-subset:
   $lset (ltakeWhile P xs) \subseteq lset xs \cap \{x. P x\}$ 
  {proof}

```

lemma *ltakeWhile-all-conv*: $\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs} = \text{xs} \longleftrightarrow \text{lset } \text{xs} \subseteq \{x. P x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llength-ltakeWhile-all*: $\text{llength } (\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs}) = \text{llength } \text{xs} \longleftrightarrow \text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs} = \text{xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ldropWhile-eq-LNil-iff*: $\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs} = \text{LNil} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. P x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lnull-ldropWhile [simp]*:
 $\text{lnull } (\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. P x)$ (**is** ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-ldropWhile-subset*:
 $\text{lset } (\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) \subseteq \text{lset } \text{xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-lset-ldropWhileD*: $x \in \text{lset } (\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) \implies x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltakeWhile-lmap*: $\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ (lmap } f \text{ xs)} = \text{lmap } f \text{ (ltakeWhile } (P \circ f) \text{ xs)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ldropWhile-lmap*: $\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ (lmap } f \text{ xs)} = \text{lmap } f \text{ (ldropWhile } (P \circ f) \text{ xs)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llength-ltakeWhile-lt-iff*: $\text{llength } (\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs}) < \text{llength } \text{xs} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. \neg P x)$
 $\langle \text{is} \text{ ?lhs} \longleftrightarrow \text{?rhs} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltakeWhile-K-False [simp]*: $\text{ltakeWhile } (\lambda _. \text{ False}) \text{ xs} = \text{LNil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltakeWhile-K-True [simp]*: $\text{ltakeWhile } (\lambda _. \text{ True}) \text{ xs} = \text{xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ldropWhile-K-False [simp]*: $\text{ldropWhile } (\lambda _. \text{ False}) = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ldropWhile-K-True [simp]*: $\text{ldropWhile } (\lambda _. \text{ True}) \text{ xs} = \text{LNil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lappend-ltakeWhile-ldropWhile [simp]*:
 $\text{lappend } (\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs}) \text{ (ldropWhile } P \text{ xs)} = \text{xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltakeWhile-lappend*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{ltakeWhile } P \textit{ (lappend } xs \textit{ ys) } &= \\ (\textit{if } \exists x \in \textit{lset } xs. \neg P x \textit{ then ltakeWhile } P xs \\ &\quad \textit{else lappend } xs \textit{ (ltakeWhile } P ys)) \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

lemma *ldropWhile-lappend*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{ldropWhile } P \textit{ (lappend } xs \textit{ ys) } &= \\ (\textit{if } \exists x \in \textit{lset } xs. \neg P x \textit{ then lappend } (\textit{ldropWhile } P xs) \textit{ ys} \\ &\quad \textit{else if lfinite } xs \textit{ then ldropWhile } P ys \textit{ else LNil}) \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

lemma *lfinite-ltakeWhile*:

$$\textit{lfinite } (\textit{ltakeWhile } P xs) \longleftrightarrow \textit{lfinite } xs \vee (\exists x \in \textit{lset } xs. \neg P x) \text{ (is ?lhs} \longleftrightarrow \text{?rhs)}$$

(proof)

lemma *llength-ltakeWhile-eq-infinity*:

$$\textit{llength } (\textit{ltakeWhile } P xs) = \infty \longleftrightarrow \neg \textit{lfinite } xs \wedge \textit{ltakeWhile } P xs = xs$$

(proof)

lemma *llength-ltakeWhile-eq-infinity'*:

$$\textit{llength } (\textit{ltakeWhile } P xs) = \infty \longleftrightarrow \neg \textit{lfinite } xs \wedge (\forall x \in \textit{lset } xs. P x)$$

(proof)

lemma *lzip-ltakeWhile-fst*: $\textit{lzip } (\textit{ltakeWhile } P xs) \textit{ ys} = \textit{ltakeWhile } (P \circ \textit{fst}) \textit{ (lzip } xs \textit{ ys)}$

(proof)

lemma *lzip-ltakeWhile-snd*: $\textit{lzip } xs \textit{ (ltakeWhile } P ys) = \textit{ltakeWhile } (P \circ \textit{snd}) \textit{ (lzip } xs \textit{ ys)}$

(proof)

lemma *ltakeWhile-lappend1*:

$$[\![x \in \textit{lset } xs; \neg P x]\!] \implies \textit{ltakeWhile } P \textit{ (lappend } xs \textit{ ys) } = \textit{ltakeWhile } P xs$$

(proof)

lemma *ltakeWhile-lappend2*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{lset } xs &\subseteq \{x. P x\} \\ \implies \textit{ltakeWhile } P \textit{ (lappend } xs \textit{ ys) } &= \textit{lappend } xs \textit{ (ltakeWhile } P ys) \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

lemma *ltakeWhile-cong* [*cong*, *fundef-cong*]:

assumes $xs = ys$

and $PQ: \bigwedge x. x \in \textit{lset } ys \implies P x = Q x$

shows $\textit{ltakeWhile } P xs = \textit{ltakeWhile } Q ys$

(proof)

lemma *lnth-llength-ltakeWhile*:

```

assumes len:  $\text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs}) < \text{llength } \text{xs}$ 
shows  $\neg P(\text{lnth } \text{xs} (\text{the-enat}(\text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs}))))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma assumes  $\exists x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. \neg P x$ 
shows lhd-ldropWhile:  $\neg P(\text{lhd}(\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}))$  (is ?thesis1)
and lhd-ldropWhile-in-lset:  $\text{lhd}(\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) \in \text{lset } \text{xs}$  (is ?thesis2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropWhile-eq-ldrop:
 $\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs} = \text{ldrop}(\text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs})) \text{ xs}$ 
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropWhile-cong [cong]:
 $\llbracket \text{xs} = \text{ys}; \bigwedge x. x \in \text{lset } \text{ys} \implies P x = Q x \rrbracket \implies \text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs} = \text{ldropWhile } Q \text{ ys}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeWhile-repeat:
 $\text{ltakeWhile } P (\text{repeat } x) = (\text{if } P x \text{ then repeat } x \text{ else } LNil)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropWhile-repeat:  $\text{ldropWhile } P (\text{repeat } x) = (\text{if } P x \text{ then } LNil \text{ else repeat } x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-ldropWhile:  $\text{lfinite}(\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. \neg P x) \longrightarrow$ 
lfinite xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-ldropWhile:
 $\text{llength}(\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) =$ 
 $(\text{if } \exists x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. \neg P x \text{ then } \text{llength } \text{xs} - \text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs}) \text{ else } 0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lhd-ldropWhile-conv-lnth:
 $\exists x \in \text{lset } \text{xs}. \neg P x \implies \text{lhd}(\text{ldropWhile } P \text{ xs}) = \text{lnth } \text{xs} (\text{the-enat}(\text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } P \text{ xs})))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.20 llist-all2

```

lemmas llist-all2-LNil-LNil = llist.rel-inject(1)
lemmas llist-all2-LNil-LCons = llist.rel-distinct(1)
lemmas llist-all2-LCons-LNil = llist.rel-distinct(2)
lemmas llist-all2-LCons-LCons = llist.rel-inject(2)

lemma llist-all2-LNil1 [simp]:  $\text{llist-all2 } P \text{ LNil } \text{xs} \longleftrightarrow \text{xs} = \text{LNil}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-LNil2* [simp]: $llist\text{-}all2 P xs LNil \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-LCons1*:

$llist\text{-}all2 P (LCons x xs) ys \longleftrightarrow (\exists y ys'. ys = LCons y ys' \wedge P x y \wedge llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-LCons2*:

$llist\text{-}all2 P xs (LCons y ys) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x xs'. xs = LCons x xs' \wedge P x y \wedge llist\text{-}all2 P xs' ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-llist-of* [simp]:

$llist\text{-}all2 P (llist\text{-}of xs) (llist\text{-}of ys) = list\text{-}all2 P xs ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-conv-lzip*:

$llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys \longleftrightarrow llengt(xs) = llengt(ys) \wedge (\forall (x, y) \in lset(lzip xs ys). P x y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-llengthD*:

$llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys \implies llengt(xs) = llengt(ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lnullD*: $llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys \implies lnull(xs) \longleftrightarrow lnull(ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-all-lnthI*:

$\llbracket llengt(xs) = llengt(ys); \wedge n. enat(n) < llengt(xs) \implies P(lnth(xs)n)(lnth(ys)n) \rrbracket$
 $\implies llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lnthD*:

$\llbracket llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys; enat(n) < llengt(xs) \rrbracket \implies P(lnth(xs)n)(lnth(ys)n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lnthD2*:

$\llbracket llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys; enat(n) < llengt(ys) \rrbracket \implies P(lnth(xs)n)(lnth(ys)n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-conv-all-lnth*:

$llist\text{-}all2 P xs ys \longleftrightarrow$
 $llengt(xs) = llengt(ys) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. enat(n) < llengt(ys) \longrightarrow P(lnth(xs)n)(lnth(ys)n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma llist-all2-True [simp]: llist-all2 ( $\lambda x. \text{True}$ ) xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  llength xs = llength ys
proof

lemma llist-all2-reflI:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in lset xs \implies P x x) \implies llist-all2 P xs xs$ 
proof

lemma llist-all2-lmap1:
 $llist-all2 P (lmap f xs) ys \longleftrightarrow llist-all2 (\lambda x. P (f x)) xs ys$ 
proof

lemma llist-all2-lmap2:
 $llist-all2 P xs (lmap g ys) \longleftrightarrow llist-all2 (\lambda x y. P x (g y)) xs ys$ 
proof

lemma llist-all2-lfiniteD:
 $llist-all2 P xs ys \implies lfinite xs \longleftrightarrow lfinite ys$ 
proof

lemma llist-all2-coinduct[consumes 1, case-names LNil LCons, case-conclusion LCons lhd ltl, coinduct pred]:
assumes major:  $X xs ys$ 
and step:
 $\bigwedge xs ys. X xs ys \implies lnull xs \longleftrightarrow lnull ys$ 
 $\bigwedge xs ys. [[ X xs ys; \neg lnull xs; \neg lnull ys ]] \implies P (lhd xs) (lhd ys) \wedge (X (ltl xs) (ltl ys) \vee llist-all2 P (ltl xs) (ltl ys))$ 
shows llist-all2 P xs ys
proof

lemma llist-all2-cases[consumes 1, case-names LNil LCons, cases pred]:
assumes llist-all2 P xs ys
obtains (LNil) xs = LNil ys = LNil
| (LCons) x xs' y ys'
where xs = LCons x xs' and ys = LCons y ys'
and P x y and llist-all2 P xs' ys'
proof

lemma llist-all2-mono:
 $[[ llist-all2 P xs ys; \bigwedge x y. P x y \implies P' x y ]] \implies llist-all2 P' xs ys$ 
proof

lemma llist-all2-left: llist-all2 ( $\lambda x. P x$ ) xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  llength xs = llength ys  $\wedge$   $(\forall x \in lset xs. P x)$ 
proof

lemma llist-all2-right: llist-all2 ( $\lambda . P$ ) xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  llength xs = llength ys  $\wedge$   $(\forall x \in lset ys. P x)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lsetD1*: $\llist{\llist{\text{all2}}}{P}{xs}{ys}; x \in lset{xs} \implies \exists y \in lset{ys}. P x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lsetD2*: $\llist{\llist{\text{all2}}}{P}{xs}{ys}; y \in lset{ys} \implies \exists x \in lset{xs}. P x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-conj*:

$\llist{\text{all2}}{(\lambda x y. P x y \wedge Q x y)}{xs}{ys} \longleftrightarrow \llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs}{ys} \wedge \llist{\text{all2}}{Q}{xs}{ys}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lhdD*:

$\llist{\llist{\text{all2}}}{P}{xs}{ys}; \neg lnull{xs} \implies P(lhd{xs})(lhd{ys})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lhdD2*:

$\llist{\llist{\text{all2}}}{P}{xs}{ys}; \neg lnull{ys} \implies P(lhd{xs})(lhd{ys})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-ltlI*:

$\llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs}{ys} \implies \llist{\text{all2}}{P}{(ltl\ xs)}{(ltl\ ys)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lappendI*:

assumes 1: $\llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs}{ys}$
and 2: $\llist{\text{lfinite}}{xs}{ys} \implies \llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs'}{ys'}$
shows $\llist{\text{all2}}{P}{(lappend\ xs\ xs')}{(lappend\ ys\ ys')}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-lappend1D*:

assumes $\llist{\text{all2}}{P}{(lappend\ xs\ xs')}{ys}$
shows $\llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs}{(ltake\ (llength\ xs)\ ys)}$
and $lfinite{xs} \implies \llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs'}{(ldrop\ (llength\ xs)\ ys)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-eq-lmap-conv-llist-all2*:

$lmap\ f\ xs = lmap\ g\ ys \longleftrightarrow \llist{\text{all2}}{(\lambda x y. f\ x = g\ y)}{xs}{ys}$ (**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-expand*:

$\llist{\text{lnull}}{xs} \longleftrightarrow \llist{\text{lnull}}{ys};$
 $\llist{\neg\ lnull}{xs} \wedge \llist{\neg\ lnull}{ys} \implies P(lhd{xs})(lhd{ys}) \wedge \llist{\text{all2}}{P}{(ltl\ xs)}{(ltl\ ys)}$
 $\implies \llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs}{ys}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-llength-ltakeWhileD*:

assumes *major*: $\llist{\text{all2}}{P}{xs}{ys}$
and $Q: \bigwedge x y. P x y \implies Q$
 $Q1 x \longleftrightarrow Q2 y$

shows $\text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } Q1 \text{ xs}) = \text{llength}(\text{ltakeWhile } Q2 \text{ ys})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma llist-all2-lzipI :

$$\begin{aligned} & [\llist-all2 P \text{ xs ys}; \llist-all2 P' \text{ xs' ys'}] \\ & \implies \llist-all2 (\text{rel-prod } P \text{ } P') (\text{lzip } \text{xs } \text{xs'}) (\text{lzip } \text{ys } \text{ys'}) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma llist-all2-ltakeI :

$$\llist-all2 P \text{ xs ys} \implies \llist-all2 P (\text{ltake } n \text{ xs}) (\text{ltake } n \text{ ys})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{llist-all2-ldropnI}$:

$$\llist-all2 P \text{ xs ys} \implies \llist-all2 P (\text{ldropn } n \text{ xs}) (\text{ldropn } n \text{ ys})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma llist-all2-ldropI :

$$\llist-all2 P \text{ xs ys} \implies \llist-all2 P (\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs}) (\text{ldrop } n \text{ ys})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma llist-all2-lSupI :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes Complete-Partial-Order.chain (rel-prod } (\sqsubseteq) \text{ } (\sqsubseteq)) Y \forall (xs, ys) \in Y. \llist-all2 \\ & P \text{ xs ys} \\ & \text{shows } \llist-all2 P (\text{lSup } (\text{fst } ' Y)) (\text{lSup } (\text{snd } ' Y)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{admissible-llist-all2}$ [cont-intro, simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes } f: \text{mcont lub ord lSup } (\sqsubseteq) (\lambda x. f x) \\ & \text{and } g: \text{mcont lub ord lSup } (\sqsubseteq) (\lambda x. g x) \\ & \text{shows ccpo.admissible lub ord } (\lambda x. \llist-all2 P (f x) (g x)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cont-intro] =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ccpo.mcont2mcont[OF llist-ccpo - mcont-fst]} \\ & \text{ccpo.mcont2mcont[OF llist-ccpo - mcont-snd]} \end{aligned}$$

lemmas $\text{ldropWhile-fixp-parallel-induct} =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{parallel-fixp-induct-1-1[OF llist-partial-function-definitions llist-partial-function-definitions} \\ & \text{ldropWhile.mono ldropWhile.mono ldropWhile-def ldropWhile-def, case-names} \\ & \text{adm LNil step]} \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{llist-all2-ldropWhileI}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes *: llist-all2 P xs ys} \\ & \text{and } Q: \bigwedge x y. P x y \implies Q1 x \longleftrightarrow Q2 y \\ & \text{shows llist-all2 P (ldropWhile Q1 xs) (ldropWhile Q2 ys)} \\ & \text{— cannot prove this with parallel induction over xs and ys because } \lambda x. \neg \text{llist-all2} \\ & P (f x) (g x) \text{ is not admissible.} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-same* [*simp*]: *llist-all2 P xs xs* \longleftrightarrow $(\forall x \in lset xs. P x x)$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all2-trans*:
 $\llbracket llist-all2 P xs ys; llist-all2 P ys zs; transp P \rrbracket$
 $\implies llist-all2 P xs zs$
(proof)

2.21 The last element *llast*

lemma *llast-LNil*: *llast LNil = undefined*
(proof)

lemma *llast-LCons*: *llast (LCons x xs) = (if lnull xs then x else llast xs)*
(proof)

lemma *llast-lfinite*: $\neg lfinite xs \implies llast xs = undefined$
(proof)

lemma [*simp, code*]:
shows *llast-singleton*: *llast (LCons x LNil) = x*
and *llast-LCons2*: *llast (LCons x (LCons y xs)) = llast (LCons y xs)*
(proof)

lemma *llast-lappend*:
llast (lappend xs ys) = (if lnull ys then llast xs else if lfinite xs then llast ys else undefined)
(proof)

lemma *llast-lappend-LCons* [*simp*]:
lfinite xs \implies llast (lappend xs (LCons y ys)) = llast (LCons y ys)
(proof)

lemma *llast-ldropn*: *enat n < llength xs \implies llast (ldropn n xs) = llast xs*
(proof)

lemma *llast-ldrop*:
assumes *n < llength xs*
shows *llast (ldrop n xs) = llast xs*
(proof)

lemma *llast-llist-of* [*simp*]: *llast (llist-of xs) = last xs*
(proof)

lemma *llast-conv-lnth*: *llength xs = eSuc (enat n) \implies llast xs = lnth xs n*
(proof)

lemma *llast-lmap*:
assumes *lfinite xs \neg lnull xs*

shows $\text{llast} (\text{lmap } f \text{ } xs) = f (\text{llast } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.22 Distinct lazy lists $ldistinct$

inductive-simps $ldistinct\text{-}LC\text{ons}$ [code, simp]:
 $ldistinct (LC\text{ons } x \text{ } xs)$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}LNil\text{-}code$ [code]:
 $ldistinct LNil = True$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}llist\text{-}of$ [simp]:
 $ldistinct (llist\text{-}of } xs) \longleftrightarrow distinct xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}coinduct$ [consumes 1, case-names $ldistinct$, case-conclusion $ldistinct$ lhd ltl , coinduct pred: $ldistinct$]:
assumes $X \text{ } xs$
and $step: \bigwedge_{xs} \llbracket X \text{ } xs; \neg lnull \text{ } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies lhd \text{ } xs \notin lset (ltl \text{ } xs) \wedge (X (ltl \text{ } xs) \vee ldistinct (ltl \text{ } xs))$
shows $ldistinct \text{ } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}lhdD$:
 $\llbracket ldistinct \text{ } xs; \neg lnull \text{ } xs \rrbracket \implies lhd \text{ } xs \notin lset (ltl \text{ } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}ltlI$:
 $ldistinct \text{ } xs \implies ldistinct (ltl \text{ } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}lSup$:
 $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order}.chain (\sqsubseteq) \text{ } Y; \forall xs \in Y. ldistinct \text{ } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies ldistinct (lSup \text{ } Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $admissible\text{-}ldistinct$ [cont-intro, simp]:
assumes $mcont: mcont \text{ } lub \text{ } ord \text{ } lSup (\sqsubseteq) (\lambda x. f \text{ } x)$
shows $ccpo.admissible \text{ } lub \text{ } ord (\lambda x. ldistinct (f \text{ } x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}lappend$:
 $ldistinct (lappend \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) \longleftrightarrow ldistinct \text{ } xs \wedge (lfinite \text{ } xs \rightarrow ldistinct \text{ } ys \wedge lset \text{ } xs \cap lset \text{ } ys = \{\})$
(is $?lhs = ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ldistinct\text{-}lprefix$:

$\llbracket ldistinct \ xs; \ ys \sqsubseteq xs \rrbracket \implies ldistinct \ ys$
(proof)

lemma *admissible-not-ldistinct*[THEN *admissible-subst, cont-intro, simp*]:
ccpo.admissible lSup (\sqsubseteq) ($\lambda x. \neg ldistinct x$)

(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-ltake*: $ldistinct \ xs \implies ldistinct \ (ltake \ n \ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-ldropn*:
 $ldistinct \ xs \implies ldistinct \ (ldropn \ n \ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-ldrop*: $ldistinct \ xs \implies ldistinct \ (ldrop \ n \ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-conv-lnth*:
 $ldistinct \ xs \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j. \ enat \ i < llength \ xs \longrightarrow enat \ j < llength \ xs \longrightarrow i \neq j \longrightarrow lnth \ xs \ i \neq lnth \ xs \ j)$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-lmap* [simp]:
 $ldistinct \ (lmap \ f \ xs) \longleftrightarrow ldistinct \ xs \wedge inj\text{-on } f \ (lset \ xs)$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-lzipI1*: $ldistinct \ xs \implies ldistinct \ (lzip \ xs \ ys)$
(proof)

lemma *ldistinct-lzipI2*: $ldistinct \ ys \implies ldistinct \ (lzip \ xs \ ys)$
(proof)

2.23 Sortedness *lsorted*

context *ord begin*

coinductive *lsorted* :: '*a* *llist* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$| LNil$ [simp]: *lsorted LNil*
 $| Singleton$ [simp]: *lsorted (LCons x LNil)*
 $| LCons-LCons$: $\llbracket x \leq y; \ lsorted \ (LCons \ y \ xs) \rrbracket \implies lsorted \ (LCons \ x \ (LCons \ y \ xs))$

inductive-simps *lsorted-LCons-LCons* [simp]:
 $lsorted \ (LCons \ x \ (LCons \ y \ xs))$

inductive-simps *lsorted-code* [code]:

```

lsorted LNil
lsorted (LCons x LNil)
lsorted (LCons x (LCons y xs))

lemma lsorted-coinduct' [consumes 1, case-names lsorted, case-conclusion lsorted
lhd ltl, coinduct pred: lsorted]:
assumes major:  $X \text{ xs}$ 
and step:  $\big[ X \text{ xs}; \neg \text{lnull } \text{xs}; \neg \text{lnull } (\text{ltl } \text{xs}) \big] \implies \text{lhd } \text{xs} \leq \text{lhd } (\text{ltl } \text{xs}) \wedge (X$ 
 $(\text{ltl } \text{xs}) \vee \text{lsorted } (\text{ltl } \text{xs}))$ 
shows lsorted  $\text{xs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-ltlI: lsorted  $\text{xs} \implies \text{lsorted } (\text{ltl } \text{xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-lhdD:
 $\big[ \text{lsorted } \text{xs}; \neg \text{lnull } \text{xs}; \neg \text{lnull } (\text{ltl } \text{xs}) \big] \implies \text{lhd } \text{xs} \leq \text{lhd } (\text{ltl } \text{xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-LCons':
 $\text{lsorted } (\text{LCons } x \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow (\neg \text{lnull } \text{xs} \longrightarrow x \leq \text{lhd } \text{xs} \wedge \text{lsorted } \text{xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-lSup:
 $\big[ \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } (\sqsubseteq) \text{ Y}; \forall \text{ xs} \in \text{Y}. \text{lsorted } \text{xs} \big]$ 
 $\implies \text{lsorted } (\text{lSup } \text{Y})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-lprefixD:
 $\big[ \text{xs} \sqsubseteq \text{ys}; \text{lsorted } \text{ys} \big] \implies \text{lsorted } \text{xs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma admissible-lsorted [cont-intro, simp]:
assumes mcont: mcont lub ord lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda x. f x$ )
and ccpo: class ccpo lub ord (mk-less ord)
shows ccpo.admissible lub ord ( $\lambda x. \text{lsorted } (f x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma admissible-not-lsorted [THEN admissible-subst, cont-intro, simp]:
ccpo.admissible lSup ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\lambda \text{xs}. \neg \text{lsorted } \text{xs}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-ltake [simp]: lsorted  $\text{xs} \implies \text{lsorted } (\text{ltake } n \text{ xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-ldropn [simp]: lsorted  $\text{xs} \implies \text{lsorted } (\text{ldropn } n \text{ xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-ldrop [simp]: lsorted  $\text{xs} \implies \text{lsorted } (\text{ldrop } n \text{ xs})$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

declare
  ord.lsorted-code [code]
  ord.admissible-lsorted [cont-intro, simp]
  ord.admissible-not-lsorted [THEN admissible-subst, cont-intro, simp]

context preorder begin

lemma lsorted-LCons:
  lsorted (LCons x xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lsorted xs  $\wedge$  ( $\forall y \in lset xs$ .  $x \leq y$ ) (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names lsorted, case-conclusion lsorted
lhd ltl, coinduct pred: lsorted]:
  assumes major:  $X$  xs
  and step:  $\bigwedge xs. \llbracket X xs; \neg lnull xs \rrbracket \implies (\forall x \in lset (ltl xs). lhd xs \leq x) \wedge (X (ltl xs) \vee lsorted (ltl xs))$ 
  shows lsorted xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsortedD:  $\llbracket lsorted xs; \neg lnull xs; y \in lset (ltl xs) \rrbracket \implies lhd xs \leq y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma lsorted-lmap':
  assumes ord.lsorted orda xs monotone orda ordb f
  shows ord.lsorted ordb (lmap f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-lmap:
  assumes lsorted xs monotone ( $\leq$ ) ( $\leq$ ) f
  shows lsorted (lmap f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

context linorder begin

lemma lsorted-ldistinct-lset-unique:
   $\llbracket lsorted xs; ldistinct xs; lsorted ys; ldistinct ys; lset xs = lset ys \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies xs = ys$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma lsorted-llist-of[simp]: lsorted (llist-of xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sorted xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.24 Lexicographic order on lazy lists: *llexord*

```

lemma llexord-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names llexord, coinduct pred: llexord]:
  assumes X: X xs ys
  and step:  $\bigwedge_{xs\ ys} \llbracket X\ xs\ ys; \neg\ lnull\ xs \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \neg\ lnull\ ys \wedge$ 
     $(\neg\ lnull\ ys \longrightarrow r\ (lhd\ xs)\ (lhd\ ys) \vee$ 
     $lhd\ xs = lhd\ ys \wedge (X\ (ltl\ xs)\ (ltl\ ys) \vee\ llexord\ r\ (ltd\ xs)\ (ltl\ ys)))$ 
  shows llexord r xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-refl [simp, intro!]:
  llexord r xs xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-LCons-LCons [simp]:
  llexord r (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys)  $\longleftrightarrow (x = y \wedge llexord\ r\ xs\ ys \vee r\ x\ y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnull-llexord [simp]: lnull xs  $\implies$  llexord r xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-LNil-right [simp]:
  lnull ys  $\implies$  llexord r xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  lnull xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-LCons-left:
  llexord r (LCons x xs) ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists y\ ys'. ys = LCons\ y\ ys' \wedge (x = y \wedge llexord\ r\ xs\ ys' \vee r\ x\ y))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-imp-llexord:
  assumes xs  $\sqsubseteq$  ys
  shows llexord r xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-empty:
  llexord ( $\lambda x\ y. \text{False}$ ) xs ys = xs  $\sqsubseteq$  ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-append-right:
  llexord r xs (lappend xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-lappend-leftI:
  assumes llexord r ys zs
  shows llexord r (lappend xs ys) (lappend xs zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-lappend-leftD:

```

```

assumes lex: llexord r (lappend xs ys) (lappend xs zs)
and fin: lfinite xs
and irrefl: !!x. x ∈ lset xs  $\implies \neg r x x$ 
shows llexord r ys zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-lappend-left:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![ lfinite xs; !!x. x \in lset xs \implies \neg r x x ]\!] \\ & \implies llexord r (lappend xs ys) (lappend xs zs) \longleftrightarrow llexord r ys zs \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma antisym-llexord:
assumes r: antisymp r
and irrefl:  $\bigwedge x. \neg r x x$ 
shows antisymp (llexord r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-antisym:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![ llexord r xs ys; llexord r ys xs; \\ & \quad !!a b. [\![ r a b; r b a ]\!] \implies False ]\!] \\ & \implies xs = ys \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-trans:
assumes 1: llexord r xs ys
and 2: llexord r ys zs
and trans: !!a b c.  $[\![ r a b; r b c ]\!] \implies r a c$ 
shows llexord r xs zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-llexord:
transp r  $\implies$  transp (llexord r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-linear:
assumes linear: !!x y. r x y  $\vee$  x = y  $\vee$  r y x
shows llexord r xs ys  $\vee$  llexord r ys xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-code [code]:
llexord r LNil ys = True
llexord r (LCons x xs) LNil = False
llexord r (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys) = (r x y  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  llexord r xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llexord-conv:
llexord r xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
xs = ys  $\vee$ 
 $(\exists z s x s' y y s'. lfinite z s \wedge x s = lappend z s x s' \wedge y s = lappend z s (LCons y y s') \wedge$ 

```

$(xs' = LNil \vee r(lhd xs') y))$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma llexord-conv-ltake-index:
llexord r xs ys \longleftrightarrow
 $(llength xs \leq llength ys \wedge ltake(llength xs) ys = xs) \vee$
 $(\exists n. enat n < min(llength xs) (llength ys) \wedge$
 $ltake(enat n) xs = ltake(enat n) ys \wedge r(lnth xs n) (lnth ys n))$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma llexord-llist-of:
llexord r (llist-of xs) (llist-of ys) \longleftrightarrow
 $xs = ys \vee (xs, ys) \in lexord \{(x, y). r x y\}$
(is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
(proof)

2.25 The filter functional on lazy lists: *lfilter*

lemma lfilter-code [simp, code]:
shows lfilter-LNil: *lfilter P LNil = LNil*
and lfilter-LCons: *lfilter P (LCons x xs) = (if P x then LCons x (lfilter P xs)*
else lfilter P xs)
(proof)

declare lfilter.mono[cont-intro]

lemma mono2mono-lfilter[THEN llist.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]:
shows monotone-lfilter: *monotone (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (lfilter P)*
(proof)

lemma mcont2mcont-lfilter[THEN llist.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
shows mcont-lfilter: *mcont lSup (\sqsubseteq) lSup (\sqsubseteq) (lfilter P)*
(proof)

lemma lfilter-mono [partial-function-mono]:
mono-llist A \implies mono-llist ($\lambda f. lfilter P (A f)$)
(proof)

lemma lfilter-LCons-seek: $\sim (p x) ==> lfilter p (LCons x l) = lfilter p l$
(proof)

lemma lfilter-LCons-found:
P x \implies lfilter P (LCons x xs) = LCons x (lfilter P xs)
(proof)

lemma lfilter-eq-LNil: *lfilter P xs = LNil $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in lset xs. \neg P x)$*
(proof)

```

notepad begin
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma diverge-lfilter-LNil [simp]:  $\forall x \in lset xs. \neg P x \implies lfilter P xs = LNil$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas lfilter-False = diverge-lfilter-LNil

lemma lnull-lfilter [simp]: lnull (lfilter P xs)  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in lset xs. \neg P x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas lfilter-empty-conv = lfilter-eq-LNil

lemma lhd-lfilter [simp]: lhd (lfilter P xs) = lhd (ldropWhile (Not o P) xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ltl-lfilter: ltl (lfilter P xs) = lfilter P (ltl (ldropWhile (Not o P) xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-eq-LCons:
  lfilter P xs = LCons x xs'  $\implies$ 
   $\exists xs''. xs' = lfilter P xs'' \wedge ldropWhile (Not o P) xs = LCons x xs''$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-K-True [simp]: lfilter (%-. True) xs = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-K-False [simp]: lfilter (λ-. False) xs = LNil
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-lappend-lfinite [simp]:
  lfinite xs  $\implies lfilter P (lappend xs ys) = lappend (lfilter P xs) (lfilter P ys)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-lfilterI [simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies lfinite (lfilter P xs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-lfilter [simp]: lset (lfilter P xs) = { $x \in lset xs. P x$ }
  ⟨proof⟩

notepad begin — show lset-lfilter by fixpoint induction
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma lfilter-lfilter: lfilter P (lfilter Q xs) = lfilter (λx. P x ∧ Q x) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

notepad begin — show lfilter-lfilter by fixpoint induction
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma lfilter-idem [simp]: lfilter P (lfilter P xs) = lfilter P xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-lmap: lfilter P (lmap f xs) = lmap f (lfilter (P o f) xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-llist-of [simp]:
  lfilter P (llist-of xs) = llist-of (filter P xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-cong [cong]:
  assumes xsys: xs = ys
  and set: ⋀x. x ∈ lset ys ⟹ P x = Q x
  shows lfilter P xs = lfilter Q ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-lfilter-ile:
  llength (lfilter P xs) ≤ llength xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-lfilter:
  lfinite (lfilter P xs) ⟷
  lfinite xs ∨ finite {n. enat n < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs n)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-eq-LConsD:
  assumes lfilter P ys = LCons x xs
  shows ∃us vs. ys = lappend us (LCons x vs) ∧ lfinite us ∧
    (∀u ∈ lset us. ¬ P u) ∧ P x ∧ xs = lfilter P vs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-eq-lappend-lfiniteD:
  assumes lfilter P xs = lappend ys zs and lfinite ys
  shows ∃us vs. xs = lappend us vs ∧ lfinite us ∧
    ys = lfilter P us ∧ zs = lfilter P vs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ldistinct-lfilterI: ldistinct xs ⟹ ldistinct (lfilter P xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

notepad begin
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma ldistinct-lfilterD:

```

```

 $\llbracket ldistinct (\lfilter P xs); \text{enat } n < llength xs; \text{enat } m < llength xs; P a; \lnth xs n = a; \lnth xs m = a \rrbracket \implies m = n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas lfilter-fixp-parallel-induct =
  parallel-fixp-induct-1-1 [OF llist-partial-function-definitions llist-partial-function-definitions
    lfilter.mono lfilter.mono lfilter-def lfilter-def, case-names adm LNil step]

lemma llist-all2-lfilterI:
  assumes *: llist-all2 P xs ys
  and Q:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies Q1 x \longleftrightarrow Q2 y$ 
  shows llist-all2 P (lfilter Q1 xs) (lfilter Q2 ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-filterD:
 $\llbracket \text{distinct } (\text{filter } P xs); n < \text{length } xs; m < \text{length } xs; P x; xs ! n = x; xs ! m = x \rrbracket \implies m = n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-lfilterI:
  xs ⊑ ys  $\implies$  lfilter P xs ⊑ lfilter P ys
⟨proof⟩

context preorder begin

lemma lsorted-lfilterI:
  lsorted xs  $\implies$  lsorted (lfilter P xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-lfilter-same:
  lsorted (lfilter ( $\lambda x. x = c$ ) xs)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma lfilter-id-conv: lfilter P xs = xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in lset xs. P x$ ) (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-repeat [simp]: lfilter P (repeat x) = (if P x then repeat x else LNil)
⟨proof⟩

2.26 Concatenating all lazy lists in a lazy list: lconcat

lemma lconcat-simps [simp, code]:
  shows lconcat-LNil: lconcat LNil = LNil
  and lconcat-LCons: lconcat (LCons xs xss) = lappend xs (lconcat xss)
⟨proof⟩

declare lconcat.mono[cont-intro]

```

lemma *mono2mono-lconcat*[*THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *monotone-lconcat*: *monotone* (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) *lconcat*
{proof}

lemma *mcont2mcont-lconcat*[*THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:
shows *mcont-lconcat*: *mcont* *lSup* (\sqsubseteq) *lSup* (\sqsubseteq) *lconcat*
{proof}

lemma *lconcat-eq-LNil*: *lconcat* *xss* = *LNil* \longleftrightarrow *lset* *xss* $\subseteq \{LNil\}$ (**is** ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
{proof}

lemma *lnull-lconcat* [*simp*]: *lnull* (*lconcat* *xss*) \longleftrightarrow *lset* *xss* $\subseteq \{xs. lnull xs\}$
{proof}

lemma *lconcat-llist-of*:
lconcat (*llist-of* (*map* *llist-of* *xs*)) = *llist-of* (*concat* *xs*)
{proof}

lemma *lhd-lconcat* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket \neg lnull xss; \neg lnull (lhd xss) \rrbracket \implies lhd (lconcat xss) = lhd (lhd xss)$
{proof}

lemma *ltl-lconcat* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket \neg lnull xss; \neg lnull (lhd xss) \rrbracket \implies ltl (lconcat xss) = lappend (ltl (lhd xss)) (lconcat (ltl xss))$
{proof}

lemma *lmap-lconcat*:
lmap f (*lconcat* *xss*) = *lconcat* (*lmap* (*lmap f*) *xss*)
{proof}

lemma *lconcat-lappend* [*simp*]:
assumes *lfinite xss*
shows *lconcat* (*lappend* *xss* *yss*) = *lappend* (*lconcat* *xss*) (*lconcat* *yss*)
{proof}

lemma *lconcat-eq-LCons-conv*:
lconcat *xss* = *LCons* *x* *xs* \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists xs' xss' xss''. xss = lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'') \wedge$
 $xs = lappend xs' (lconcat xss'') \wedge set xss' \subseteq \{xs. lnull xs\})$
(**is** ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
{proof}

lemma *llength-lconcat-lfinite-conv-sum*:
assumes *lfinite xss*
shows *llength* (*lconcat* *xss*) = $(\sum i \mid enat i < llength xss. llength (lnth xss i))$
{proof}

```

lemma lconcat-lfilter-neq-LNil:
  lconcat (lfilter ( $\lambda xs. \neg lnull xs$ ) xss) = lconcat xss
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas lconcat-fixp-parallel-induct =
  parallel-fixp-induct-1-1 [OF llist-partial-function-definitions llist-partial-function-definitions
  lconcat.mono lconcat.mono lconcat-def lconcat-def, case-names adm LNil step]

lemma llist-all2-lconcatI:
  llist-all2 (llist-all2 A) xss yss
   $\implies$  llist-all2 A (lconcat xss) (lconcat yss)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma llength-lconcat-eqI:
  fixes xss :: 'a llist llist and yss :: 'b llist llist
  assumes llist-all2 ( $\lambda xs ys. llength xs = llength ys$ ) xss yss
  shows llength (lconcat xss) = llength (lconcat yss)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lset-lconcat-lfinite:
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs \implies lset (lconcat xss) = (\bigcup_{xs \in lset xss} lset xs)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lconcat-ltake:
  lconcat (ltake (enat n) xss) = ltake ( $\sum_{i < n} llength (lnth xss i)$ ) (lconcat xss)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lnth-lconcat-conv:
  assumes enat n < llength (lconcat xss)
  shows  $\exists m n'. lnth (lconcat xss) n = lnth (lnth xss m) n' \wedge enat n' < llength (lnth xss m) \wedge$ 
         $enat m < llength xss \wedge enat n = (\sum_{i < m} llength (lnth xss i)) + enat n'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lprefix-lconcatI:
   $xss \sqsubseteq yss \implies lconcat xss \sqsubseteq lconcat yss$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lnth-lconcat-ltake:
  assumes enat w < llength (lconcat (ltake (enat n) xss))
  shows lnth (lconcat (ltake (enat n) xss)) w = lnth (lconcat xss) w
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lfinite-lconcat [simp]:
  lfinite (lconcat xss)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinite (lfilter ( $\lambda xs. \neg lnull xs$ ) xss)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ )
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-of-lconcat*:
assumes *lfinite xss*
and $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$
shows *list-of (lconcat xss) = concat (list-of (lmap list-of xss))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfilter-lconcat-lfinite*:
 $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$
 $\implies lfilter P (lconcat xss) = lconcat (lmap (lfilter P) xss)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lconcat-repeat-LNil [simp]*: *lconcat (repeat LNil) = LNil*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lconcat-lmap-singleton [simp]*: *lconcat (lmap ($\lambda x. LC_{ons} (f x) LNil$) xs) = lmap f xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-lconcat-subset*: *lset (lconcat xss) \subseteq ($\bigcup_{xs \in lset xss} lset xs$)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldistinct-lconcat*:
 $\llbracket ldistinct xss; \bigwedge ys. ys \in lset xss \implies ldistinct ys;$
 $\bigwedge zs. \llbracket ys \in lset xss; zs \in lset xss; ys \neq zs \rrbracket \implies lset ys \cap lset zs = \{\}$
 $\implies ldistinct (lconcat xss)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.27 Sublist view of a lazy list: *lnths*

lemma *lnths-empty [simp]*: *lnths xs {} = LNil*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnths-LNil [simp]*: *lnths LNil A = LNil*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnths-LCons*:
lnths (LC_{ons} x xs) A =
 $(if 0 \in A \text{ then } LC_{ons} x (lnths xs \{n. Suc n \in A\}) \text{ else } lnths xs \{n. Suc n \in A\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-lnths*:
lset (lnths xs I) = {lnth xs i | i. enat i < llength xs \wedge i \in I}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-lnths-subset*: *lset (lnths xs I) \subseteq lset xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnths-singleton* [simp]:
lnths (*LCons* *x* *LNil*) *A* = (if *0* : *A* then *LCons* *x* *LNil* else *LNil*)
(proof)

lemma *lnths-upr-eq-ltake* [simp]:
lnths *xs* {..*n*} = *ltake* (*enat* *n*) *xs*
(proof)

lemma *lnths-llist-of* [simp]:
lnths (*llist-of* *xs*) *A* = *llist-of* (*nths* *xs* *A*)
(proof)

lemma *llength-lnths-ile*: *llength* (*lnths* *xs* *A*) ≤ *llength* *xs*
(proof)

lemma *lnths-lmap* [simp]:
lnths (*lmap* *f* *xs*) *A* = *lmap* *f* (*lnths* *xs* *A*)
(proof)

lemma *lfilter-conv-lnths*:
lfilter *P* *xs* = *lnths* *xs* {*n*. *enat* *n* < *llength* *xs* ∧ *P* (*lnth* *xs* *n*)}
(proof)

lemma *ltake-iterates-Suc*:
ltake (*enat* *n*) (*iterates* *Suc* *m*) = *llist-of* [*m*..*n* + *m*]
(proof)

lemma *lnths-lappend-lfinite*:
assumes *len*: *llength* *xs* = *enat* *k*
shows *lnths* (*lappend* *xs* *ys*) *A* =
lappend (*lnths* *xs* *A*) (*lnths* *ys* {*n*. *n* + *k* ∈ *A*})
(proof)

lemma *lnths-split*:
lnths *xs* *A* =
lappend (*lnths* (*ltake* (*enat* *n*) *xs*) *A*) (*lnths* (*ldropn* *n* *xs*) {*m*. *n* + *m* ∈ *A*})
(proof)

lemma *lnths-cong*:
assumes *xs* = *ys* **and** *A*: $\bigwedge n. \text{enat } n < \text{llength } ys \implies n \in A \longleftrightarrow n \in B$
shows *lnths* *xs* *A* = *lnths* *ys* *B*
(proof)

lemma *lnths-insert*:
assumes *n*: *enat* *n* < *llength* *xs*
shows *lnths* *xs* (*insert* *n* *A*) =
lappend (*lnths* (*ltake* (*enat* *n*) *xs*) *A*) (*LCons* (*lnth* *xs* *n*)
(lnths (*ldropn* (*Suc* *n*) *xs*) {*m*. *Suc* (*n* + *m*) ∈ *A*}))
(proof)

```

lemma lfinite-lnths [simp]:
  lfinite (lnths xs A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinite xs  $\vee$  finite A
  ⟨proof⟩

2.28   lsum-list

context monoid-add begin

lemma lsum-list-0 [simp]: lsum-list (lmap (λ-. 0) xs) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsum-list-llist-of [simp]: lsum-list (llist-of xs) = sum-list xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsum-list-lappend: [lfinite xs; lfinite ys]  $\implies$  lsum-list (lappend xs ys) =
  lsum-list xs + lsum-list ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsum-list-LNil [simp]: lsum-list LNil = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsum-list-LCons [simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  lsum-list (LCons x xs) = x + lsum-list
  xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lsum-list-inf [simp]:  $\neg$  lfinite xs  $\implies$  lsum-list xs = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma lsum-list-mono:
  fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {monoid-add, ordered-ab-semigroup-add}
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in lset xs \implies f x \leq g x$ 
  shows lsum-list (lmap f xs)  $\leq$  lsum-list (lmap g xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.29 Alternative view on 'a llist as datatype with constructors llist-of and inf llist

```

lemma lnull-inf-llist [simp]:  $\neg$  lnull (inf-llist f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inf-llist-neq-LNil: inf-llist f  $\neq$  LNil
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas LNil-neq-inf-llist = inf-llist-neq-LNil[symmetric]

lemma lhd-inf-llist [simp]: lhd (inf-llist f) = f 0

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-inf-llist* [*simp*]: $ltl(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f) = \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t(\lambda n. f(Suc n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-llist-rec* [*code, nitpick-simp*]:

$\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f = LCons(f 0)(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t(\lambda n. f(Suc n)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-inf-llist* [*iff*]: $\neg lfinite(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-conv-inf-llist*:

$iterates f a = \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t(\lambda n. (f \wedge n) a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-llist-neq-llist-of* [*simp*]:

$l\!l\!i\!s\!t\text{-}o\!f xs \neq \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f$

$\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f \neq l\!l\!i\!s\!t\text{-}o\!f xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-inf-llist* [*simp*]: $lnth(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f) n = f n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-llist-lprefix* [*simp*]: $inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f \sqsubseteq xs \longleftrightarrow xs = \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-inf-llist* [*simp*]: $llength(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f) = \infty$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-inf-llist* [*simp*]: $lset(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f) = range f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-llist-inj* [*simp*]:

$\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f = \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t g \longleftrightarrow f = g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-llist-lnth* [*simp*]: $\neg lfinite xs \implies \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t(lnth xs) = xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-exhaust*:

obtains (*llist-of*) ys **where** $xs = l\!l\!i\!s\!t\text{-}o\!f ys$

$| (\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t) f$ **where** $xs = \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lappend-inf-llist* [*simp*]: $lappend(\inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f) xs = \inf\text{-}l\!l\!i\!s\!t f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lmap-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{lmap } f \ (\text{inf-llist } g) = \text{inf-llist } (f \circ g)$
(proof)

lemma *ltake-enat-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{ltake } (\text{enat } n) \ (\text{inf-llist } f) = \text{llist-of } (\text{map } f [0..<n])$
(proof)

lemma *ldropn-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{ldropn } n \ (\text{inf-llist } f) = \text{inf-llist } (\lambda m. f (m + n))$
(proof)

lemma *ldrop-enat-inf-llist*:
 $\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } n) \ (\text{inf-llist } f) = \text{inf-llist } (\lambda m. f (m + n))$
(proof)

lemma *lzip-inf-llist-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{lzip } (\text{inf-llist } f) \ (\text{inf-llist } g) = \text{inf-llist } (\lambda n. (f n, g n))$
(proof)

lemma *lzip-llist-of-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{lzip } (\text{llist-of } xs) \ (\text{inf-llist } f) = \text{llist-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } f [0..<\text{length } xs]))$
(proof)

lemma *lzip-inf-llist-llist-of* [*simp*]:
 $\text{lzip } (\text{inf-llist } f) \ (\text{llist-of } xs) = \text{llist-of } (\text{zip } (\text{map } f [0..<\text{length } xs]) xs)$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all2-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{llist-all2 } P \ (\text{inf-llist } f) \ (\text{inf-llist } g) \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. P (f n) (g n))$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all2-llist-of-inf-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\neg \text{llist-all2 } P \ (\text{llist-of } xs) \ (\text{inf-llist } f)$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all2-inf-llist-llist-of* [*simp*]:
 $\neg \text{llist-all2 } P \ (\text{inf-llist } f) \ (\text{llist-of } xs)$
(proof)

lemma (**in** *monoid-add*) *lsum-list-inflist*: $\text{lsum-list } (\text{inf-llist } f) = 0$
(proof)

2.30 Setup for lifting and transfer

2.30.1 Relator and predicator properties

abbreviation *llist-all* == *pred-llist*

2.30.2 Transfer rules for the Transfer package

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

```

lemma set1-pre-llist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-pre-llist A B ==> rel-set A) set1-pre-llist set1-pre-llist
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set2-pre-llist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-pre-llist A B ==> rel-set B) set2-pre-llist set2-pre-llist
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LNil-transfer [transfer-rule]: llist-all2 P LNil LNil
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LCons-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (A ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) LCons LCons
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma case-llist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (B ==> (A ==> llist-all2 A ==> B) ==> llist-all2 A ==> B)
  case-llist case-llist
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unfold-llist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((A ==> (=)) ==> (A ==> B) ==> (A ==> A) ==> A ==>
  llist-all2 B) unfold-llist unfold-llist
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-corec-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((A ==> (=)) ==> (A ==> B) ==> (A ==> (=)) ==> (A
  ==> llist-all2 B) ==> (A ==> A) ==> A ==> llist-all2 B) corec-llist
  corec-llist
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ltl-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) ltl ltl
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 A ==> rel-set A) lset lset
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lmap-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((A ==> B) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 B) lmap lmap
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lappend-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) lappend lappend
  
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((A ==> A) ==> A ==> llist-all2 A)$ iterates iterates
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(llist-all2 A ==> (=)) lfinite lfinite$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-of-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(list-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ llist-of llist-of
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(llist-all2 A ==> (=)) llengt llengt$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltake-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ ltake ltake
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldropn-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ ldropn ldropn
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldrop-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ ldrop ldrop
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltakeWhile-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((A ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ ltakeWhile ltakeWhile
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldropWhile-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((A ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ ldropWhile ldropWhile
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lzip-ltransfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 B ==> llist-all2 (rel-prod A B)) lzip lzip$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-llist-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> A) ==> llist-all2 A)$ inf-llist inf-llist
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfilter-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((A ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A)$ lfilter lfilter
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lconcat-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 (llist-all2 A) ==> llist-all2 A) lconcat lconcat
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lnths-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 A ==> (=) ==> llist-all2 A) lnths lnths
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((A ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 A ==> (=)) llist-all llist-all
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-rsp:
  assumes r: ∀ x y. R x y → (∀ a b. R a b → S x a = T y b)
  and l1: llist-all2 R x y
  and l2: llist-all2 R a b
  shows llist-all2 S x a = llist-all2 T y b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((R ==> R ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 R ==> llist-all2 R ==> (=))
  llist-all2 llist-all2
  ⟨proof⟩

end

no-notation lprefix (infix ⊑ 65)

end

```

3 Instantiation of the order type classes for lazy lists

```

theory Coinductive-List-Prefix imports
  Coinductive-List
  HOL-Library.Prefix-Order
begin

```

3.1 Instantiation of the order type class

```

instantiation llist :: (type) order begin

definition [code-unfold]: xs ≤ ys = lprefix xs ys

definition [code-unfold]: xs < ys = lstrict-prefix xs ys

instance

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

lemma le-llist-conv-lprefix [iff]:  $(\leq) = \text{lprefix}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma less-llist-conv-lstrict-prefix [iff]:  $(<) = \text{lstrict-prefix}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```
instantiation llist :: (type) order-bot begin
```

```
  definition bot = LNil
```

```
  instance
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
lemma llist-of-lprefix-llist-of [simp]:
  lprefix (llist-of xs) (llist-of ys)  $\longleftrightarrow xs \leq ys$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

3.2 Prefix ordering as a lower semilattice

```
instantiation llist :: (type) semilattice-inf begin
```

```
  definition [code del]:
```

```
    inf xs ys =
    unfold-llist ( $\lambda(xs, ys). xs \neq LNil \longrightarrow ys \neq LNil \longrightarrow lhd xs \neq lhd ys$ )
      (lhd  $\circ$  snd) (map-prod ltl ltl) (xs, ys)
```

```
lemma llist-inf-simps [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  inf LNil xs = LNil
  inf xs LNil = LNil
  inf (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys) = (if x = y then LCons x (inf xs ys) else LNil)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma llist-inf-eq-LNil [simp]:
  lnull (inf xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow (xs \neq LNil \longrightarrow ys \neq LNil \longrightarrow lhd xs \neq lhd ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma [simp]: assumes xs ≠ LNil ys ≠ LNil lhd xs = lhd ys
  shows lhd-llist-inf: lhd (inf xs ys) = lhd ys
  and ltl-llist-inf: ltl (inf xs ys) = inf (ltl xs) (ltl ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
  instance
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

end

lemma llength-inf [simp]: llength (inf xs ys) = llcp xs ys
{proof}

instantiation llist :: (type) ccpo
begin

definition Sup A = lSup A

instance
{proof}

end

end

```

4 Infinite lists as a codatatype

```

theory Coinductive-Stream
imports
HOL-Library.Stream
HOL-Library.Linear-Temporal-Logic-on-Streams
Coinductive-List
begin

lemma eq-onpI: P x  $\implies$  eq-onp P x x
{proof}

primcorec unfold-stream :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b stream where
unfold-stream g1 g2 a = g1 a ## unfold-stream g1 g2 (g2 a)

```

The following setup should be done by the BNF package.

congruence rule

```
declare stream.map-cong [cong]
```

lemmas about generated constants

```
lemma eq-SConsD: xs = SCons y ys  $\implies$  shd xs = y  $\wedge$  stl xs = ys
{proof}
```

```
declare stream.map-ident[simp]
```

```
lemma smap-eq-SCons-conv:
smap f xs = y ## ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(\exists x xs'. xs = x ## xs' \wedge y = f x \wedge ys = smap f xs')
{proof}
```

```

lemma smap-unfold-stream:
  smap f (unfold-stream SHD STL b) = unfold-stream (f o SHD) STL b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smap-corec-stream:
  smap f (corec-stream SHD endORmore STL-end STL-more b) =
    corec-stream (f o SHD) endORmore (smap f o STL-end) STL-more b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unfold-stream-ltl-unroll:
  unfold-stream SHD STL (STL b) = unfold-stream (SHD o STL) STL b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unfold-stream-eq-SCons [simp]:
  unfold-stream SHD STL b = x ## xs  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  x = SHD b  $\wedge$  xs = unfold-stream SHD STL (STL b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unfold-stream-id [simp]: unfold-stream shd stl xs = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sset-neq-empty [simp]: sset xs ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

declare stream.setsel(1)[simp]

lemma sset-stl: sset (stl xs) ⊆ sset xs
  ⟨proof⟩

induction rules

lemmas stream-set-induct = sset-induct

```

4.1 Lemmas about operations from *HOL-Library.Stream*

```

lemma szip-iterates:
  szip (siterate f a) (siterate g b) = siterate (map-prod f g) (a, b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma szip-smap1: szip (smap f xs) ys = smap (apfst f) (szip xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma szip-smap2: szip xs (smap g ys) = smap (apsnd g) (szip xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma szip-smap [simp]: szip (smap f xs) (smap g ys) = smap (map-prod f g) (szip
  xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smap-fst-szip [simp]: smap fst (szip xs ys) = xs

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smap-snd-szip* [*simp*]: *smap snd (szip xs ys) = ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snth-shift*: *snth (shift xs ys) n = (if n < length xs then xs ! n else snth ys (n - length xs))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *szip-unfold* [*simp, nitpick-simp*]

lemma *szip-shift*:
 $length xs = length us$
 $\implies szip (xs @- ys) (us @- zs) = zip xs us @- szip ys zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.2 Link 'a stream to 'a llist

definition *llist-of-stream* :: 'a stream \Rightarrow 'a llist
where *llist-of-stream* = *unfold-llist* ($\lambda_. \text{False}$) *shd stl*

definition *stream-of-llist* :: 'a llist \Rightarrow 'a stream
where *stream-of-llist* = *unfold-stream* *lhd ltl*

lemma *lnull-llist-of-stream* [*simp*]: $\neg lnull (\text{llist-of-stream } xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-llist-of-stream* [*simp*]: *ltl (llist-of-stream xs) = llist-of-stream (stl xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stl-stream-of-llist* [*simp*]: *stl (stream-of-llist xs) = stream-of-llist (ltl xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shd-stream-of-llist* [*simp*]: *shd (stream-of-llist xs) = lhd xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lhd-llist-of-stream* [*simp*]: *lhd (llist-of-stream xs) = shd xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stream-of-llist-llist-of-stream* [*simp*]:
stream-of-llist (llist-of-stream xs) = xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-of-stream-stream-of-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\neg lfinite xs \implies llist-of-stream (\text{stream-of-llist } xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-llist-of-stream* [*simp*]: $\neg lfinite (\text{llist-of-stream } xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma stream-from-llist: type-definition llist-of-stream stream-of-llist {xs. ¬ lfinite
xs}
⟨proof⟩

interpretation stream: type-definition llist-of-stream stream-of-llist {xs. ¬ lfinite
xs}
⟨proof⟩

declare stream.exhaust[cases type: stream]

locale stream-from-llist-setup
begin
setup-lifting stream-from-llist
end

context
begin

interpretation stream-from-llist-setup ⟨proof⟩

lemma cr-streamI: ¬ lfinite xs ==> cr-stream xs (stream-of-llist xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-of-stream-unfold-stream [simp]:
llist-of-stream (unfold-stream SHD STL x) = unfold-llist (λ-. False) SHD STL x
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-of-stream-corec-stream [simp]:
llist-of-stream (corec-stream SHD endORmore STL-more STL-end x) =
corec-llist (λ-. False) SHD endORmore (llist-of-stream ∘ STL-more) STL-end x
⟨proof⟩

lemma LCons-llist-of-stream [simp]: LCons x (llist-of-stream xs) = llist-of-stream
(x ## xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lmap-llist-of-stream [simp]:
lmap f (llist-of-stream xs) = llist-of-stream (smap f xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-llist-of-stream [simp]: lset (llist-of-stream xs) = sset xs (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-llist-of-stream [simp]:
lnth (llist-of-stream xs) = snth xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-of-stream-siterates [simp]: llist-of-stream (siterates f x) = iterates f x

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lappend-llist-of-stream-conv-shift* [simp]:
lappend (*llist-of xs*) (*llist-of-stream ys*) = *llist-of-stream* (*xs @- ys*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lzip-llist-of-stream* [simp]:
lzip (*llist-of-stream xs*) (*llist-of-stream ys*) = *llist-of-stream* (*szip xs ys*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

lemma *lmap-infinite-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> eq-onp (\lambda xs. \neg lfinite xs) ==> eq-onp (\lambda xs. \neg lfinite xs)) lmap$
lmap
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lset-infinite-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(eq-onp (\lambda xs. \neg lfinite xs) ==> (=)) lset lset$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-stream-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> (=) ==> (=) ==> pcr-stream (=)) (unfold-llist (\lambda -. False))$
unfold-stream
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *corec-stream-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) ==> (=) ==> ((=) ==> pcr-stream (=)) ==> (=) ==> (=)$
 $==> pcr-stream (=))$
 $(corec-llist (\lambda -. False)) corec-stream$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shd-transfer* [transfer-rule]: $(pcr-stream A ==> A) lhd shd$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stl-transfer* [transfer-rule]: $(pcr-stream A ==> pcr-stream A) ltl stl$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-of-stream-transfer* [transfer-rule]: $(pcr-stream (=) ==> (=)) id llist-of-stream$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stream-of-llist-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(eq-onp (\lambda xs. \neg lfinite xs) ==> pcr-stream (=)) (\lambda xs. xs) stream-of-llist$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SCons-transfer* [transfer-rule]:
 $(A ==> pcr-stream A ==> pcr-stream A) LCons (\#\#)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sset-transfer [transfer-rule]: (pcr-stream A ==> rel-set A) lset sset
⟨proof⟩

lemma smap-transfer [transfer-rule]:
((A ==> B) ==> pcr-stream A ==> pcr-stream B) lmap smap
⟨proof⟩

lemma snth-transfer [transfer-rule]: (pcr-stream (=) ==> (=)) lnth snth
⟨proof⟩

lemma siterate-transfer [transfer-rule]:
((=) ==> (=) ==> pcr-stream (=)) iterates siterate
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes xs
assumes inf: ¬ lfinite xs
notes [transfer-rule] = eq-onpI[where P=λxs. ¬ lfinite xs, OF inf]
begin

lemma smap-stream-of-llist [simp]:
shows smap f (stream-of-llist xs) = stream-of-llist (lmap f xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sset-stream-of-llist [simp]:
assumes ¬ lfinite xs
shows sset (stream-of-llist xs) = lset xs
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma llist-all2-llist-of-stream [simp]:
llist-all2 P (llist-of-stream xs) (llist-of-stream ys) = stream-all2 P xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma stream-all2-transfer [transfer-rule]:
((=) ==> pcr-stream (=) ==> pcr-stream (=) ==> (=)) llist-all2 stream-all2
⟨proof⟩

lemma stream-all2-coinduct:
assumes X xs ys
and ⋀ xs ys. X xs ys ==> P (shd xs) (shd ys) ∧ (X (stl xs) (stl ys)) ∨ stream-all2
P (stl xs) (stl ys))
shows stream-all2 P xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma shift-transfer [transfer-rule]:
((=) ==> pcr-stream (=) ==> pcr-stream (=)) (lappend ∘ llist-of) shift

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *szip-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(pcr\text{-}stream \ (=) \ ==> pcr\text{-}stream \ (=) \ ==> pcr\text{-}stream \ (=)) \ lzip \ szip$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Link 'a stream with nat \Rightarrow 'a

lift-definition *of-seq* :: $(nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$ stream **is** *inf-llist* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-seq-rec* [*code*]: $of\text{-}seq \ f = f \ 0 \ \#\# \ of\text{-}seq \ (f \circ Suc)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snth-of-seq* [*simp*]: $snth \ (of\text{-}seq \ f) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snth-SCons*: $snth \ (x \ \#\# \ xs) \ n = (case \ n \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow x \mid Suc \ n' \Rightarrow snth \ xs \ n')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snth-SCons-simps* [*simp*]:
shows *snth-SCons-0*: $(x \ \#\# \ xs) !! 0 = x$
and *snth-SCons-Suc*: $(x \ \#\# \ xs) !! Suc \ n = xs !! n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-seq-snth* [*simp*]: $of\text{-}seq \ (snth \ xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shd-of-seq* [*simp*]: $shd \ (of\text{-}seq \ f) = f \ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stl-of-seq* [*simp*]: $stl \ (of\text{-}seq \ f) = of\text{-}seq \ (\lambda n. \ f \ (Suc \ n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sset-of-seq* [*simp*]: $sset \ (of\text{-}seq \ f) = range \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smap-of-seq* [*simp*]: $smap \ f \ (of\text{-}seq \ g) = of\text{-}seq \ (f \circ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

4.4 Function iteration *siterate* and *sconst*

lemmas *siterate* [*nitpick-simp*] = *siterate.code*

lemma *smap-iterates*: $smap \ f \ (siterate \ f \ x) = siterate \ f \ (f \ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *siterate-smap*: $siterate \ f \ x = x \ \#\# \ (smap \ f \ (siterate \ f \ x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma siterate-conv-of-seq: siterate f a = of-seq ( $\lambda n. (f \wedge\!\!\! \wedge n) a$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma sconst-conv-of-seq: sconst a = of-seq ( $\lambda -. a$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma szip-sconst1 [simp]: szip (sconst a) xs = smap (Pair a) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma szip-sconst2 [simp]: szip xs (sconst b) = smap ( $\lambda x. (x, b)$ ) xs
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.5 Counting elements

```

partial-function (lfp) scount :: ('s stream  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  's stream  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
scount P ω = (if P ω then eSuc (scount P (stl ω)) else scount P (stl ω))

```

```

lemma scount-simps:
P ω  $\implies$  scount P ω = eSuc (scount P (stl ω))
 $\neg$  P ω  $\implies$  scount P ω = scount P (stl ω)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scount-eq-0I: alw (not P) ω  $\implies$  scount P ω = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scount-eq-0D: scount P ω = 0  $\implies$  alw (not P) ω
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scount-eq-0-iff: scount P ω = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  alw (not P) ω
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
assumes ev (alw (not P)) ω
shows scount-eq-card: scount P ω = enat (card {i. P (sdrop i ω)})
and ev-alw-not-HLD-finite: finite {i. P (sdrop i ω)}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scount-finite: ev (alw (not P)) ω  $\implies$  scount P ω <  $\infty$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scount-infinite:
alw (ev P) ω  $\implies$  scount P ω =  $\infty$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scount-infinite-iff: scount P ω =  $\infty$   $\longleftrightarrow$  alw (ev P) ω
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *scount-eq*:
 $scount P \omega = (\text{if } alw (ev P) \omega \text{ then } \infty \text{ else } enat (\text{card } \{i. P (sdrop i \omega)\}))$
(proof)

4.6 First index of an element

partial-function (*gfp*) *sfirst* :: ('s stream \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 's stream \Rightarrow enat **where**
 $sfirst P \omega = (\text{if } P \omega \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc (sfirst P (stl \omega)))$

lemma *sfirst-eq-0*: $sfirst P \omega = 0 \longleftrightarrow P \omega$
(proof)

lemma *sfirst-0*[simp]: $P \omega \implies sfirst P \omega = 0$
(proof)

lemma *sfirst-eSuc*[simp]: $\neg P \omega \implies sfirst P \omega = eSuc (sfirst P (stl \omega))$
(proof)

lemma *less-sfirstD*:
fixes $n :: nat$
assumes $enat n < sfirst P \omega$ **shows** $\neg P (sdrop n \omega)$
(proof)

lemma *sfirst-finite*: $sfirst P \omega < \infty \longleftrightarrow ev P \omega$
(proof)

lemma *sfirst-Stream*: $sfirst P (s \#\# x) = (\text{if } P (s \#\# x) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc (sfirst P x))$
(proof)

lemma *less-sfirst-iff*: $(\text{not } P \text{ until } (alw P)) \omega \implies enat n < sfirst P \omega \longleftrightarrow \neg P (sdrop n \omega)$
(proof)

lemma *sfirst-eq-Inf*: $sfirst P \omega = Inf \{enat i \mid i. P (sdrop i \omega)\}$
(proof)

lemma *sfirst-eq-enat-iff*: $sfirst P \omega = enat n \longleftrightarrow ev-at P n \omega$
(proof)

4.7 stakeWhile

definition *stakeWhile* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a stream \Rightarrow 'a llist **where**
 $stakeWhile P xs = ltakeWhile P (\text{llist-of-stream } xs)$

lemma *stakeWhile-SCons* [simp]:
 $stakeWhile P (x \#\# xs) = (\text{if } P x \text{ then } LCons x (stakeWhile P xs) \text{ else } LNil)$
(proof)

lemma *lnull-stakeWhile* [simp]: $lnull (stakeWhile P xs) \longleftrightarrow \neg P (shd xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lhd-stakeWhile* [simp]: $P (\text{shd } xs) \implies \text{lhd} (\text{stakeWhile } P xs) = \text{shd } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-stakeWhile* [simp]:
 $\text{ltl} (\text{stakeWhile } P xs) = (\text{if } P (\text{shd } xs) \text{ then } \text{stakeWhile } P (\text{stl } xs) \text{ else } \text{LNil})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stakeWhile-K-False* [simp]: $\text{stakeWhile } (\lambda _. \text{False}) xs = \text{LNil}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stakeWhile-K-True* [simp]: $\text{stakeWhile } (\lambda _. \text{True}) xs = \text{llist-of-stream } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stakeWhile-smap*: $\text{stakeWhile } P (\text{smap } f xs) = \text{lmap } f (\text{stakeWhile } (P \circ f) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-stakeWhile* [simp]: $\text{lfinite} (\text{stakeWhile } P xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{set } xs. \neg P x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5 Terminated coinductive lists and their operations

theory *TLList imports*
 Coinductive-List
begin

Terminated coinductive lists $('a, 'b)$ *tllist* are the codatatype defined by the constructors *TNil* of type $'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)$ *tllist* and *TCons* of type $'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)$ *tllist* $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b)$ *tllist*.

5.1 Auxiliary lemmas

lemma *split-fst*: $R (\text{fst } p) = (\forall x y. p = (x, y) \longrightarrow R x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-fst-asm*: $R (\text{fst } p) \longleftrightarrow (\neg (\exists x y. p = (x, y) \wedge \neg R x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Type definition

consts *terminal0* :: $'a$

```

codatatype (tset: 'a, 'b) tllist =
  TNil (terminal : 'b)
  | TCons (thd : 'a) (ttl : ('a, 'b) tllist)
for
  map: tmap
  rel: tllist-all2
where
  thd (TNil -) = undefined
  | ttl (TNil b) = TNil b
  | terminal (TCons - xs) = terminal0 xs

overloading
  terminal0 == terminal0::('a, 'b) tllist => 'b
begin

partial-function (tailrec) terminal0
where terminal0 xs = (if is-TNil xs then case-tllist id undefined xs else terminal0
  (ttl xs))

end

lemma terminal0-terminal [simp]: terminal0 = terminal
⟨proof⟩

lemmas terminal-TNil [code, nitpick-simp] = tllist.sel(1)

lemma terminal-TCons [simp, code, nitpick-simp]: terminal (TCons x xs) = terminal
xs
⟨proof⟩

declare tllist.sel(2) [simp del]

primcorec unfold-tllist :: ('a => bool) => ('a => 'b) => ('a => 'c) => ('a => 'a) =>
'a => ('c, 'b) tllist where
  p a ==> unfold-tllist p g1 g21 g22 a = TNil (g1 a) |
  - ==> unfold-tllist p g1 g21 g22 a =
    TCons (g21 a) (unfold-tllist p g1 g21 g22 (g22 a))

declare
  unfold-tllist.ctr(1) [simp]
  tllist.corec(1) [simp]

```

5.3 Code generator setup

Test quickcheck setup

```

lemma xs = TNil x
quickcheck[random, expect=counterexample]
quickcheck[exhaustive, expect=counterexample]
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma TCons x xs = TCons x xs
quickcheck[narrowing, expect=no-counterexample]
⟨proof⟩

```

More lemmas about generated constants

```

lemma ttl-unfold-tllist:
  ttl (unfold-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD TTL a) =
    (if IS-TNIL a then TNil (TNIL a) else unfold-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD TTL
    (TTL a))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-TNil-ttl [simp]: is-TNil xs ==> is-TNil (ttl xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma terminal-ttl [simp]: terminal (ttl xs) = terminal xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unfold-tllist-eq-TNil [simp]:
  unfold-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD TTL a = TNil b <=> IS-TNIL a ∧ b = TNIL
a
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma TNil-eq-unfold-tllist [simp]:
  TNil b = unfold-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD TTL a <=> IS-TNIL a ∧ b = TNIL
a
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tmap-is-TNil: is-TNil xs ==> tmap f g xs = TNil (g (terminal xs))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare tllist.map-sel(2)[simp]

```

```

lemma ttl-tmap [simp]: ttl (tmap f g xs) = tmap f g (ttl xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tmap-eq-TNil-conv:
  tmap f g xs = TNil y <=> (∃ y'. xs = TNil y' ∧ g y' = y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma TNil-eq-tmap-conv:
  TNil y = tmap f g xs <=> (∃ y'. xs = TNil y' ∧ g y' = y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare tllist.set-sel(1)[simp]

```

```

lemma tset-ttl: tset (ttl xs) ⊆ tset xs
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *in-tset-ttlD*: $x \in tset (\text{ttl } xs) \implies x \in tset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *tllist-set-induct*[consumes 1, case-names find step]:
assumes $x \in tset xs$ **and** $\bigwedge_{xs} \neg \text{is-TNil } xs \implies P (\text{thd } xs) xs$
and $\bigwedge_{xs} \forall y. [\neg \text{is-TNil } xs; y \in tset (\text{ttl } xs); P y (\text{ttl } xs)] \implies P y xs$
shows $P x xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *set2-tllist-induct*[consumes 1, case-names find step]:
assumes $x \in set2-tllist xs$ **and** $\bigwedge_{xs} \text{is-TNil } xs \implies P (\text{terminal } xs) xs$
and $\bigwedge_{xs} \forall y. [\neg \text{is-TNil } xs; y \in set2-tllist (\text{ttl } xs); P y (\text{ttl } xs)] \implies P y xs$
shows $P x xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4 Connection with 'a llist

context fixes $b :: 'b$ begin
primcorec *tllist-of-llist* :: $'a llist \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) tllist$ **where**
 $tllist-of-llist xs = (\text{case } xs \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow TNil b \mid LCons x xs' \Rightarrow TCons x (tllist-of-llist xs'))$
end

primcorec *llist-of-tllist* :: $('a, 'b) tllist \Rightarrow 'a llist$
where $llist-of-tllist xs = (\text{case } xs \text{ of } TNil - \Rightarrow LNil \mid TCons x xs' \Rightarrow LCons x (llist-of-tllist xs'))$

simps-of-case *tllist-of-llist-simps* [*simp*, *code*, *nitpick-simp*]: *tllist-of-llist.code*

lemmas *tllist-of-llist-LNil* = *tllist-of-llist-simps(1)*
and *tllist-of-llist-LCons* = *tllist-of-llist-simps(2)*

lemma *terminal-tllist-of-llist-lnull* [*simp*]:
 $lnull xs \implies \text{terminal } (tllist-of-llist b xs) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *tllist-of-llist.sel(1)* [*simp del*]

lemma *lhd-LNil*: *lhd LNil* = *undefined*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *thd-TNil*: *thd (TNil b)* = *undefined*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *thd-tllist-of-llist* [*simp*]: *thd (tllist-of-llist b xs)* = *lhd xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ttl-tllist-of-llist* [*simp*]: *ttl (tllist-of-llist b xs)* = *tllist-of-llist b (ltl xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma llist-of-tllist-eq-LNil:
  llist-of-tllist xs = LNil  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-TNil xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

simps-of-case llist-of-tllist-simps [simp, code, nitpick-simp]: llist-of-tllist.code

lemmas llist-of-tllist-TNil = llist-of-tllist-simps(1)
and llist-of-tllist-TCons = llist-of-tllist-simps(2)

declare llist-of-tllist.sel [simp del]

lemma lhd-llist-of-tllist [simp]:  $\neg$  is-TNil xs  $\implies$  lhd (llist-of-tllist xs) = thd xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ltl-llist-of-tllist [simp]:
  ltl (llist-of-tllist xs) = llist-of-tllist (ttl xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tllist-of-llist-cong [cong]:
  assumes xs = xs'  $\mathit{lfinite}$  xs'  $\implies$  b = b'
  shows tllist-of-llist b xs = tllist-of-llist b' xs'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma llist-of-tllist-inverse [simp]:
  tllist-of-llist (terminal b) (llist-of-tllist b) = b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tllist-of-llist-eq [simp]: tllist-of-llist b' xs = TNil b  $\longleftrightarrow$  b = b'  $\wedge$  xs = LNil
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma TNil-eq-tllist-of-llist [simp]: TNil b = tllist-of-llist b' xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  b = b'  $\wedge$  xs
  = LNil
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tllist-of-llist-inject [simp]:
  tllist-of-llist b xs = tllist-of-llist c ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = ys  $\wedge$  ( $\mathit{lfinite}$  ys  $\longrightarrow$  b = c)
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tllist-of-llist-inverse [simp]:
  llist-of-tllist (tllist-of-llist b xs) = xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition cr-tllist :: ('a llist  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where cr-tllist  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$ (xs, b) ys. tllist-of-llist b xs = ys)

lemma Quotient-tllist:
  Quotient ( $\lambda$ (xs, a) (ys, b). xs = ys  $\wedge$  ( $\mathit{lfinite}$  ys  $\longrightarrow$  a = b))

```

$(\lambda(xs, a). \text{tllist-of-llist } a \ xs) (\lambda ys. (\text{llist-of-tllist } ys, \text{terminal } ys)) \text{ cr-tllist}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reflp-tllist*: *reflp* $(\lambda(xs, a) (ys, b). xs = ys \wedge (\text{lfinite } ys \longrightarrow a = b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

setup-lifting *Quotient-tllist reflp-tllist*

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

lemma *TNil-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(B \implies pcr-tllist A B) (\text{Pair } LNil) \text{ TNil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TCons-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(A \implies pcr-tllist A B \implies pcr-tllist A B) (\text{apfst } \circ \text{LCons}) \text{ TCons}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tmap-tllist-of-llist*:
 $tmap f g (\text{tllist-of-llist } b \ xs) = \text{tllist-of-llist } (g b) (\text{lmap } f \ xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tmap-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $((=) \implies (=) \implies pcr-tllist (=) (=) \implies pcr-tllist (=) (=)) (\text{map-prod} \circ \text{lmap}) \text{ tmap}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-llist-of-tllist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{lset } (\text{llist-of-tllist } xs) = \text{tset } xs \text{ (is } ?lhs = ?rhs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tset-tllist-of-llist* [*simp*]:
 $\text{tset } (\text{tllist-of-llist } b \ xs) = \text{lset } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tset-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(pcr-tllist (=) (=) \implies (=)) (\text{lset } \circ \text{fst}) \text{ tset}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-TNil-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(pcr-tllist (=) (=) \implies (=)) (\lambda(xs, b). \text{lnull } xs) \text{ is-TNil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *thd-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(pcr-tllist (=) (=) \implies (=)) (\text{lhd } \circ \text{fst}) \text{ thd}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ttl-transfer* [*transfer-rule*]:

```

(pcr-tllist A B ==> pcr-tllist A B) (apfst ltl) ttl
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-of-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-tllist (=) B ==> (=)) fst llist-of-tllist
⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-of-llist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> (=) ==> pcr-tllist (=) (=)) (λb xs. (xs, b)) tllist-of-llist
⟨proof⟩

lemma terminal-tllist-of-llist-lfinite [simp]:
  lfinite xs ==> terminal (tllist-of-llist b xs) = b
⟨proof⟩

lemma set2-tllist-tllist-of-llist [simp]:
  set2-tllist (tllist-of-llist b xs) = (if lfinite xs then {b} else {})
⟨proof⟩

lemma set2-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-tllist A B ==> rel-set B) (λ(xs, b). if lfinite xs then {b} else {}) set2-tllist
⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-all2-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> (=) ==> pcr-tllist (=) (=) ==> pcr-tllist (=) (=) ==> (=))
    (λP Q (xs, b) (ys, b'). llist-all2 P xs ys ∧ (lfinite xs → Q b b')) tllist-all2
⟨proof⟩

```

5.5 Library function definitions

We lift the constants from '*a* llist' to '*(a, b)* tllist' using the lifting package. This way, many results are transferred easily.

```

lift-definition tappend :: ('a, 'b) tllist ⇒ ('b ⇒ ('a, 'c) tllist) ⇒ ('a, 'c) tllist
is λ(xs, b) f. apfst (lappend xs) (f b)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition lappendt :: 'a llist ⇒ ('a, 'b) tllist ⇒ ('a, 'b) tllist
is apfst ∘ lappend
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition tfilter :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a, 'b) tllist ⇒ ('a, 'b) tllist
is λb P (xs, b'). (lfilter P xs, if lfinite xs then b' else b)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition tconcat :: 'b ⇒ ('a llist, 'b) tllist ⇒ ('a, 'b) tllist
is λb (xss, b'). (lconcat xss, if lfinite xss then b' else b)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition nth :: ('a, 'b) tllist ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a

```

```

is lnth  $\circ$  fst  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition tlength :: ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  enat
is llength  $\circ$  fst  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition tdropn :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist
is apfst  $\circ$  ldropn  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

abbreviation tfinite :: ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where tfinite xs  $\equiv$  lfinite (llist-of-tllist xs)

```

5.6 *tfinite*

```

lemma tfinite-induct [consumes 1, case-names TNil TCons]:
assumes tfinite xs
and  $\bigwedge y. P(TNil y)$ 
and  $\bigwedge x \text{ xs}. \llbracket tfinite \text{ xs}; P \text{ xs} \rrbracket \implies P(TCons x \text{ xs})$ 
shows P xs
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma is-TNil-tfinite [simp]: is-TNil xs  $\implies$  tfinite xs
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

5.7 The terminal element *terminal*

```

lemma terminal-tinfinite:
assumes  $\neg tfinite \text{ xs}$ 
shows terminal xs = undefined
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma terminal-tllist-of-llist:
terminal (tllist-of-llist y xs) = (if lfinite xs then y else undefined)
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma terminal-transfer [transfer-rule]:
(pcr-tllist A (=) ===> (=)) ( $\lambda(xs, b). \text{if } lfinite \text{ xs} \text{ then } b \text{ else } undefined$ ) terminal
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma terminal-tmap [simp]: tfinite xs  $\implies$  terminal (tmap f g xs) = g (terminal xs)
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

5.8 *tmap*

```

lemma tmap-eq-TCons-conv:
tmap f g xs = TCons y ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists z \text{ zs}. \text{xs} = TCons z \text{ zs} \wedge f z = y \wedge tmap f g \text{ zs} = ys)$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma TCons-eq-tmap-conv:

```

$TCons\ y\ ys = tmap\ f\ g\ xs \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists z\ zs.\ xs = TCons\ z\ zs \wedge f\ z = y \wedge tmap\ f\ g\ zs = ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.9 Appending two terminated lazy lists $tappend$

lemma $tappend-TNil$ [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:

$tappend\ (TNil\ b)\ f = f\ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tappend-TCons$ [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:

$tappend\ (TCons\ a\ tr)\ f = TCons\ a\ (tappend\ tr\ f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tappend-TNil2$ [simp]:

$tappend\ xs\ TNil = xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tappend-assoc$: $tappend\ (tappend\ xs\ f)\ g = tappend\ xs\ (\lambda b.\ tappend\ (f\ b)\ g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $terminal-tappend$:

$terminal\ (tappend\ xs\ f) = (\text{if } tfinite\ xs \text{ then } terminal\ (f\ (\text{terminal}\ xs)) \text{ else } \text{terminal}\ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tfinite-tappend$: $tfinite\ (tappend\ xs\ f) \longleftrightarrow tfinite\ xs \wedge tfinite\ (f\ (\text{terminal}\ xs))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $tcast :: ('a, 'b) tllist \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) tllist$

is $\lambda(xs, a). (xs, undefined)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tappend-inf$: $\neg tfinite\ xs \implies tappend\ xs\ f = tcast\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$tappend$ is the monadic bind on $('a, 'b) tllist$

lemmas $tllist-monad = tappend-TNil\ tappend-TNil2\ tappend-assoc$

5.10 Appending a terminated lazy list to a lazy list $lappendt$

lemma $lappendt-LNil$ [simp, code, nitpick-simp]: $lappendt\ LNil\ tr = tr$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lappendt-LCons$ [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:

$lappendt\ (LCons\ x\ xs)\ tr = TCons\ x\ (lappendt\ xs\ tr)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *terminal-lappendt-lfinite [simp]*:
 $lfinite\ xs \implies terminal\ (lappendt\ xs\ ys) = terminal\ ys$
(proof)

lemma *tllist-of-llist-eq-lappendt-conv*:
 $tllist\text{-}of\text{-}llist\ a\ xs = lappendt\ ys\ zs \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ xs'\ a'.\ xs = lappend\ ys\ xs' \wedge zs = tllist\text{-}of\text{-}llist\ a'\ xs' \wedge (lfinite\ ys \longrightarrow a = a'))$
(proof)

lemma *tset-lappendt-lfinite [simp]*:
 $lfinite\ xs \implies tset\ (lappendt\ xs\ ys) = lset\ xs \cup tset\ ys$
(proof)

5.11 Filtering terminated lazy lists *tfilter*

lemma *tfilter-TNil [simp]*:
 $tfilter\ b'\ P\ (TNil\ b) = TNil\ b$
(proof)

lemma *tfilter-TCons [simp]*:
 $tfilter\ b\ P\ (TCons\ a\ tr) = (if\ P\ a\ then\ TCons\ a\ (tfilter\ b\ P\ tr)\ else\ tfilter\ b\ P\ tr)$
(proof)

lemma *is-TNil-tfilter [simp]*:
 $is\text{-}TNil\ (tfilter\ y\ P\ xs) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in tset\ xs. \neg P\ x)$
(proof)

lemma *tfilter-empty-conv*:
 $tfilter\ y\ P\ xs = TNil\ y' \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in tset\ xs. \neg P\ x) \wedge (if\ tfinite\ xs\ then\ terminal\ xs = y'\ else\ y = y')$
(proof)

lemma *tfilter-eq-TConsD*:
 $tfilter\ a\ P\ ys = TCons\ x\ xs \implies \exists\ us\ vs.\ ys = lappendt\ us\ (TCons\ x\ vs) \wedge lfinite\ us \wedge (\forall u \in lset\ us. \neg P\ u) \wedge P\ x \wedge xs = tfilter\ a\ P\ vs$
(proof)

Use a version of *tfilter* for code generation that does not evaluate the first argument

definition *tfilter'* :: (*unit* \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) *tllist* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) *tllist*
where [*simp, code del*]: *tfilter'* b = *tfilter* (b ())

lemma *tfilter-code [code, code-unfold]*:
 $tfilter = (\lambda b. tfilter' (\lambda -. b))$
(proof)

lemma *tfilter'-code [code]*:
 $tfilter'\ b'\ P\ (TNil\ b) = TNil\ b$

```

 $tfilter' b' P (TCons a tr) = (\text{if } P a \text{ then } TCons a (tfilter' b' P tr) \text{ else } tfilter' b' P tr)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

hide-const (open) tfilter'

```

5.12 Concatenating a terminated lazy list of lazy lists $tconcat$

lemma $tconcat-TNil$ [simp]: $tconcat b (TNil b') = TNil b'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $tconcat-TCons$ [simp]: $tconcat b (TCons a tr) = lappendt a (tconcat b tr)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Use a version of $tconcat$ for code generation that does not evaluate the first argument

definition $tconcat'$:: ($\text{unit} \Rightarrow 'b$) $\Rightarrow ('a llist, 'b) tllist \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) tllist$
where [simp, code del]: $tconcat' b = tconcat (b ())$

lemma $tconcat-code$ [code, code-unfold]: $tconcat = (\lambda b. tconcat' (\lambda -. b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $tconcat'-code$ [code]:
 $tconcat' b (TNil b') = TNil b'$
 $tconcat' b (TCons a tr) = lappendt a (tconcat' b tr)$
 ⟨proof⟩

hide-const (open) tconcat'

5.13 $tllist-all2$

lemmas $tllist-all2-TNil$ = $tllist.\text{rel-inject}(1)$
lemmas $tllist-all2-TCons$ = $tllist.\text{rel-inject}(2)$

lemma $tllist-all2-TNil1$: $tllist-all2 P Q (TNil b) ts \longleftrightarrow (\exists b'. ts = TNil b' \wedge Q b b')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $tllist-all2-TNil2$: $tllist-all2 P Q ts (TNil b') \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. ts = TNil b \wedge Q b b')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $tllist-all2-TCons1$:
 $tllist-all2 P Q (TCons x ts) ts' \longleftrightarrow (\exists x' ts''. ts' = TCons x' ts'' \wedge P x x' \wedge tllist-all2 P Q ts ts'')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tllist-all2-TCons2*:

$$\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ ts' (\text{TCons } x \ ts) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x' \ ts''. \ ts' = \text{TCons } x' \ ts'' \wedge P \ x' \ x \wedge \text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ ts'' \ ts)$$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-coinduct* [consumes 1, case-names *tllist-all2*, case-conclusion *tllist-all2 is-TNil TNil TCons, coinduct pred: tllist-all2*]:

assumes $X \ xs \ ys$
and $\bigwedge xs \ ys. X \ xs \ ys \implies$
 $(\text{is-TNil } xs \longleftrightarrow \text{is-TNil } ys) \wedge$
 $(\text{is-TNil } xs \longrightarrow \text{is-TNil } ys \longrightarrow R \ (\text{terminal } xs) \ (\text{terminal } ys)) \wedge$
 $(\neg \text{is-TNil } xs \longrightarrow \neg \text{is-TNil } ys \longrightarrow P \ (\text{thd } xs) \ (\text{thd } ys) \wedge (X \ (\text{ttl } xs) \ (\text{ttl } ys) \vee \text{tllist-all2 } P \ R \ (\text{ttl } xs) \ (\text{ttl } ys)))$
shows $\text{tllist-all2 } P \ R \ xs \ ys$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-cases*[consumes 1, case-names *TNil TCons, cases pred*]:

assumes *tllist-all2 P Q xs ys*
obtains $(\text{TNil } b \ b' \text{ where } xs = \text{TNil } b \ ys = \text{TNil } b' \ Q \ b \ b')$
 $| \ (\text{TCons } x \ xs' \ y \ ys')$
where $xs = \text{TCons } x \ xs'$ **and** $ys = \text{TCons } y \ ys'$
and $P \ x \ y$ **and** *tllist-all2 P Q xs' ys'*

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-tmap1*:

$$\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ (\text{tmap } f \ g \ xs) \ ys \longleftrightarrow \text{tllist-all2 } (\lambda x. P \ (f \ x)) \ (\lambda x. Q \ (g \ x)) \ xs \ ys$$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-tmap2*:

$$\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ xs \ (\text{tmap } f \ g \ ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{tllist-all2 } (\lambda x \ y. P \ x \ (f \ y)) \ (\lambda x \ y. Q \ x \ (g \ y)) \ xs \ ys$$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-mono*:

$$[\![\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ xs \ ys; \bigwedge x \ y. P \ x \ y \implies P' \ x \ y; \bigwedge x \ y. Q \ x \ y \implies Q' \ x \ y]\!]$$

$$\implies \text{tllist-all2 } P' \ Q' \ xs \ ys$$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-tlengthD*: $\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ xs \ ys \implies \text{tlength } xs = \text{tlength } ys$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-tfiniteD*: $\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ xs \ ys \implies \text{tfinite } xs = \text{tfinite } ys$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-tfinite1-terminalD*:

$$[\![\text{tllist-all2 } P \ Q \ xs \ ys; \text{tfinite } xs]\!] \implies Q \ (\text{terminal } xs) \ (\text{terminal } ys)$$

(proof)

lemma *tllist-all2-tfinite2-terminalD*:

$\llbracket tllist-all2 P Q xs ys; tfinite ys \rrbracket \implies Q (\text{terminal } xs) (\text{terminal } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2D-llist-all2-llist-of-tllist*:
 $tllist-all2 P Q xs ys \implies llist-all2 P (\text{llist-of-tllist } xs) (\text{llist-of-tllist } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-is-TNilD*:
 $tllist-all2 P Q xs ys \implies \text{is-TNil } xs \longleftrightarrow \text{is-TNil } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-thdD*:
 $\llbracket tllist-all2 P Q xs ys; \neg \text{is-TNil } xs \vee \neg \text{is-TNil } ys \rrbracket \implies P (\text{thd } xs) (\text{thd } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-ttlI*:
 $\llbracket tllist-all2 P Q xs ys; \neg \text{is-TNil } xs \vee \neg \text{is-TNil } ys \rrbracket \implies tllist-all2 P Q (\text{ttl } xs)$
 $(\text{ttl } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-refl*:
 $tllist-all2 P Q xs xs \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{tset } xs. P x x) \wedge (tfinite xs \longrightarrow Q (\text{terminal } xs))$
 $(\text{terminal } xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-reflI*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge x. x \in \text{tset } xs \implies P x x; tfinite xs \implies Q (\text{terminal } xs) (\text{terminal } xs) \rrbracket$
 $\implies tllist-all2 P Q xs xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-conv-all-tnth*:
 $tllist-all2 P Q xs ys \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{tlength } xs = \text{tlength } ys \wedge$
 $(\forall n. \text{enat } n < \text{tlength } xs \longrightarrow P (\text{tnth } xs n) (\text{tnth } ys n)) \wedge$
 $(tfinite xs \longrightarrow Q (\text{terminal } xs) (\text{terminal } ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-tnthD*:
 $\llbracket tllist-all2 P Q xs ys; \text{enat } n < \text{tlength } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies P (\text{tnth } xs n) (\text{tnth } ys n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tllist-all2-tnthD2*:
 $\llbracket tllist-all2 P Q xs ys; \text{enat } n < \text{tlength } ys \rrbracket$
 $\implies P (\text{tnth } xs n) (\text{tnth } ys n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *tllist-all2-eq = tllist.rel-eq*

lemma *tmap-eq-tmap-conv-tllist-all2*:

$$\begin{aligned} tmap\ f\ g\ xs &= tmap\ f'\ g'\ ys \longleftrightarrow \\ tllist-all2\ (\lambda x\ y.\ f\ x = f'\ y)\ (\lambda x\ y.\ g\ x = g'\ y)\ xs\ ys \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *tllist-all2-trans*:

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket tllist-all2\ P\ Q\ xs\ ys; tllist-all2\ P\ Q\ ys\ zs; transp\ P; transp\ Q \rrbracket \\ &\implies tllist-all2\ P\ Q\ xs\ zs \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *tllist-all2-tappendI*:

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket tllist-all2\ P\ Q\ xs\ ys; \\ &\quad \llbracket tfinite\ xs; tfinite\ ys; Q\ (\text{terminal}\ xs)\ (\text{terminal}\ ys) \rrbracket \\ &\implies tllist-all2\ P\ R\ (xs'(\text{terminal}\ xs))\ (ys'(\text{terminal}\ ys)) \\ &\implies tllist-all2\ P\ R\ (\text{tappend}\ xs\ xs')\ (\text{tappend}\ ys\ ys') \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *llist-all2-tllist-of-llistI*:

$$\begin{aligned} tllist-all2\ A\ B\ xs\ ys &\implies llist-all2\ A\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ xs)\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ ys) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *tllist-all2-tllist-of-llist* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} tllist-all2\ A\ B\ (\text{tllist-of-llist}\ b\ xs)\ (\text{tllist-of-llist}\ c\ ys) &\longleftrightarrow \\ llist-all2\ A\ xs\ ys \wedge (lfinite\ xs \longrightarrow B\ b\ c) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

5.14 From a terminated lazy list to a lazy list *llist-of-tllist*

lemma *llist-of-tllist-tmap* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} llist-of-tllist\ (tmap\ f\ g\ xs) &= lmap\ f\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ xs) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *llist-of-tllist-tappend*:

$$\begin{aligned} llist-of-tllist\ (\text{tappend}\ xs\ f) &= lappend\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ xs)\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ (f\ (\text{terminal}\ xs))) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *llist-of-tllist-lappendt* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} llist-of-tllist\ (\text{lappendt}\ xs\ tr) &= lappend\ xs\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ tr) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *llist-of-tllist-tfilter* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} llist-of-tllist\ (\text{tfilter}\ b\ P\ tr) &= lfilter\ P\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ tr) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *llist-of-tllist-tconcat*:

$$\begin{aligned} llist-of-tllist\ (\text{tconcat}\ b\ trs) &= lconcat\ (\text{llist-of-tllist}\ trs) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *llist-of-tllist-eq-lappend-conv*:
llist-of-tllist xs = lappend us vs \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists ys. xs = \text{lappendt } us \text{ } ys \wedge vs = \text{llist-of-tllist } ys \wedge \text{terminal } xs = \text{terminal } ys)$
{proof}

5.15 The nth element of a terminated lazy list *tnth*

lemma *tnth-TNil* [nitpick-simp]:
tnth (TNil b) n = undefined n
{proof}

lemma *tnth-TCons*:
tnth (TCons x xs) n = (case n of 0 \Rightarrow x | Suc n' \Rightarrow tnth xs n')
{proof}

lemma *tnth-code* [simp, nitpick-simp, code]:
shows *tnth-0*: *tnth (TCons x xs) 0 = x*
and *tnth-Suc-TCons*: *tnth (TCons x xs) (Suc n) = tnth xs n*
{proof}

lemma *lnth-llist-of-tllist* [simp]:
lnth (llist-of-tllist xs) = tnth xs
{proof}

lemma *tnth-tmap* [simp]: *enat n < tlength xs \Longrightarrow tnth (tmap f g xs) n = f (tnth xs n)*
{proof}

5.16 The length of a terminated lazy list *tlength*

lemma [simp, nitpick-simp]:
shows *tlength-TNil*: *tlength (TNil b) = 0*
and *tlength-TCons*: *tlength (TCons x xs) = eSuc (tlength xs)*
{proof}

lemma *llength-llist-of-tllist* [simp]: *llength (llist-of-tllist xs) = tlength xs*
{proof}

lemma *tlength-tmap* [simp]: *tlength (tmap f g xs) = tlength xs*
{proof}

definition *gen-tlength* :: *nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) tlist \Rightarrow enat*
where *gen-tlength n xs = enat n + tlength xs*

lemma *gen-tlength-code* [code]:
gen-tlength n (TNil b) = enat n
gen-tlength n (TCons x xs) = gen-tlength (n + 1) xs
{proof}

lemma *tlength-code* [code]: *tlength = gen-tlength 0*

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.17 $tdropn$

lemma $tdropn\text{-}0$ [simp, code, nitpick-simp]: $tdropn\ 0\ xs = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tdropn\text{-}TNil$ [simp, code]: $tdropn\ n\ (TNil\ b) = (TNil\ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tdropn\text{-}Suc\text{-}TCons$ [simp, code]: $tdropn\ (Suc\ n)\ (TCons\ x\ xs) = tdropn\ n\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tdropn\text{-}Suc$ [nitpick-simp]: $tdropn\ (Suc\ n)\ xs = (\text{case}\ xs\ \text{of}\ TNil\ b \Rightarrow TNil\ b\ |\ TCons\ x\ xs' \Rightarrow tdropn\ n\ xs')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lappendt\text{-}ltake\text{-}tdropn$:
 $lappendt\ (ltake\ (\text{enat}\ n)\ (llist\text{-}of\text{-}tllist\ xs))\ (tdropn\ n\ xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $llist\text{-}of\text{-}tllist\text{-}tdropn$ [simp]:
 $llist\text{-}of\text{-}tllist\ (tdropn\ n\ xs) = ldropn\ n\ (llist\text{-}of\text{-}tllist\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tdropn\text{-}Suc\text{-}conv\text{-}tdropn$:
 $\text{enat}\ n < \text{tlength}\ xs \Rightarrow TCons\ (\text{tnth}\ xs\ n)\ (tdropn\ (Suc\ n)\ xs) = tdropn\ n\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tlength\text{-}tdropn$ [simp]: $\text{tlength}\ (tdropn\ n\ xs) = \text{tlength}\ xs - \text{enat}\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{tnth}\text{-}tdropn$ [simp]: $\text{enat}\ (n + m) < \text{tlength}\ xs \Rightarrow \text{tnth}\ (tdropn\ n\ xs)\ m = \text{tnth}\ xs\ (m + n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.18 $tset$

lemma $tset\text{-}induct$ [consumes 1, case-names find step]:
 assumes $x \in tset\ xs$
 and $\bigwedge_{x:xs} P\ (TCons\ x\ xs)$
 and $\bigwedge_{x':xs} [\exists x \in tset\ xs; x \neq x'; P\ xs] \Rightarrow P\ (TCons\ x'\ xs)$
 shows $P\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tset\text{-}conv\text{-}tnth$: $tset\ xs = \{\text{tnth}\ xs\ n | n . \text{enat}\ n < \text{tlength}\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma in-tset-conv-tnth:  $x \in tset xs \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. enat n < tlength xs \wedge tnth xs n = x)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

5.19 Setup for Lifting/Transfer

5.19.1 Relator and predicate properties

abbreviation $tllist-all == pred-tllist$

5.19.2 Transfer rules for the Transfer package

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

```
lemma set1-pre-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ( $\text{rel-pre-tllist } A B C \implies \text{rel-set } A$ )  $\text{set1-pre-tllist}$   $\text{set1-pre-tllist}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma set2-pre-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ( $\text{rel-pre-tllist } A B C \implies \text{rel-set } B$ )  $\text{set2-pre-tllist}$   $\text{set2-pre-tllist}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma set3-pre-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ( $\text{rel-pre-tllist } A B C \implies \text{rel-set } C$ )  $\text{set3-pre-tllist}$   $\text{set3-pre-tllist}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma TNil-transfer2 [transfer-rule]: ( $B \implies tllist-all2 A B$ )  $TNil$   $TNil$ 
⟨proof⟩
declare TNil-transfer [transfer-rule]
```

```
lemma TCons-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
  ( $A \implies tllist-all2 A B \implies tllist-all2 A B$ )  $TCons$   $TCons$ 
⟨proof⟩
declare TCons-transfer [transfer-rule]
```

```
lemma case-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ( $(B \implies C) \implies (A \implies tllist-all2 A B \implies C) \implies tllist-all2 A B \implies C$ )
  case-tllist case-tllist
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unfold-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ( $(A \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies B) \implies (A \implies C) \implies (A \implies (=)) \implies A \implies tllist-all2 C B$ )  $\text{unfold-tllist}$   $\text{unfold-tllist}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma corec-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ( $(A \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies B) \implies (A \implies C) \implies (A \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies tllist-all2 C B) \implies (A \implies A) \implies A \implies (=)$ )
```

```

 $tllist-all2 C B) \ corec-tllist \ corec-tllist$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ttl-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $(tllist-all2 A B ==> tllist-all2 A B) \ ttl \ ttl$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare ttl-transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tset-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $(tllist-all2 A B ==> rel-set A) \ tset \ tset$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tmap-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $((A ==> B) ==> (C ==> D) ==> tllist-all2 A C ==> tllist-all2 B$ 
 $D) \ tmap \ tmap$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare tmap-transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma is-TNil-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $(tllist-all2 A B ==> (=)) \ is-TNil \ is-TNil$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare is-TNil-transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tappend-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $(tllist-all2 A B ==> (B ==> tllist-all2 A C) ==> tllist-all2 A C) \ tappend$ 
 $tappend$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare tappend.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma lappendt-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $(llist-all2 A ==> tllist-all2 A B ==> tllist-all2 A B) \ lappendt \ lappendt$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare lappendt.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma llist-of-tllist-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $(tllist-all2 A B ==> llist-all2 A) \ llist-of-tllist \ llist-of-tllist$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare llist-of-tllist-transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tllist-of-llist-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $(B ==> llist-all2 A ==> tllist-all2 A B) \ tllist-of-llist \ tllist-of-llist$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare tllist-of-llist-transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tlength-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $(tllist-all2 A B ==> (=)) \ tlengt \ tlengt$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare tlengt.transfer [transfer-rule]

```

```

lemma tdropn-transfer [transfer-rule]:
   $((=) \implies \text{tllist-all2 } A \ B \implies \text{tllist-all2 } A \ B)$  tdropn tdropn
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
declare tdropn.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tfilter-transfer [transfer-rule]:
   $(B \implies (A \implies (=)) \implies \text{tllist-all2 } A \ B \implies \text{tllist-all2 } A \ B)$  tfilter
  tfilter
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
declare tfilter.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tconcat-transfer [transfer-rule]:
   $(B \implies \text{tllist-all2 } (\text{llist-all2 } A) \ B \implies \text{tllist-all2 } A \ B)$  tconcat tconcat
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
declare tconcat.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma tlist-all2-rsp:
  assumes R1:  $\forall x y. R1 \ x \ y \implies (\forall a b. R1 \ a \ b \implies S \ x \ a = T \ y \ b)$ 
  and R2:  $\forall x y. R2 \ x \ y \implies (\forall a b. R2 \ a \ b \implies S' \ x \ a = T' \ y \ b)$ 
  and xsyss: tllist-all2 R1 R2 xs ys
  and xs'ys': tllist-all2 R1 R2 xs' ys'
  shows tllist-all2 S S' xs xs' = tllist-all2 T T' ys ys'
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tllist-all2-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
   $((R1 \implies R1 \implies (=)) \implies (R2 \implies R2 \implies (=)) \implies$ 
   $\text{tllist-all2 } R1 \ R2 \implies \text{tllist-all2 } R1 \ R2 \implies (=))$  tllist-all2 tllist-all2
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
declare tllist-all2-transfer [transfer-rule]

```

end

Delete lifting rules for ('a, 'b) tllist because the parametricity rules take precedence over most of the transfer rules. They can be restored by including the bundle tllist.lifting.

```

lifting-update tllist.lifting
lifting-forget tllist.lifting

```

end

6 Setup for Isabelle's quotient package for lazy lists

```

theory Quotient-Coinductive-List imports
  HOL-Library. Quotient-List
  HOL-Library. Quotient-Set
  Coinductive-List
begin

```

6.1 Rules for the Quotient package

declare *llist.rel-eq[id-simps]*

lemma *transpD*: $\llbracket \text{transp } R; R a b; R b c \rrbracket \implies R a c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-respect* [*quot-respect*]:
 $(R \implies R) \text{id} \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-preserve* [*quot-preserve*]:
assumes *Quotient3 R Abs Rep*
shows $(\text{Rep} \dashrightarrow \text{Abs}) \text{id} = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

functor *lmap*: *lmap*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *llist.map-id0* [*id-simps*]

lemma *reflp-llist-all2*: *reflp R* $\implies \text{reflp} (\text{llist-all2 } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *symp-llist-all2*: *symp R* $\implies \text{symp} (\text{llist-all2 } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transp-llist-all2*: *transp R* $\implies \text{transp} (\text{llist-all2 } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llist-equivp* [*quot-equiv*]:
 $\text{equivp } R \implies \text{equivp} (\text{llist-all2 } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unfold-llist-preserve* [*quot-preserve*]:
assumes *q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1*
and *q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2*
shows $((\text{Abs1} \dashrightarrow \text{id}) \dashrightarrow (\text{Abs1} \dashrightarrow \text{Rep2}) \dashrightarrow (\text{Abs1} \dashrightarrow \text{Rep1}) \dashrightarrow \text{lmap Abs2}) \text{unfold-llist} = \text{unfold-llist}$
 $(\text{is } ?lhs = ?rhs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Quotient-lmap-Abs-Rep*:
 $\text{Quotient3 R Abs Rep} \implies \text{lmap Abs} (\text{lmap Rep } a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llist-all2-rel*:
assumes *Quotient3 R Abs Rep*
shows $\text{llist-all2 } R r s \longleftrightarrow \text{llist-all2 } R r r \wedge \text{llist-all2 } R s s \wedge (\text{lmap Abs } r = \text{lmap Abs } s)$

```

(is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Quotient-llist-all2-lmap-Rep:
Quotient3 R Abs Rep  $\implies$  llist-all2 R (lmap Rep a) (lmap Rep a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-quotient [quot-thm]:
Quotient3 R Abs Rep  $\implies$  Quotient3 (llist-all2 R) (lmap Abs) (lmap Rep)
⟨proof⟩

declare [[mapQ3 llist = (llist-all2, llist-quotient)]]

lemma LCons-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes Quotient3 R Abs Rep
shows (Rep  $\dashrightarrow$  (lmap Rep)  $\dashrightarrow$  (lmap Abs)) LCons = LCons
⟨proof⟩

lemmas LCons-respect [quot-respect] = LCons-transfer

lemma LNil-preserve [quot-preserve]:
lmap Abs LNil = LNil
⟨proof⟩

lemmas LNil-respect [quot-respect] = LNil-transfer

lemma lmap-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes a: Quotient3 R1 abs1 rep1
and b: Quotient3 R2 abs2 rep2
shows ((abs1  $\dashrightarrow$  rep2)  $\dashrightarrow$  (lmap rep1)  $\dashrightarrow$  (lmap abs2)) lmap =
lmap
and ((abs1  $\dashrightarrow$  id)  $\dashrightarrow$  lmap rep1  $\dashrightarrow$  id) lmap = lmap
⟨proof⟩

lemma lmap-respect [quot-respect]:
shows ((R1  $\dashrightarrow$  R2)  $\dashrightarrow$  (llist-all2 R1)  $\dashrightarrow$  (llist-all2 R2)) lmap lmap
and ((R1  $\dashrightarrow$  (=))  $\dashrightarrow$  (llist-all2 R1)  $\dashrightarrow$  (=)) lmap lmap
⟨proof⟩

lemmas llist-all2-respect [quot-respect] = llist-all2-transfer

lemma llist-all2-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes Quotient3 R Abs Rep
shows ((Abs  $\dashrightarrow$  Abs  $\dashrightarrow$  id)  $\dashrightarrow$  lmap Rep  $\dashrightarrow$  lmap Rep  $\dashrightarrow$ 
id) llist-all2 = llist-all2
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-preserve2 [quot-preserve]:
assumes Quotient3 R Abs Rep

```

```

shows (llist-all2 ((Rep ---> Rep ---> id) R) l m) = (l = m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma corec-llist-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
shows ((Abs1 ---> id) ---> (Abs1 ---> Rep2) ---> (Abs1 ---> id)
--->
(Abs1 ---> lmap Rep2) ---> (Abs1 ---> Rep1) ---> Rep1
---> lmap Abs2) corec-llist = corec-llist
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 Setup for Isabelle's quotient package for terminated lazy lists

```

theory Quotient-TLLList imports
  TLLList
  HOL-Library.Quotient-Product
  HOL-Library.Quotient-Sum
  HOL-Library.Quotient-Set
begin

```

7.1 Rules for the Quotient package

```

lemma tmap-id-id [id-simps]:
  tmap id id = id
⟨proof⟩

declare tllist-all2-eq[id-simps]

lemma case-sum-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
and q3: Quotient3 R3 Abs3 Rep3
shows ((Abs1 ---> Rep2) ---> (Abs3 ---> Rep2) ---> map-sum Rep1
Rep3 ---> Abs2) case-sum = case-sum
⟨proof⟩

lemma case-sum-preserve2 [quot-preserve]:
assumes q: Quotient3 R Abs Rep
shows ((id ---> Rep) ---> (id ---> Rep) ---> id ---> Abs) case-sum
= case-sum
⟨proof⟩

lemma case-prod-preserve [quot-preserve]:

```

```

assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
and q3: Quotient3 R3 Abs3 Rep3
shows ((Abs1 ---> Abs2 ---> Rep3) ---> map-prod Rep1 Rep2 --->
Abs3) case-prod = case-prod
⟨proof⟩

lemma case-prod-preserve2 [quot-preserve]:
assumes q: Quotient3 R Abs Rep
shows ((id ---> id ---> Rep) ---> id ---> Abs) case-prod = case-prod
⟨proof⟩

lemma id-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes Quotient3 R Abs Rep
shows (Rep ---> Abs) id = id
⟨proof⟩

functor tmap: tmap
⟨proof⟩

lemma reflp-tllist-all2:
assumes R: reflp R and Q: reflp Q
shows reflp (tllist-all2 R Q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma symp-tllist-all2: [ symp R; symp S ] ==> symp (tllist-all2 R S)
⟨proof⟩

lemma transp-tllist-all2: [ transp R; transp S ] ==> transp (tllist-all2 R S)
⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-equivp [quot-equiv]:
[ equivp R; equivp S ] ==> equivp (tllist-all2 R S)
⟨proof⟩

declare tllist-all2-eq [simp, id-simps]

lemma tmap-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
and q3: Quotient3 R3 Abs3 Rep3
and q4: Quotient3 R4 Abs4 Rep4
shows ((Abs1 ---> Rep2) ---> (Abs3 ---> Rep4) ---> tmap Rep1
Rep3 ---> tmap Abs2 Abs4) tmap = tmap
and ((Abs1 ---> id) ---> (Abs2 ---> id) ---> tmap Rep1 Rep2 --->
id) tmap = tmap
⟨proof⟩

lemmas tmap-respect [quot-respect] = tmap-transfer2

```

```

lemma Quotient3-tmap-Abs-Rep:
  [[Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1; Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2]
   ==> tmap Abs1 Abs2 (tmap Rep1 Rep2 ts) = ts
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Quotient3-tllist-all2-tmap-tmapI:
  assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
  and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
  shows tllist-all2 R1 R2 (tmap Rep1 Rep2 ts) (tmap Rep1 Rep2 ts)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-all2-rel:
  assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
  and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
  shows tllist-all2 R1 R2 r s <→ (tllist-all2 R1 R2 r r ∧ tllist-all2 R1 R2 s s ∧
  tmap Abs1 Abs2 r = tmap Abs1 Abs2 s)
  (is ?lhs <→ ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-quotient [quot-thm]:
  [[Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1; Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2]
   ==> Quotient3 (tllist-all2 R1 R2) (tmap Abs1 Abs2) (tmap Rep1 Rep2)]
  ⟨proof⟩

declare [[mapQ3 tllist = (tllist-all2, tllist-quotient)]]]

lemma TCons-preserve [quot-preserve]:
  assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
  and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
  shows (Rep1 ----> (tmap Rep1 Rep2) ----> (tmap Abs1 Abs2)) TCons =
  TCons
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas TCons-respect [quot-respect] = TCons-transfer2

lemma TNil-preserve [quot-preserve]:
  assumes Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
  shows (Rep2 ----> tmap Abs1 Abs2) TNil = TNil
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas TNil-respect [quot-respect] = TNil-transfer2

lemmas tllist-all2-respect [quot-respect] = tllist-all2-transfer

lemma tllist-all2-prs:
  assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
  and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
  shows tllist-all2 ((Abs1 ----> Abs1 ----> id) P) ((Abs2 ----> Abs2 ---->

```

```

id) Q)
      (tmap Rep1 Rep2 ts) (tmap Rep1 Rep2 ts')
       $\longleftrightarrow$  tllist-all2 P Q ts ts'
(is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-all2-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
shows ((Abs1 ---> Abs1 ---> id)  $\dashrightarrow$  (Abs2 ---> Abs2 ---> id)
 $\dashrightarrow$ 
tmap Rep1 Rep2 ---> tmap Rep1 Rep2 ---> id) tllist-all2 = tllist-all2
⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-all2-preserve2 [quot-preserve]:
assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
shows (tllist-all2 ((Rep1 ---> Rep1 ---> id) R1) ((Rep2 ---> Rep2
 $\dashrightarrow$  id) R2)) = (=)
⟨proof⟩

lemma corec-tllist-preserve [quot-preserve]:
assumes q1: Quotient3 R1 Abs1 Rep1
and q2: Quotient3 R2 Abs2 Rep2
and q3: Quotient3 R3 Abs3 Rep3
shows ((Abs1 ---> id)  $\dashrightarrow$  (Abs1 ---> Rep2)  $\dashrightarrow$  (Abs1 --->
Rep3)  $\dashrightarrow$  (Abs1 ---> id)  $\dashrightarrow$  (Abs1 ---> tmap Rep3 Rep2)  $\dashrightarrow$ 
(Abs1 ---> Rep1)  $\dashrightarrow$  Rep1 ---> tmap Abs3 Abs2) corec-tllist = corec-tllist
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

end

theory Coinductive imports
Coinductive-List-Prefix
Coinductive-Stream
TLLList
Quotient-Coinductive-List
Quotient-TLLList
begin

end

```

8 Code generator setup to implement lazy lists lazily

```

theory Lazy-LList imports
Coinductive-List

```

```
begin
```

8.1 Lazy lists

```
code-identifier code-module Lazy-LList —
  (SML) Coinductive-List and
  (OCaml) Coinductive-List and
  (Haskell) Coinductive-List and
  (Scala) Coinductive-List

definition Lazy-llist :: (unit ⇒ ('a × 'a llist) option) ⇒ 'a llist
where [simp]:
  Lazy-llist xs = (case xs () of None ⇒ LNil | Some (x, ys) ⇒ LCons x ys)

definition force :: 'a llist ⇒ ('a × 'a llist) option
where [simp, code del]: force xs = (case xs of LNil ⇒ None | LCons x ys ⇒ Some (x, ys))

code-datatype Lazy-llist

declare — Restore consistency in code equations between partial-term-of and narrowing for 'a llist
[[code drop: partial-term-of :: - llist itself => -]]

lemma partial-term-of-llist-code [code]:
  fixes tytok :: 'a :: partial-term-of llist itself shows
    partial-term-of tytok (Quickcheck-Narrowing.Narrowing-variable p tt) ≡
      Code-Evaluation.Free (STR "-") (Typerep.typerep TYPE('a llist))
    partial-term-of tytok (Quickcheck-Narrowing.Narrowing-constructor 0 []) ≡
      Code-Evaluation.Const (STR "Coinductive-List.llist.LNil") (Typerep.typerep TYPE('a llist))
    partial-term-of tytok (Quickcheck-Narrowing.Narrowing-constructor 1 [head, tail])
    ≡
      Code-Evaluation.App
        (Code-Evaluation.App
          (Code-Evaluation.Const
            (STR "Coinductive-List.llist.LCons")
            (Typerep.typerep TYPE('a ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist)))
          (partial-term-of TYPE('a) head))
        (partial-term-of TYPE('a llist) tail)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare option.splits [split]

lemma Lazy-llist-inject [simp]:
  Lazy-llist xs = Lazy-llist ys ↔ xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Lazy-llist-inverse [code, simp]:
```

```

force (Lazy-list xs) = xs ()
⟨proof⟩

lemma force-inverse [simp]:
Lazy-list (λ-. force xs) = xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma LNil-Lazy-list [code]: LNil = Lazy-list (λ-. None)
⟨proof⟩

lemma LCons-Lazy-list [code, code-unfold]: LCons x xs = Lazy-list (λ-. Some (x,
xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnull-lazy [code]: lnull = Option.is-none ∘ force
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: equal-class.equal :: 'a :: equal llist ⇒ -]]

lemma equal-llist-Lazy-list [code]:
equal-class.equal (Lazy-list xs) (Lazy-list ys) ←→
(case xs () of None ⇒ (case ys () of None ⇒ True | - ⇒ False)
| Some (x, xs') ⇒
  (case ys () of None ⇒ False
  | Some (y, ys') ⇒ if x = y then equal-class.equal xs' ys' else False))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: corec-llist]]

lemma corec-llist-Lazy-list [code]:
corec-llist IS-LNIL LHD endORmore LTL-end LTL-more b =
Lazy-list (λ-. if IS-LNIL b then None
else Some (LHD b,
if endORmore b then LTL-end b
else corec-llist IS-LNIL LHD endORmore LTL-end LTL-more (LTL-more b)))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: unfold-llist]]

lemma unfold-llist-Lazy-list [code]:
unfold-llist IS-LNIL LHD LTL b =
Lazy-list (λ-. if IS-LNIL b then None else Some (LHD b, unfold-llist IS-LNIL
LHD LTL (LTL b)))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: case-llist]]

lemma case-llist-Lazy-list [code]:
case-llist n c (Lazy-list xs) = (case xs () of None ⇒ n | Some (x, ys) ⇒ c x ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lappend]]

lemma lappend-Lazy-llist [code]:

$lappend (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list xs) ys =$
 $\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list (\lambda-. \text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{force } ys \mid \text{Some } (x, xs') \Rightarrow \text{Some } (x, lappend xs' ys))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lmap]]

lemma lmap-Lazy-llist [code]:

$lmap f (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list xs) = \text{Lazy}-\text{l}list (\lambda-. \text{map-option} (\text{map-prod } f (\text{lmap } f)) (xs ()))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lfinite]]

lemma lfinite-Lazy-llist [code]:

$lfinite (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list xs) = (\text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } (x, ys) \Rightarrow lfinite ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: list-of-aux]]

lemma list-of-aux-Lazy-llist [code]:

$list-\text{of-aux } xs (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list ys) =$
 $(\text{case } ys () \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{rev } xs \mid \text{Some } (y, ys) \Rightarrow list-\text{of-aux } (y \# xs) ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: gen-llength]]

lemma gen-llength-Lazy-llist [code]:

$gen-\text{llength } n (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list xs) = (\text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{enat } n \mid \text{Some } (-, ys) \Rightarrow$
 $gen-\text{llength } (n + 1) ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: ltake]]

lemma ltake-Lazy-llist [code]:

$ltake n (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list xs) =$
 $\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list (\lambda-. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } (x, ys) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Some } (x, ltake (n - 1) ys))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: ldropn]]

lemma ldropn-Lazy-llist [code]:

$ldropn n (\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list xs) =$
 $\text{Lazy}-\text{l}list (\lambda-. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } xs () \text{ else }$

```

case xs () of None ⇒ None | Some (x, ys) ⇒ force (ldropn (n - 1) ys))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: ltakeWhile]]

lemma ltakeWhile-Lazy-llist [code]:
ltakeWhile P (Lazy-llist xs) =
Lazy-llist (λ-. case xs () of None ⇒ None | Some (x, ys) ⇒ if P x then Some (x, ltakeWhile P ys) else None)
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: ldropWhile]]

lemma ldropWhile-Lazy-llist [code]:
ldropWhile P (Lazy-llist xs) =
Lazy-llist (λ-. case xs () of None ⇒ None | Some (x, ys) ⇒ if P x then force (ldropWhile P ys) else Some (x, ys))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: lzip]]

lemma lzip-Lazy-llist [code]:
lzip (Lazy-llist xs) (Lazy-llist ys) =
Lazy-llist (λ-. Option.bind (xs ()) (λ(x, xs'). map-option (λ(y, ys'). ((x, y), lzip xs' ys')) (ys ()))))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: gen-lset]]

lemma lset-Lazy-llist [code]:
gen-lset A (Lazy-llist xs) =
(case xs () of None ⇒ A | Some (y, ys) ⇒ gen-lset (insert y A) ys)
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: lmember]]

lemma lmember-Lazy-llist [code]:
lmember x (Lazy-llist xs) =
(case xs () of None ⇒ False | Some (y, ys) ⇒ x = y ∨ lmember x ys)
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: llist-all2]]

lemma llist-all2-Lazy-llist [code]:
llist-all2 P (Lazy-llist xs) (Lazy-llist ys) =
(case xs () of None ⇒ ys () = None
| Some (x, xs') ⇒ (case ys () of None ⇒ False
| Some (y, ys') ⇒ P x y ∧ llist-all2 P xs' ys'))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lhd]]

lemma lhd-Lazy-llist [code]:

lhd (Lazy-llist xs) = (case xs () of None \Rightarrow undefined | Some (x, xs') \Rightarrow x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: ltl]]

lemma ltl-Lazy-llist [code]:

ltl (Lazy-llist xs) = Lazy-llist (λ -. case xs () of None \Rightarrow None | Some (x, ys) \Rightarrow force ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: llast]]

lemma llast-Lazy-llist [code]:

llast (Lazy-llist xs) =
(case xs () of
None \Rightarrow undefined
| Some (x, xs') \Rightarrow
(case force xs' of None \Rightarrow x | Some (x', xs'') \Rightarrow llast (LCons x' xs'')))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: ldistinct]]

lemma ldistinct-Lazy-llist [code]:

ldistinct (Lazy-llist xs) =
(case xs () of None \Rightarrow True | Some (x, ys) \Rightarrow x \notin lset ys \wedge ldistinct ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lprefix]]

lemma lprefix-Lazy-llist [code]:

lprefix (Lazy-llist xs) (Lazy-llist ys) =
(case xs () of
None \Rightarrow True
| Some (x, xs') \Rightarrow
(case ys () of None \Rightarrow False | Some (y, ys') \Rightarrow x = y \wedge lprefix xs' ys'))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lstrict-prefix]]

lemma lstrict-prefix-Lazy-llist [code]:

lstrict-prefix (Lazy-llist xs) (Lazy-llist ys) \longleftrightarrow
(case ys () of
None \Rightarrow False
| Some (y, ys') \Rightarrow

$(\text{case } xs \text{ () of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } (x, xs') \Rightarrow x = y \wedge \text{lstrict-prefix } xs' ys')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare [[code drop: llcp]]

lemma llcp-Lazy-llist [code]:
 $llcp (\text{Lazy-llist } xs) (\text{Lazy-llist } ys) =$
 $(\text{case } xs \text{ () of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0$
 $\mid \text{Some } (x, xs') \Rightarrow (\text{case } ys \text{ () of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0$
 $\mid \text{Some } (y, ys') \Rightarrow \text{if } x = y \text{ then } eSuc (llcp xs' ys') \text{ else } 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare [[code drop: llexord]]

lemma llexord-Lazy-llist [code]:
 $llexord r (\text{Lazy-llist } xs) (\text{Lazy-llist } ys) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{case } xs \text{ () of}$
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (x, xs') \Rightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{case } ys \text{ () of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } (y, ys') \Rightarrow r x y \vee x = y \wedge llexord r xs' ys'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lfilter]]

lemma lfilter-Lazy-llist [code]:
 $lfilter P (\text{Lazy-llist } xs) =$
 $\text{Lazy-llist } (\lambda _. \text{case } xs \text{ () of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (x, ys) \Rightarrow \text{if } P x \text{ then Some } (x, lfilter P ys) \text{ else force } (lfilter P ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare [[code drop: lconcat]]

lemma lconcat-Lazy-llist [code]:
 $lconcat (\text{Lazy-llist } xss) =$
 $\text{Lazy-llist } (\lambda _. \text{case } xss \text{ () of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } (xs, xss') \Rightarrow \text{force } (\text{lappend } xs (lconcat xss')))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare option.splits [split del]
declare Lazy-llist-def [simp del]

Simple ML test for laziness

$\langle ML \rangle$

hide-const (open) force

end

9 Code generator setup to implement terminated lazy lists lazily

```

theory Lazy-TLLList imports
  TTLList
  Lazy-LList
begin

code-identifier code-module Lazy-TLLList  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) TTLList and
  (OCaml) TTLList and
  (Haskell) TTLList and
  (Scala) TTLList

definition Lazy-tllist :: (unit  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\times$  ('a, 'b) tllist + 'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist
where [code del]:
  Lazy-tllist xs = (case xs () of Inl (x, ys)  $\Rightarrow$  TCons x ys | Inr b  $\Rightarrow$  TNil b)

definition force :: ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\times$  ('a, 'b) tllist + 'b
where [simp, code del]: force xs = (case xs of TNil b  $\Rightarrow$  Inr b | TCons x ys  $\Rightarrow$  Inl (x, ys))

code-datatype Lazy-tllist

declare — Restore consistency in code equations between partial-term-of and narrowing for ('a, 'b) tllist
  [[code drop: partial-term-of :: (-, -) tllist itself  $\Rightarrow$  -]]

lemma partial-term-of-tllist-code [code]:
  fixes tytok :: ('a :: partial-term-of, 'b :: partial-term-of) tllist itself shows
    partial-term-of tytok (Quickcheck-Narrowing.Narrowing-variable p tt)  $\equiv$ 
      Code-Evaluation.Free (STR "-") (Typerep.typerep TYPE('a, 'b) tllist))
    partial-term-of tytok (Quickcheck-Narrowing.Narrowing-constructor 0 [b])  $\equiv$ 
      Code-Evaluation.App
      (Code-Evaluation.Const (STR "TLLList.tllist.TNil") (Typerep.typerep TYPE('b
       $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist)))
      (partial-term-of TYPE('b) b)
    partial-term-of tytok (Quickcheck-Narrowing.Narrowing-constructor 1 [head, tail])
     $\equiv$ 
      Code-Evaluation.App
      (Code-Evaluation.App
        (Code-Evaluation.Const
          (STR "TLLList.tllist.TCons")
          (Typerep.typerep TYPE('a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist)))
          (partial-term-of TYPE('a) head))
        (partial-term-of TYPE('a, 'b) tllist) tail)
      ⟨proof⟩

declare Lazy-tllist-def [simp]

```

```

declare sum.splits [split]

lemma TNil-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  TNil b = Lazy-tllist ( $\lambda\_. \text{Inr } b$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma TCons-Lazy-tllist [code, code-unfold]:
  TCons x xs = Lazy-tllist ( $\lambda\_. \text{Inl } (x, xs)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Lazy-tllist-inverse [simp, code]:
  force (Lazy-tllist xs) = xs ()
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare [[code drop: equal-class.equal :: (-, -) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  -]]

lemma equal-tllist-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  equal-class.equal (Lazy-tllist xs) (Lazy-tllist ys) =
  (case xs () of
    Inr b  $\Rightarrow$  (case ys () of Inr b'  $\Rightarrow$  b = b' | -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
    | Inl (x, xs')  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (case ys () of Inr b'  $\Rightarrow$  False | Inl (y, ys')  $\Rightarrow$  if x = y then equal-class.equal xs'
       ys' else False))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare
  [[code drop: thd ttl]]
  thd-def [code]
  ttl-def [code]

declare [[code drop: is-TNil]]

lemma is-TNil-code [code]:
  is-TNil (Lazy-tllist xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (case xs () of Inl -  $\Rightarrow$  False | Inr -  $\Rightarrow$  True)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare [[code drop: corec-tllist]]

lemma corec-tllist-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  corec-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD endORmore TTL-end TTL-more b = Lazy-tllist
  ( $\lambda\_. \text{if IS-TNIL } b \text{ then Inr (TNIL } b\text{)} \text{ else Inl (THD } b, \text{ if endORmore } b \text{ then TTL-end } b \text{ else corec-tllist IS-TNIL }$ 
   TNIL THD endORmore TTL-end TTL-more (TTL-more b)))  

   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare [[code drop: unfold-tllist]]

lemma unfold-tllist-Lazy-tllist [code]:

```

```

unfold-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD TTL b = Lazy-tllist
(λ-. if IS-TNIL b then Inr (TNIL b)
     else Inl (THD b, unfold-tllist IS-TNIL TNIL THD TTL (TTL b)))
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: case-tllist]]

lemma case-tllist-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  case-tllist n c (Lazy-tllist xs) =
    (case xs () of Inl (x, ys) ⇒ c x ys | Inr b ⇒ n b)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: tllist-of-llist]]

lemma tllist-of-llist-Lazy-llist [code]:
  tllist-of-llist b (Lazy-llist xs) =
    Lazy-tllist (λ-. case xs () of None ⇒ Inr b | Some (x, ys) ⇒ Inl (x, tllist-of-llist
    b ys))
  ⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: terminal]]

lemma terminal-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  terminal (Lazy-tllist xs) =
    (case xs () of Inl (-, ys) ⇒ terminal ys | Inr b ⇒ b)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: tmap]]

lemma tmap-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  tmap f g (Lazy-tllist xs) =
    Lazy-tllist (λ-. case xs () of Inl (x, ys) ⇒ Inl (f x, tmap f g ys) | Inr b ⇒ Inr (g
    b))
  ⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: tappend]]

lemma tappend-Lazy-tllist [code]:
  tappend (Lazy-tllist xs) ys =
    Lazy-tllist (λ-. case xs () of Inl (x, xs') ⇒ Inl (x, tappend xs' ys) | Inr b ⇒ force
    (ys b))
  ⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: lappendt]]

lemma lappendt-Lazy-llist [code]:
  lappendt (Lazy-llist xs) ys =
    Lazy-tllist (λ-. case xs () of None ⇒ force ys | Some (x, xs') ⇒ Inl (x, lappendt
    xs' ys))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: *TLLList.tfilter'*]]

lemma *tfilter'-Lazy-tllist* [code]:

TLLList.tfilter' $b P$ (*Lazy-tllist xs*) =
 $\text{Lazy-tllist } (\lambda _. \text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{Inl } (x, xs') \Rightarrow \text{if } P x \text{ then } \text{Inl } (x, \text{TLLList.tfilter}' b P xs') \text{ else force } (\text{TLLList.tfilter}' b P xs') \mid \text{Inr } b' \Rightarrow \text{Inr } b')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: *TLLList.tconcat'*]]

lemma *tconcat-Lazy-tllist* [code]:

TLLList.tconcat' b (*Lazy-tllist xss*) =
 $\text{Lazy-tllist } (\lambda _. \text{case } xss () \text{ of } \text{Inr } b' \Rightarrow \text{Inr } b' \mid \text{Inl } (xs, xss') \Rightarrow \text{force } (\text{lappendt } xs (\text{TLLList.tconcat}' b xss')))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: *tllist-all2*]]

lemma *tllist-all2-Lazy-tllist* [code]:

tllist-all2 $P Q$ (*Lazy-tllist xs*) (*Lazy-tllist ys*) \longleftrightarrow
 $(\text{case } xs () \text{ of }$
 $\quad \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow (\text{case } ys () \text{ of } \text{Inr } b' \Rightarrow Q b b' \mid \text{Inl } - \Rightarrow \text{False})$
 $\quad \mid \text{Inl } (x, xs') \Rightarrow (\text{case } ys () \text{ of } \text{Inr } - \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Inl } (y, ys') \Rightarrow P x y \wedge \text{tllist-all2 } P Q xs' ys')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: *llist-of-tllist*]]

lemma *llist-of-tllist-Lazy-tllist* [code]:

llist-of-tllist (*Lazy-tllist xs*) =
 $\text{Lazy-llist } (\lambda _. \text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{Inl } (x, ys) \Rightarrow \text{Some } (x, \text{llist-of-tllist } ys) \mid \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: *tnth*]]

lemma *tnth-Lazy-tllist* [code]:

tnth (*Lazy-tllist xs*) n =
 $(\text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow \text{undefined } n \mid \text{Inl } (x, ys) \Rightarrow \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } x \text{ else } \text{tnth } ys (n - 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: *gen-tlength*]]

lemma *gen-tlength-Lazy-tllist* [code]:

gen-tlength n (*Lazy-tllist xs*) =
 $(\text{case } xs () \text{ of } \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow \text{enat } n \mid \text{Inl } (-, xs') \Rightarrow \text{gen-tlength } (n + 1) xs')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare [[code drop: tdropn]]

lemma tdropn-Lazy-tllist [code]:

tdropn n (Lazy-tllist xs) =

Lazy-tllist ($\lambda x. if n = 0 then xs () else case xs () of Inr b \Rightarrow Inr b | Inl (x, xs')$)
 $\Rightarrow force (tdropn (n - 1) xs')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare Lazy-tllist-def [simp del]

declare sum.splits [split del]

Simple ML test for laziness

$\langle ML \rangle$

hide-const (open) force

end

10 CCPo topologies

theory CCPo-Topology

imports

HOL-Analysis.Extended-Real-Limits

..../Coinductive-Nat

begin

lemma dropWhile-append:

dropWhile P (xs @ ys) = (if $\forall x \in set xs. P x$ then dropWhile P ys else dropWhile P xs @ ys)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dropWhile-False: $(\bigwedge x. x \in set xs \Rightarrow P x) \Rightarrow dropWhile P xs = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation (in order) chain \equiv Complete-Partial-Order.chain (\leq)

lemma (in linorder) chain-linorder: chain C

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma continuous-add-ereal:

assumes $0 \leq t$

shows continuous-on $\{-\infty :: ereal <..\}$ ($\lambda x. t + x$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tendsTo-add-ereal:

$0 \leq x \Rightarrow 0 \leq y \Rightarrow (f \longrightarrow y) F \Rightarrow ((\lambda z. x + f z :: ereal) \longrightarrow x + y) F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-LimI*: $(f \longrightarrow y) F \implies (f \longrightarrow \text{Lim } F f) F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.1 The filter at'

abbreviation (in ccpo) *compact-element* \equiv *ccpo.compact Sup* (\leq)

lemma *tendsto-unique-eventually*:

fixes $x x' :: 'a :: t2\text{-space}$
shows $F \neq \text{bot} \implies \text{eventually } (\lambda x. f x = g x) F \implies (f \longrightarrow x) F \implies (g \longrightarrow x') F \implies x = x'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in ccpo) *ccpo-Sup-upper2*: *chain C* $\implies x \in C \implies y \leq x \implies y \leq \text{Sup } C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-open-vimage*: $(\bigwedge B. \text{open } B \implies \text{open } (f -` B)) \implies f -l \rightarrow f l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *open-vimageI*: $(\bigwedge x. f -x \rightarrow f x) \implies \text{open } A \implies \text{open } (f -` A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *principal-bot*: *principal x = bot \longleftrightarrow x = {}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *at' x* = *(if open {x} then principal {x} else at x)*

lemma *at'-bot*: *at' x ≠ bot*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-id-at'[simp, intro]*: $((\lambda x. x) \longrightarrow x) (at' x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cont-at'*: $(f \longrightarrow f x) (at' x) \longleftrightarrow f -x \rightarrow f x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.2 The type class *ccpo-topology*

Temporarily relax type constraints for *open*.

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
class ccpo-topology = open + ccpo +
  assumes open-ccpo: open A  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall C. \text{chain } C \longrightarrow C \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \text{Sup } C \in A \longrightarrow$ 
  C ∩ A ≠ {}
begin
```

lemma *open-ccpoD*:
assumes open A chain C C ≠ {} Sup C ∈ A

```

shows  $\exists c \in C. \forall c' \in C. c \leq c' \rightarrow c' \in A$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma open-ccpo-Iic: open  $\{\dots b\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

subclass topological-space
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma closed-ccpo: closed  $A \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. \text{chain } C \rightarrow C \neq \{\} \rightarrow C \subseteq A \rightarrow \text{Sup } C \in A)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma closed-admissible: closed  $\{x. P x\} \longleftrightarrow \text{ccpo.admissible Sup } (\leq) P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma open-singletonI-compact: compact-element  $x \implies \text{open } \{x\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma closed-Ici: closed  $\{\dots b\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma closed-Iic: closed  $\{b \dots\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

ccpo-topologys are also t2-spaces. This is necessary to have a unique continuous extension.

subclass t2-space
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma tendsto-le-ccpo:
fixes  $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ccpo-topology}$ 
assumes  $F: \neg \text{trivial-limit } F$ 
assumes  $x: (f \rightarrow x) F \text{ and } y: (g \rightarrow y) F$ 
assumes  $\text{eventually } (\lambda x. g x \leq f x) F$ 
shows  $y \leq x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-ccpoI:
fixes  $f :: 'a::\text{ccpo-topology} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ccpo-topology}$ 
shows  $(\bigwedge C. \text{chain } C \implies C \neq \{\} \implies \text{chain } (f ` C) \wedge f (\text{Sup } C) = \text{Sup } (f`C))$ 
 $\implies f -x \rightarrow f x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-mcont:
assumes  $mcont: mcont \text{Sup } (\leq) \text{Sup } (\leq) (f :: 'a :: \text{ccpo-topology} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{ccpo-topology})$ 
shows  $f -l \rightarrow f l$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.3 Instances for *ccpo-topologys* and continuity theorems

instantiation *set* :: (*type*) *ccpo-topology*
begin

definition *open-set* :: '*a set set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
open-set A \longleftrightarrow $(\forall C. \text{chain } C \longrightarrow C \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \text{Sup } C \in A \longrightarrow C \cap A \neq \{\})$

instance

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *enat* :: *ccpo-topology*
begin

instance

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemmas *tendsto-inf2*[*THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros*] =
tendsto-mcont[*OF mcont-inf2*]

lemma *isCont-inf2*[*THEN isCont-o2[rotated]*]:
isCont $(\lambda x. x \sqcap y)$ (*z* :: - :: {*ccpo-topology, complete-distrib-lattice*})
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *tendsto-sup1*[*THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros*] =
tendsto-mcont[*OF mcont-sup1*]

lemma *isCont-If*: *isCont f x* \Longrightarrow *isCont g x* \Longrightarrow *isCont* $(\lambda x. \text{if } Q \text{ then } f x \text{ else } g x)$
 x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isCont-enat-case*: *isCont (f (epred n)) x* \Longrightarrow *isCont g x* \Longrightarrow *isCont* $(\lambda x. \text{co.case-enat (g x) (\lambda n. f n x) n}) x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

11 A CCPO topology on lazy lists with examples

theory *LList-CCPO-Topology* **imports**
CCPO-Topology
../*Coinductive-List-Prefix*
begin

```

lemma closed-Collect-eq-isCont:
  fixes f g :: 'a :: t2-space  $\Rightarrow$  'b::t2-space
  assumes f:  $\bigwedge x$ . isCont f x and g:  $\bigwedge x$ . isCont g x
  shows closed {x. f x = g x}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation llist :: (type) ccpo-topology
begin

definition open-llist :: 'a llist set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  open-llist A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall C$ . chain C  $\longrightarrow$  C  $\neq \{\}$   $\longrightarrow$  Sup C  $\in$  A  $\longrightarrow$  C  $\cap$  A  $\neq \{\}$ )

instance
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

11.1 Continuity and closedness of predefined constants

```

lemma tendsto-mcont-llist: mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix f  $\Longrightarrow$  f  $-l\rightarrow$  f l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-ltl[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros]: ltl  $-l\rightarrow$  ltl l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-lappend2[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros]: lappend l  $-l'\rightarrow$  lappend l l'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-LCons[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros]: LCons x  $-l\rightarrow$  LCons x l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-lmap[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros]: lmap f  $-l\rightarrow$  lmap f l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-llength[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros]: llength  $-l\rightarrow$  llength l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tendsto-lset[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros]: lset  $-l\rightarrow$  lset l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma open-lhd: open {l.  $\neg$  lnull l  $\wedge$  lhd l = x}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma open-LCons': assumes A: open A shows open (LCons x ` A)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *open-Ici*: $lfinite\ xs \implies open\ \{xs\ ..\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *open-lfinite[simp]*: $lfinite\ x \implies open\ \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *open-singleton-iff-lfinite*: $open\ \{x\} \longleftrightarrow lfinite\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closure-eq-lfinite*:
 assumes *closed-Q*: $closed\ \{xs. Q\ xs\}$
 assumes *downwards-Q*: $\bigwedge xs\ ys. Q\ xs \implies lprefix\ ys\ xs \implies Q\ ys$
 shows $\{xs. Q\ xs\} = closure\ \{xs. lfinite\ xs \wedge Q\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closure-lfinite*: $closure\ \{xs. lfinite\ xs\} = UNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-ldistinct*: $closed\ \{xs. ldistinct\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldistinct-closure*: $\{xs. ldistinct\ xs\} = closure\ \{xs. lfinite\ xs \wedge ldistinct\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-ldistinct'*: $(\bigwedge x. isCont\ f\ x) \implies closed\ \{xs. ldistinct\ (f\ xs)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-lsorted*: $closed\ \{xs. lsorted\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsorted-closure*: $\{xs. lsorted\ xs\} = closure\ \{xs. lfinite\ xs \wedge lsorted\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-lsorted'*: $(\bigwedge x. isCont\ f\ x) \implies closed\ \{xs. lsorted\ (f\ xs)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-in-lset*: $closed\ \{l. x \in lset\ l\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-llist-all2*:
 closed $\{(x, y). llist-all2\ R\ x\ y\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-list-all2*:
 fixes $f\ g :: 'b::t2\text{-space} \Rightarrow 'a\ llist$
 assumes $f: \bigwedge x. isCont\ f\ x$ **and** $g: \bigwedge x. isCont\ g\ x$
 shows $closed\ \{x. llist-all2\ R\ (f\ x)\ (g\ x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *at-botI-lfinite[simp]*: *lfinite l* \implies *at l = bot*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *at-eq-lfinite*: *at l* = (*if lfinite l then bot else at' l*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-lfinite*: *eventually lfinite (at' x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nhds-llist*:
eventually P (nhds l) \longleftrightarrow ($\exists xs \leq l$. *lfinite xs* \wedge ($\forall ys \geq xs$. $ys \leq l \longrightarrow P ys$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nhds-lfinite*: *lfinite l* \implies *nhds l = principal {l}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-at'-llist*:
eventually P (at' l) \longleftrightarrow ($\exists xs \leq l$. *lfinite xs* \wedge ($\forall ys \geq xs$. *lfinite ys* \longrightarrow $ys \leq l \longrightarrow P ys$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-at'-llistI*: ($\bigwedge xs$. *lfinite xs* \implies $xs \leq l \implies P xs$) \implies *eventually P (at' l)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Lim-at'-lfinite*: *lfinite xs* \implies *Lim (at' xs) f = f xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filterlim-at'-list*:
 $(f \longrightarrow y)$ (*at' (x::'a llist)*) \implies *f -x→ y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-mcont-llist'*: *mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix f* \implies ($f \longrightarrow f x$) (*at' (x :: 'a llist)*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-closed*:
assumes *eq*: *closed {x. P x}*
assumes *ev*: $\bigwedge ys$. *lfinite ys* \implies $ys \leq x \implies P ys$
shows *P x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-Sup-at'*:
fixes *f* :: '*a llist* \Rightarrow '*b::ccpo-topology*
assumes *f*: $\bigwedge x y$. $x \leq y \implies \text{lfinite } x \implies \text{lfinite } y \implies f x \leq f y$
shows ($f \longrightarrow (\text{Sup } (f^{\{xs. \text{lfinite } xs \wedge xs \leq l\}}))$) (*at' l*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma tendsto-Lim-at':  
  fixes f :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'b::ccpo-topology  
  assumes f:  $\bigwedge l. f l = \text{Lim} (\text{at}' l) f'$   
  assumes mono:  $\bigwedge x y. x \leq y \implies \text{lfinite } x \implies \text{lfinite } y \implies f' x \leq f' y$   
  shows (f  $\longrightarrow$  f l) (at' l)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma isCont-LCons[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (LCons x) l  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma isCont-lmap[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (lmap f) l  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma isCont-lappend[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (lappend xs) ys  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma isCont-lset[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont lset xs  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

11.2 Define *lfilter* as continuous extension

```
definition lfilter' P l = Lim (at' l) ( $\lambda xs. \text{llist-of} (\text{filter } P (\text{list-of } xs))$ )
```

```
lemma tendsto-lfilter: (lfilter' P  $\longrightarrow$  lfilter' P xs) (at' xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma isCont-lfilter[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (lfilter' P) l  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lfilter'-lfinite[simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  lfilter' P xs = llist-of (filter P (list-of xs))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lfilter'-LNil: lfilter' P LNil = LNil  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lfilter'-LCons [simp]: lfilter' P (LCons a xs) = (if P a then LCons a (lfilter' P xs) else lfilter' P xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ldistinct-lfilter': ldistinct l  $\implies$  ldistinct (lfilter' P l)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lfilter'-lmap: lfilter' P (lmap f xs) = lmap f (lfilter' (P  $\circ$  f) xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma *lfilter'-lfilter'*: $\text{lfilter}' P (\text{lfilter}' Q xs) = \text{lfilter}' (\lambda x. Q x \wedge P x) xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfilter'-LNil-I[simp]*: $(\forall x \in \text{lset } xs. \neg P x) \implies \text{lfilter}' P xs = LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lset-lfilter'*: $\text{lset } (\text{lfilter}' P xs) = \text{lset } xs \cap \{x. P x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfilter'-eq-LNil-iff*: $\text{lfilter}' P xs = LNil \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{lset } xs. \neg P x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfilter'-eq-lfilter*: $\text{lfilter}' P xs = \text{lfilter } P xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.3 Define *lconcat* as continuous extension

definition *lconcat'* $xs = \text{Lim } (\text{at}' xs) (\lambda xs. \text{foldr lappend } (\text{list-of } xs) LNil)$

lemma *tendsto-lconcat'*: $(\text{lconcat}' \longrightarrow \text{lconcat}' xss) (\text{at}' xss)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isCont-lconcat'[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]*: *isCont lconcat' l*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lconcat'-lfinite[simp]*: $\text{lfinite } xs \implies \text{lconcat}' xs = \text{foldr lappend } (\text{list-of } xs) LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lconcat'-LNil*: $\text{lconcat}' LNil = LNil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lconcat'-LCons [simp]*: $\text{lconcat}' (LCons l xs) = \text{lappend } l (\text{lconcat}' xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lmap-lconcat*: $\text{lmap } f (\text{lconcat}' xss) = \text{lconcat}' (\text{lmap } (lmap f) (xss::'a llist))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *tendsto-Sup[THEN tendsto-compose, tendsto-intros] = mcont-SUP[OF mcont-id' mcont-const, THEN tendsto-mcont]*

lemma

assumes *fin*: $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lfinite } xs$
shows $\text{lset } (\text{lconcat}' xss) = (\bigcup_{xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lset } xs} \text{lset } xs)$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.4 Define $ldropWhile$ as continuous extension

definition $ldropWhile' P xs = Lim (at' xs) (\lambda xs. llist-of (dropWhile P (list-of xs)))$

lemma $tendsto-ldropWhile'$:

$(ldropWhile' P \longrightarrow ldropWhile' P xs) (at' xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $isCont-ldropWhile'[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (ldropWhile' P) l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropWhile'-lfinite[simp]: lfinite xs \implies ldropWhile' P xs = llist-of (dropWhile P (list-of xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropWhile'-LNil: ldropWhile' P LNil = LNil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropWhile'-LCons [simp]: ldropWhile' P (LCons l xs) = (if P l then ldropWhile' P xs else LCons l xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropWhile' P (lmap f xs) = lmap f (ldropWhile' (P \circ f) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ldropWhile'-LNil-I[simp]: \forall x \in lset xs. P x \implies ldropWhile' P xs = LNil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lnull-ldropWhile': lnull (ldropWhile' P xs) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in lset xs. P x) (\text{is } ?lhs \longleftrightarrow -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lhd-lfilter': lhd (lfilter' P xs) = lhd (ldropWhile' (Not \circ P) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.5 Define $ldrop$ as continuous extension

primrec $edrop$ **where**

$edrop n [] = []$
 $| edrop n (x \# xs) = (\text{case } n \text{ of } eSuc n \Rightarrow edrop n xs | 0 \Rightarrow x \# xs)$

lemma $mono-edrop: edrop n xs \leq edrop n (xs @ ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edrop-mono: xs \leq ys \implies edrop n xs \leq edrop n ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $ldrop' n xs = Lim (at' xs) (llist-of \circ edrop n \circ list-of)$

lemma *ldrop'-lfinite*[simp]: *lfinite xs* \implies *ldrop' n xs = llist-of (edrop n (list-of xs))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ldrop'*: (*ldrop' n* \longrightarrow *ldrop' n l*) (*at' l*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isCont-ldrop'*[THEN *isCont-o2[rotated]*]: *isCont (ldrop' n) l*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldrop' n LNil = LNil*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldrop' n (LCons x xs) = (case n of 0 ⇒ LCons x xs | eSuc n ⇒ ldrop' n xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec *up* :: *'a :: order ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list* **where**
 $up\ a\ [] = []$
 $| up\ a\ (x\ #\ xs) = (if\ a < x\ then\ x\ #\ up\ x\ xs\ else\ up\ a\ xs)$

lemma *set-upD*: *x ∈ set (up y xs) ⇒ x ∈ set xs ∧ y < x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prefix-up*: *prefix (up a xs) (up a (xs @ ys))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-up*: *xs ≤ ys ⇒ up a xs ≤ up a ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-up*: *sorted (up a xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.6 Define more functions on lazy lists as continuous extensions

definition *lup a xs = Lim (at' xs) (λxs. llist-of (up a (list-of xs)))*

lemma *tendsto-lup*: (*lup a* \longrightarrow *lup a xs*) (*at' xs*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isCont-lup*[THEN *isCont-o2[rotated]*]: *isCont (lup a) l*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lup-lfinite*[simp]: *lfinite xs ⇒ lup a xs = llist-of (up a (list-of xs))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lup-LNil*: *lup a LNil = LNil*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lup-LCons [simp]: lup a (LCons x xs) = (if a < x then LCons x (lup x xs)
else lup a xs)
{proof}

lemma lset-lup: lset (lup x xs) ⊆ lset xs ∩ {y. x < y}
{proof}

lemma lsorted-lup: lsorted (lup (a::'a::linorder) l)
{proof}

context notes [[function-internals]]
begin

partial-function (llist) lup' :: 'a :: ord ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist where
lup' a xs = (case xs of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons x xs ⇒ if a < x then LCons x (lup'
x xs) else lup' a xs)

end

declare lup'.mono[cont-intro]

lemma monotone-lup': monotone (rel-prod (=) lprefix) lprefix (λ(a, xs). lup' a xs)
{proof}

lemma mono2mono-lup'2[THEN llist.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]:
shows monotone-lup'2: monotone lprefix lprefix (lup' a)
{proof}

lemma mcont-lup': mcont (prod-lub the-Sup lSup) (rel-prod (=) lprefix) lSup lprefix
(λ(a, xs). lup' a xs)
{proof}

lemma mcont2mcont-lup'2[THEN llist.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
shows mcont-lup'2: mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix (lup' a)
{proof}

simps-of-case lup'-simps [simp]: lup'.simps

lemma lset-lup'-subset:
fixes x :: - :: preorder
shows lset (lup' x xs) ⊆ lset xs ∩ {y. x < y}
{proof}

lemma in-lset-lup'D:
fixes x :: - :: preorder
assumes y ∈ lset (lup' x xs)
shows y ∈ lset xs ∧ x < y
{proof}

```

```

lemma lsorted-lup':
  fixes x :: - :: preorder
  shows lsorted (lup' x xs)
  {proof}

lemma ldistinct-lup':
  fixes x :: - :: preorder
  shows ldistinct (lup' x xs)
  {proof}

context fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'a
begin

partial-function (llist) iterate :: 'a ⇒ 'a llist
where iterate x = LCons x (iterate (f x))

lemma lmap-iterate: lmap f (iterate x) = iterate (f x)
{proof}

end

fun extup extdown :: int ⇒ int list ⇒ int list where
  extup i [] = []
  | extup i (x # xs) = (if i ≤ x then extup x xs else i # extdown x xs)
  | extdown i [] = []
  | extdown i (x # xs) = (if i ≥ x then extdown x xs else i # extup x xs)

lemma prefix-ext:
  prefix (extup a xs) (extup a (xs @ ys))
  prefix (extdown a xs) (extdown a (xs @ ys))
  {proof}

lemma mono-ext: assumes xs ≤ ys shows extup a xs ≤ extup a ys extdown a xs
≤ extdown a ys
{proof}

lemma set-ext: set (extup a xs) ⊆ {a} ∪ set xs set (extdown a xs) ⊆ {a} ∪ set xs
{proof}

definition lextup i l = Lim (at' l) (llist-of ∘ extup i ∘ list-of)
definition lextdown i l = Lim (at' l) (llist-of ∘ extdown i ∘ list-of)

lemma tendsto-lextup[tendsto-intros]: (lextup i —→ lextup i xs) (at' xs)
{proof}

lemma tendsto-lextdown[tendsto-intros]: (lextdown i —→ lextdown i xs) (at' xs)
{proof}

lemma isCont-lextup[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (lextup a) l
{proof}

```

```

lemma isCont-lexdown[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont (lexdown a) l
  <proof>

lemma lexup-lfinite[simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  lexup i xs = llist-of (extup i (list-of xs))
  <proof>

lemma lexdown-lfinite[simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  lexdown i xs = llist-of (extdown i (list-of xs))
  <proof>

lemma lexup i LNil = LNil lexdown i LNil = LNil
  <proof>

lemma lexup i (LCons x xs) = (if i ≤ x then lexup x xs else LCons i (lexdown x xs))
  <proof>

lemma lexdown i (LCons x xs) = (if x ≤ i then lexdown x xs else LCons i (lexup x xs))
  <proof>

lemma lset (lexup a xs) ⊆ {a} ∪ lset xs
  <proof>

lemma lset (lexdown a xs) ⊆ {a} ∪ lset xs
  <proof>

lemma distinct-ext:
  assumes distinct xs a ∉ set xs
  shows distinct (extup a xs) distinct (extdown a xs)
  <proof>

lemma ldistinct xs  $\implies$  a ∉ lset xs  $\implies$  ldistinct (lexup a xs)
  <proof>

definition esum-list :: ereal llist ⇒ ereal where
  esum-list xs = Lim (at' xs) (sum-list ∘ list-of)

lemma esum-list-lfinite[simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  esum-list xs = sum-list (list-of xs)
  <proof>

lemma esum-list-LNil: esum-list LNil = 0
  <proof>

context
  fixes xs :: ereal llist
  assumes xs: ⋀x. x ∈ lset xs  $\implies$  0 ≤ x
  begin

```

```

lemma esum-list-tendsto-SUP:
  ((sum-list○list-of) —→ (SUP ys ∈ {ys. lfinite ys ∧ ys ≤ xs}. esum-list ys)) (at'
  xs)
    (is (- —→ ?y) -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto-esum-list: (esum-list —→ esum-list xs) (at' xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isCont-esum-list: isCont esum-list xs
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma esum-list-nonneg:
  (¬x. x ∈ lset xs ⇒ 0 ≤ x) ⇒ 0 ≤ esum-list xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma esum-list-LCons:
  assumes x: 0 ≤ x ¬x. x ∈ lset xs ⇒ 0 ≤ x shows esum-list (LCons x xs) =
  x + esum-list xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma esum-list-lfilter':
  assumes nn: ¬x. x ∈ lset xs ⇒ 0 ≤ x shows esum-list (lfilter' (λx. x ≠ 0)
  xs) = esum-list xs
  ⟨proof⟩

function f:: nat list ⇒ nat list where
  f [] = []
  | f (x#xs) = (x * 2) # f (f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

termination f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-f[simp]: length (f xs) = length xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma f-mono': ∃ ys'. f (xs @ ys) = f xs @ ys'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma f-mono: xs ≤ ys ⇒ f xs ≤ f ys
  ⟨proof⟩

definition f' l = Lim (at' l) (λl. llist-of (f (list-of l)))

lemma f'-lfinite[simp]: lfinite xs ⇒ f' xs = llist-of (f (list-of xs))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto-f': ( $f' \longrightarrow f' l$ ) ( $at' l$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma isCont-f'[THEN isCont-o2[rotated]]: isCont  $f' l$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $f' LNil = LNil$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $f' (LCons x xs) = LCons (x * 2) (f' (f' xs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

12 Ccpo structure for terminated lazy lists

```

theory TLList-CCPO imports TLList begin

lemma Set-is-empty-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax
  shows (rel-set A ==> (=)) Set.is-empty Set.is-empty
⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-comp: [[ monotone orda ordB g; monotone ordB ordC f ]] ==>
  monotone orda ordC (f ∘ g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma cont-comp: [[ mcont luba orda lubb ordB g; cont lubb ordB lubc ordC f ]] ==>
  cont luba orda lubc ordC (f ∘ g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-comp: [[ mcont luba orda lubb ordB g; mcont lubb ordB lubc ordC f ]] ==>
  mcont luba orda lubc ordC (f ∘ g)
⟨proof⟩

context includes lifting-syntax
begin

lemma monotone-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-total A
  shows ((A ==> A ==> (=)) ==> (B ==> B ==> (=)) ==> (A ==> B) ==> (=)) monotone monotone
⟨proof⟩

lemma cont-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-total A bi-unique B
  shows ((rel-set A ==> A) ==> (A ==> A ==> (=)) ==> (rel-set B

```

```

===== > B) ===== > (B ===== > B ===== > (=)) ===== > (A ===== > B) ===== > (=))
cont cont
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-total A bi-unique B
  shows ((rel-set A ===== > A) ===== > (A ===== > A ===== > (=))) ===== > (rel-set B
===== > B) ===== > (B ===== > B ===== > (=)) ===== > (A ===== > B) ===== > (=))
mcont mcont
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in ccpo) Sup-Un-less:
  assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) (A  $\cup$  B)
  and AB:  $\forall x \in A. \exists y \in B. x \leq y$ 
  shows Sup (A  $\cup$  B) = Sup B
⟨proof⟩

```

12.1 The ccpo structure

context includes tllist.lifting **fixes** b :: 'b **begin**

```

lift-definition tllist-ord :: ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
is  $\lambda(xs_1, b_1) (xs_2, b_2). \text{if } lfinite\ xs_1 \text{ then } b_1 = b \wedge lprefix\ xs_1\ xs_2 \vee xs_1 = xs_2 \wedge$ 
flat-ord b b1 b2 else xs1 = xs2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition tSup :: ('a, 'b) tllist set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tllist
is  $\lambda A. (lSup\ (fst\ 'A), flat-lub\ b\ (snd\ ' (A \cap \{(xs, -). lfinite\ xs\})))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tllist-ord-simps [simp, code]:
  shows tllist-ord-TNil-TNil: tllist-ord (TNil b1) (TNil b2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  flat-ord b b1 b2
  and tllist-ord-TNil-TCons: tllist-ord (TNil b1) (TCons y ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  b1 = b
  and tllist-ord-TCons-TNil: tllist-ord (TCons x xs) (TNil b2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  and tllist-ord-TCons-TCons: tllist-ord (TCons x xs) (TCons y ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y  $\wedge$ 
tllist-ord xs ys
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tllist-ord-refl [simp]: tllist-ord xs xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tllist-ord-antisym:  $\llbracket tllist-ord\ xs\ ys; tllist-ord\ ys\ xs \rrbracket \implies xs = ys$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tllist-ord-trans [trans]:  $\llbracket tllist-ord\ xs\ ys; tllist-ord\ ys\ zs \rrbracket \implies tllist-ord\ xs\ zs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma chain-tllist-llist-of-tllist:
  assumes Complete-Partial-Order.chain tllist-ord A
  shows Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix (llist-of-tllist ` A)
  {proof}

lemma chain-tllist-terminal:
  assumes Complete-Partial-Order.chain tllist-ord A
  shows Complete-Partial-Order.chain (flat-ord b) {terminal xs|xs. xs ∈ A ∧ tfinite
  xs}
  {proof}

lemma flat-ord-chain-finite:
  assumes Complete-Partial-Order.chain (flat-ord b) A
  shows finite A
  {proof}

lemma tSup-empty [simp]: tSup {} = TNil b
  {proof}

lemma is-TNil-tSup [simp]: is-TNil (tSup A) ←→ (∀ x∈A. is-TNil x)
  {proof}

lemma chain-tllist-ord-tSup:
  assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain tllist-ord A
  and A: xs ∈ A
  shows tllist-ord xs (tSup A)
  {proof}

lemma chain-tSup-tllist-ord:
  assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain tllist-ord A
  and lub: ∏xs'. xs' ∈ A → tllist-ord xs' xs
  shows tllist-ord (tSup A) xs
  {proof}

lemma tllist-ord-ccpo [simp, cont-intro]:
  class.ccpo tSup tllist-ord (mk-less tllist-ord)
  {proof}

lemma tllist-ord-partial-function-definitions: partial-function-definitions tllist-ord
  tSup
  {proof}

interpretation tllist: partial-function-definitions tllist-ord tSup
  {proof}

lemma admissible-mcont-is-TNil [THEN admissible-subst, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows admissible-is-TNil: ccpo.admissible tSup tllist-ord is-TNil
  {proof}

```

lemma *terminal-tSup*:
 $\forall xs \in Y. \text{is-TNil } xs \implies \text{terminal } (\text{tSup } Y) = \text{flat-lub } b (\text{terminal} ` Y)$
including tllist.lifting ⟨proof⟩

lemma *thd-tSup*:
 $\exists xs \in Y. \neg \text{is-TNil } xs$
 $\implies \text{thd } (\text{tSup } Y) = (\text{THE } x. x \in \text{thd} ` (Y \cap \{xs. \neg \text{is-TNil } xs\}))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex-TCons-raw-parametric*:
includes lifting-syntax
shows (rel-set (rel-prod (llist-all2 A) B) ==> (=)) ($\lambda Y. \exists (xs, b) \in Y. \neg \text{lnull } xs$)
 $(\lambda Y. \exists (xs, b) \in Y. \neg \text{lnull } xs)$
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *ex-TCons* :: ('a, 'b) tllist set \Rightarrow bool
is $\lambda Y. \exists (xs, b) \in Y. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ **parametric** *ex-TCons-raw-parametric*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex-TCons-iff*: *ex-TCons* Y \longleftrightarrow ($\exists xs \in Y. \neg \text{is-TNil } xs$)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *retain-TCons-raw-parametric*:
includes lifting-syntax
shows (rel-set (rel-prod (llist-all2 A) B) ==> rel-set (rel-prod (llist-all2 A) B))
 $(\lambda A. A \cap \{(xs, b). \neg \text{lnull } xs\}) (\lambda A. A \cap \{(xs, b). \neg \text{lnull } xs\})$
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *retain-TCons* :: ('a, 'b) tllist set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) tllist set
is $\lambda A. A \cap \{(xs, b). \neg \text{lnull } xs\}$ **parametric** *retain-TCons-raw-parametric*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *retain-TCons-conv*: *retain-TCons* A = A $\cap \{xs. \neg \text{is-TNil } xs\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ttl-tSup*:
 $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain tllist-ord } Y; \exists xs \in Y. \neg \text{is-TNil } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ttl } (\text{tSup } Y) = \text{tSup } (\text{ttl} ` (Y \cap \{xs. \neg \text{is-TNil } xs\}))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *tSup-TCons*: A $\neq \{\}$ $\implies \text{tSup } (\text{TCons } x ` A) = \text{TCons } x (\text{tSup } A)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *tllist-ord-terminalD*:
 $\llbracket \text{tllist-ord } xs \text{ ys}; \text{is-TNil } ys \rrbracket \implies \text{flat-ord } b (\text{terminal } xs) (\text{terminal } ys)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *tllist-ord-bot* [*simp*]: *tllist-ord* (*TNil b*) *xs*
(proof)

lemma *tllist-ord-ttlI*:
tllist-ord xs ys \implies *tllist-ord* (*ttl xs*) (*ttl ys*)
(proof)

lemma *not-is-TNil-conv*: \neg *is-TNil xs* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists x \, xs'. \, xs = TCons \, x \, xs'$)
(proof)

12.2 Continuity of predefined constants

lemma *mono-tllist-ord-case*:
fixes *bot*
assumes *mono*: $\bigwedge x. \text{monotone } tllist\text{-ord} \, ord \, (\lambda xs. f \, x \, xs \, (TCons \, x \, xs))$
and *ord*: *class.preorder* *ord* (*mk-less ord*)
and *bot*: $\bigwedge x. \text{ord} \, (\text{bot} \, b) \, x$
shows *monotone tllist-ord ord* ($\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } TNil \, b \Rightarrow \text{bot} \, b \mid TCons \, x \, xs' \Rightarrow f \, x \, xs' \, xs$)
(proof)

lemma *mcont-lprefix-case-aux*:
fixes *f bot ord*
defines *g* $\equiv \lambda xs. f \, (\text{thd} \, xs) \, (\text{ttl} \, xs) \, (TCons \, (\text{thd} \, xs) \, (\text{ttl} \, xs))$
assumes *mcont*: $\bigwedge x. \text{mcont} \, tSup \, tllist\text{-ord} \, lub \, ord \, (\lambda xs. f \, x \, xs \, (TCons \, x \, xs))$
and *ccpo*: *class.ccpo* *lub ord* (*mk-less ord*)
and *bot*: $\bigwedge x. \text{ord} \, (\text{bot} \, b) \, x$
and *cont-bot*: *cont* (*flat-lub b*) (*flat-ord b*) *lub ord bot*
shows *mcont tSup tllist-ord lub ord* ($\lambda xs. \text{case } xs \text{ of } TNil \, b \Rightarrow \text{bot} \, b \mid TCons \, x \, xs' \Rightarrow f \, x \, xs' \, xs$)
(is mcont - - - ?f)
(proof)

lemma *cont-TNil* [*simp, cont-intro*]: *cont* (*flat-lub b*) (*flat-ord b*) *tSup tllist-ord TNil*
(proof)

lemma *monotone-TCons*: *monotone tllist-ord tllist-ord* (*TCons x*)
(proof)

lemmas *mono2mono-TCons[cont-intro]* = *monotone-TCons[THEN tllist.mono2mono]*

lemma *mcont-TCons*: *mcont tSup tllist-ord tSup tllist-ord* (*TCons x*)
(proof)

lemmas *mcont2mcont-TCons[cont-intro]* = *mcont-TCons[THEN tllist.mcont2mcont]*

lemmas [*transfer-rule del*] = *tllist-ord.transfer tSup.transfer*

```

lifting-update tllist.lifting
lifting-forget tllist.lifting

lemmas [transfer-rule] = tllist-ord.transfer tSup.transfer

lemma mono2mono-tset[THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro]:
  shows smonotone-tset: monotone tllist-ord ( $\subseteq$ ) tset
  including tllist.lifting
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-tset [THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro]:
  shows mcont-tset: mcont tSup tllist-ord Union ( $\subseteq$ ) tset
  including tllist.lifting
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context includes lifting-syntax
begin

lemma rel-fun-lift:
   $(\bigwedge x. A (f x) (g x)) \implies ((=) \implies A) f g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-ord-transfer [transfer-rule]:
   $((=) \implies pcr-tllist (=) (=) \implies pcr-tllist (=) (=) \implies (=))$ 
   $(\lambda b. (xs1, b1) (xs2, b2). \text{if } lfinite xs1 \text{ then } b1 = b \wedge lprefix xs1 xs2 \vee xs1 = xs2 \wedge flat-ord b b1 b2 \text{ else } xs1 = xs2)$ 
  tllist-ord
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tSup-transfer [transfer-rule]:
   $((=) \implies rel-set (pcr-tllist (=) (=)) \implies pcr-tllist (=) (=))$ 
   $(\lambda b. (lSup (fst ` A), flat-lub b (snd ` (A \cap \{(xs, -). lfinite xs\}))))$ 
  tSup
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lifting-update tllist.lifting
lifting-forget tllist.lifting

interpretation tllist: partial-function-definitions tllist-ord b tSup b for b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tllist-case-mono [partial-function-mono, cont-intro]:
  assumes tnil:  $\bigwedge b. \text{monotone orda ordb} (\lambda f. tnil f b)$ 
  and tcons:  $\bigwedge x xs. \text{monotone orda ordb} (\lambda f. tcons f x xs)$ 
  shows monotone orda ordb ( $\lambda f. \text{case-tllist} (tnil f) (tcons f) xs$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

12.3 Definition of recursive functions

```
locale tllist-pf = fixes b :: 'b
begin
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
abbreviation mono-tllist where mono-tllist ≡ monotone (fun-ord (tllist-ord b))
(tllist-ord b)
```

```
lemma LCons-mono [partial-function-mono, cont-intro]:
mono-tllist A ==> mono-tllist (λf. TCons x (A f))
⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
lemma mono-tllist-lappendt2 [partial-function-mono]:
tllist-pf.mono-tllist b A ==> tllist-pf.mono-tllist b (λf. lappendt xs (A f))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mono-tllist-tappend2 [partial-function-mono]:
assumes ∀y. tllist-pf.mono-tllist b (C y)
shows tllist-pf.mono-tllist b (λf. tappend xs (λy. C y f))
⟨proof⟩
including tllist.lifting
⟨proof⟩
```

end

13 Example definitions using the CCPo structure on terminated lazy lists

```
theory TLList-CCPO-Examples imports
..../TLList-CCPO
begin
```

```
context fixes b :: 'b begin
interpretation tllist-pf b ⟨proof⟩
```

```
context fixes P :: 'a ⇒ bool
notes [[function-internals]]
begin
```

```
partial-function (tllist) tfilter :: ('a, 'b) tllist ⇒ ('a, 'b) tllist
where
tfilter xs = (case xs of TNil b' ⇒ TNil b' | TCons x xs' ⇒ if P x then TCons x
```

```

(tfilter xs') else tfilter xs')
end

simp-of-case tfilter-simps [simp]: tfilter.simps

lemma is-TNil-tfilter: is-TNil (tfilter P xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in tset xs. \neg P x$ ) (is ?lhs
 $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma mcont2mcont-tfilter[THEN tlist.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
shows mcont-tfilter: mcont (tSup b) (tlist-ord b) (tSup b) (tlist-ord b) (tfilter b
P)
⟨proof⟩

lemma tfilter-tfilter:
tfilter b P (tfILTER b Q xs) = tfilter b ( $\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x$ ) xs (is ?lhs xs = ?rhs xs)
⟨proof⟩

declare ccpo.admissible-leI[OF complete-lattice-ccpo, cont-intro, simp]

lemma tset-tfilter: tset (tfilter b P xs) = {x ∈ tset xs. P x}
⟨proof⟩

context fixes b :: 'b begin
interpretation tlist-pf b ⟨proof⟩

partial-function (tlist) tconcat :: ('a llist, 'b) tlist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) tlist
where
tconcat xs = (case xs of TNil b  $\Rightarrow$  TNil b | TCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  lappendt x (tconcat
xs'))
end

simp-of-case tconcat2-simps [simp]: tconcat.simps
end

```

14 Example: Koenig's lemma

```

theory Koenigslemma imports
  .../Coinductive-List
begin

type-synonym 'node graph = 'node  $\Rightarrow$  'node  $\Rightarrow$  bool
type-synonym 'node path = 'node llist

```

```

coinductive-set paths :: 'node graph  $\Rightarrow$  'node path set
  for graph :: 'node graph
  where
    Empty: LNil  $\in$  paths graph
    Single: LCons x LNil  $\in$  paths graph
    LCons:  $\llbracket \text{graph } x \ y; \text{LCons } y \ xs \in \text{paths graph} \rrbracket \implies \text{LCons } x \ (\text{LCons } y \ xs) \in \text{paths graph}$ 

definition connected :: 'node graph  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where connected graph  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall n \ n'. \exists xs. \text{llist-of } (n \ # \ xs @ [n']) \in \text{paths graph})$ 

inductive-set reachable-via :: 'node graph  $\Rightarrow$  'node set  $\Rightarrow$  'node  $\Rightarrow$  'node set
  for graph :: 'node graph and ns :: 'node set and n :: 'node
  where  $\llbracket \text{LCons } n \ xs \in \text{paths graph}; n' \in \text{lset } xs; \text{lset } xs \subseteq ns \rrbracket \implies n' \in \text{reachable-via graph } ns \ n$ 

lemma connectedD: connected graph  $\implies \exists xs. \text{llist-of } (n \ # \ xs @ [n']) \in \text{paths graph}$ 
  {proof}

lemma paths-LConsD:
  assumes LCons x xs  $\in$  paths graph
  shows xs  $\in$  paths graph
  {proof}

lemma paths-lappendD1:
  assumes lappend xs ys  $\in$  paths graph
  shows xs  $\in$  paths graph
  {proof}

lemma paths-lappendD2:
  assumes lfinite xs
    and lappend xs ys  $\in$  paths graph
  shows ys  $\in$  paths graph
  {proof}

lemma path-avoid-node:
  assumes path: LCons n xs  $\in$  paths graph
  and set: x  $\in$  lset xs
  and n-neq-x:  $n \neq x$ 
  shows  $\exists xs'. \text{LCons } n \ xs' \in \text{paths graph} \wedge \text{lset } xs' \subseteq \text{lset } xs \wedge x \in \text{lset } xs' \wedge n \notin \text{lset } xs'$ 
  {proof}

lemma reachable-via-subset-unfold:
  reachable-via graph ns n  $\subseteq$   $(\bigcup n' \in \{n'. \text{graph } n \ n'\} \cap ns. \text{insert } n' (\text{reachable-via graph } (ns - \{n'\}) \ n'))$ 
  (is ?lhs  $\subseteq$  ?rhs)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
theorem koenigslemma:
  fixes graph :: 'node graph
  and n :: 'node
  assumes connected: connected graph
  and infinite: infinite (UNIV :: 'node set)
  and finite-branching:  $\bigwedge n. \text{finite} \{n'. graph n n'\}$ 
  shows  $\exists xs \in \text{paths graph}. n \in lset xs \wedge \text{lfinite xs} \wedge \text{ldistinct xs}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

end

15 Definition of the function lmirror

```
theory LMirror imports .. / Coinductive-List begin
```

This theory defines a function *lmirror*.

```
primcorec lmirror-aux :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
  lmirror-aux acc xs = (case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  acc | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$  LCons x (lmirror-aux
  (LCons x acc) xs'))
```



```
definition lmirror :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where lmirror = lmirror-aux LNil
```

simp-of-case lmirror-aux-simps [simp]: lmirror-aux.code

```
lemma lnull-lmirror-aux [simp]:
  lnull (lmirror-aux acc xs) = (lnull xs  $\wedge$  lnull acc)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ltl-lmirror-aux:
  ltl (lmirror-aux acc xs) = (if lnull xs then ltl acc else lmirror-aux (LCons (lhd xs)
  acc) (ltl xs))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lhd-lmirror-aux:
  lhd (lmirror-aux acc xs) = (if lnull xs then lhd acc else lhd xs)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare lmirror-aux.sel[simp del]
```

```
lemma lfinite-lmirror-aux [simp]:
  lfinite (lmirror-aux acc xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinite xs  $\wedge$  lfinite acc
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *lmirror-aux-inf*:
 $\neg lfinite\ xs \implies lmirror-aux\ acc\ xs = xs$
(proof)

lemma *lmirror-aux-acc*:
 $lmirror-aux\ (lappend\ ys\ zs)\ xs = lappend\ (lmirror-aux\ ys\ xs)\ zs$
(proof)

lemma *lmirror-aux-LCons*:
 $lmirror-aux\ acc\ (LCons\ x\ xs) = LCons\ x\ (lappend\ (lmirror-aux\ LNil\ xs)\ (LCons\ x\ acc))$
(proof)

lemma *llength-lmirror-aux*: $llength\ (lmirror-aux\ acc\ xs) = 2 * llength\ xs + llength\ acc$
(proof)

lemma *lnull-lmirror* [simp]: $lnull\ (lmirror\ xs) = lnull\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *lmirror-LNil* [simp]: $lmirror\ LNil = LNil$
(proof)

lemma *lmirror-LCons* [simp]: $lmirror\ (LCons\ x\ xs) = LCons\ x\ (lappend\ (lmirror\ xs)\ (LCons\ x\ LNil))$
(proof)

lemma *ltl-lmirror* [simp]:
 $\neg lnull\ xs \implies ltl\ (lmirror\ xs) = lappend\ (lmirror\ (ltl\ xs))\ (LCons\ (lhd\ xs)\ LNil)$
(proof)

lemma *lmap-lmirror-aux*: $lmap\ f\ (lmirror-aux\ acc\ xs) = lmirror-aux\ (lmap\ f\ acc)\ (lmap\ f\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *lmap-lmirror*: $lmap\ f\ (lmirror\ xs) = lmirror\ (lmap\ f\ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *lset-lmirror-aux*: $lset\ (lmirror-aux\ acc\ xs) = lset\ (lappend\ xs\ acc)$
(proof)

lemma *lset-lmirror* [simp]: $lset\ (lmirror\ xs) = lset\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *llength-lmirror* [simp]: $llength\ (lmirror\ xs) = 2 * llength\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *lmirror-llist-of* [simp]: $lmirror\ (llist-of\ xs) = llist-of\ (xs @ rev\ xs)$
(proof)

```

lemma list-of-lmirror [simp]: lfinite xs  $\implies$  list-of (lmirror xs) = list-of xs @ rev
(list-of xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-lmirror-aux:
 $\llist-all2 P acc acc'; \llist-all2 P xs xs' \implies \llist-all2 P (lmirror-aux acc xs) (lmirror-aux acc' xs')$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma enat-mult-cancel1 [simp]:
 $k * m = k * n \longleftrightarrow m = n \vee k = 0 \vee k = (\infty :: enat) \wedge n \neq 0 \wedge m \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-lmirror-auxD:
 $\llist-all2 P (lmirror-aux acc xs) (lmirror-aux acc' xs'); \llist-all2 P acc acc';$ 
lfinite acc
 $\implies \llist-all2 P xs xs'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-lmirrorI:
 $\llist-all2 P xs ys \implies \llist-all2 P (lmirror xs) (lmirror ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-lmirrorD:
 $\llist-all2 P (lmirror xs) (lmirror ys) \implies \llist-all2 P xs ys$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all2-lmirror [simp]:
 $\llist-all2 P (lmirror xs) (lmirror ys) \longleftrightarrow \llist-all2 P xs ys$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lmirror-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax
  shows (llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) lmirror lmirror
⟨proof⟩

end

```

16 The Hamming stream defined as a least fix-point

```

theory Hamming-Stream imports
  ..../Coinductive-List
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
begin

```

```

lemma infinity-inf-enat [simp]:

```

```

fixes n :: enat
shows  $\infty \sqcap n = n$   $n \sqcap \infty = n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eSuc-inf-eSuc [simp]: eSuc n  $\sqcap$  eSuc m = eSuc (n  $\sqcap$  m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma if-pull2: (if b then f x x' else f y y') = f (if b then x else y) (if b then x'
else y')
⟨proof⟩

context ord begin

primcorec lmerge :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where
lmerge xs ys =
(case xs of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | LCons x xs'  $\Rightarrow$ 
  case ys of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | LCons y ys'  $\Rightarrow$ 
    if lhd xs < lhd ys then LCons x (lmerge xs' ys)
    else LCons y (if lhd ys < lhd xs then lmerge xs ys' else lmerge xs' ys'))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnull-lmerge [simp]: lnull (lmerge xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (lnull xs  $\vee$  lnull ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lmerge-eq-LNil-iff: lmerge xs ys = LNil  $\longleftrightarrow$  (xs = LNil  $\vee$  ys = LNil)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lhd-lmerge: [ $\neg$  lnull xs;  $\neg$  lnull ys]  $\Longrightarrow$  lhd (lmerge xs ys) = (if lhd xs <
lhd ys then lhd xs else lhd ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltl-lmerge:
[ $\neg$  lnull xs;  $\neg$  lnull ys]  $\Longrightarrow$ 
ltl (lmerge xs ys) =
(if lhd xs < lhd ys then lmerge (ltl xs) ys
 else if lhd ys < lhd xs then lmerge xs (ltl ys)
 else lmerge (ltl xs) (ltl ys))
⟨proof⟩

declare lmerge.sel [simp del]

lemma lmerge-simps:
lmerge (LCons x xs) (LCons y ys) =
(if x < y then LCons x (lmerge xs (LCons y ys)))
else if y < x then LCons y (lmerge (LCons x xs) ys)
else LCons y (lmerge xs ys))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lmerge-LNil [simp]:
  lmerge LNil ys = LNil
  lmerge xs LNil = LNil
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-lmergeI:
  [lprefix xs xs'; lprefix ys ys' ]
  ==> lprefix (lmerge xs ys) (lmerge xs' ys')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [partial-function-mono]:
  assumes F: mono-list F and G: mono-list G
  shows mono-list (λf. lmerge (F f) (G f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-lset-lmergeD: x ∈ lset (lmerge xs ys) ==> x ∈ lset xs ∨ x ∈ lset ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lset-lmerge: lset (lmerge xs ys) ⊆ lset xs ∪ lset ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-lmergeD: lfinite (lmerge xs ys) ==> lfinite xs ∨ lfinite ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fixes F
  defines F ≡ λlmerge (xs, ys). case xs of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons x xs' ⇒ case ys
  of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons y ys' ⇒ (if x < y then LCons x (curry lmerge xs' ys) else
  if y < x then LCons y (curry lmerge xs ys') else LCons y (curry lmerge xs' ys'))
  shows lmerge-conv-fixp: lmerge ≡ curry (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub lSup) (fun-ord lprefix)
  F) (is ?lhs ≡ ?rhs)
  and lmerge-mono: mono-list (λlmerge. F lmerge xs) (is ?mono xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-lmerge: monotone (rel-prod lprefix lprefix) lprefix (case-prod lmerge)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-lmerge1 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-lmerge1: monotone lprefix lprefix (λxs. lmerge xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-lmerge2 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-lmerge2: monotone lprefix lprefix (λys. lmerge xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-lmerge: mcont (prod-lub lSup lSup) (rel-prod lprefix lprefix) lSup
  lprefix (case-prod lmerge)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lmerge1 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:

```

```

shows mcont-lmerge1: mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix ( $\lambda xs. lmerge xs ys$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-lmerge2 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
shows mcont-lmerge2: mcont lSup lprefix lSup lprefix ( $\lambda ys. lmerge xs ys$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-lmergeI [simp]:  $\llbracket lfinite xs; lfinite ys \rrbracket \implies lfinite (lmerge xs ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma linfinite-lmerge [simp]:  $\llbracket \neg lfinite xs; \neg lfinite ys \rrbracket \implies \neg lfinite (lmerge xs ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-lmerge-above: llength xs  $\sqcap$  llength ys  $\leq$  llength (lmerge xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

end

context linorder begin

lemma in-lset-lmergeI1:
 $\llbracket x \in lset xs; lsorted xs; \neg lfinite ys; \exists y \in lset ys. x \leq y \rrbracket \implies x \in lset (lmerge xs ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-lset-lmergeI2:
 $\llbracket x \in lset ys; lsorted ys; \neg lfinite xs; \exists y \in lset xs. x \leq y \rrbracket \implies x \in lset (lmerge xs ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-lmerge:  $\llbracket lsorted xs; lsorted ys \rrbracket \implies lsorted (lmerge xs ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldistinct-lmerge:
 $\llbracket lsorted xs; lsorted ys; ldistinct xs; ldistinct ys \rrbracket \implies ldistinct (lmerge xs ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

partial-function (llist) hamming' :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  nat llist
where
hamming' - =
LCons 1 (lmerge (lmap ((*) 2) (hamming' ())) (lmerge (lmap ((*) 3) (hamming' ())) (lmap ((*) 5) (hamming' ()))))

definition hamming :: nat llist

```

```

where hamming = hamming' ()

lemma lnull-hamming [simp]:  $\neg \text{lnull hamming}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma hamming-eq-LNil-iff [simp]:  $\text{hamming} = \text{LNil} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lhd-hamming [simp]:  $\text{lhd hamming} = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltl-hamming [simp]:
 $\text{ltl hamming} = \text{lmerge} (\text{lmap} ((*) 2) \text{hamming}) (\text{lmerge} (\text{lmap} ((*) 3) \text{hamming}) (\text{lmap} ((*) 5) \text{hamming}))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma hamming-unfold:
 $\text{hamming} = \text{LCons } 1 (\text{lmerge} (\text{lmap} ((*) 2) \text{hamming}) (\text{lmerge} (\text{lmap} ((*) 3) \text{hamming}) (\text{lmap} ((*) 5) \text{hamming})))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition smooth :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where smooth n  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall p. \text{prime } p \rightarrow p \text{ dvd } n \rightarrow p \leq 5)$ 

lemma smooth-0 [simp]:  $\neg \text{smooth } 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-Suc0 [simp]:  $\text{smooth} (\text{Suc } 0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-gt0:  $\text{smooth } n \implies n > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-ge-Suc0:  $\text{smooth } n \implies n \geq \text{Suc } 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-nat-dvdD:  $\text{prime } p \implies (n :: \text{nat}) \text{ dvd } p \implies n = 1 \vee n = p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-times [simp]:  $\text{smooth} (x * y) \longleftrightarrow \text{smooth } x \wedge \text{smooth } y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth2 [simp]:  $\text{smooth } 2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth3 [simp]:  $\text{smooth } 3$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth5 [simp]:  $\text{smooth } 5$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma hamming-in-smooth: lset hamming ⊆ {n. smooth n}
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfinite-hamming [simp]: ¬ lfinite hamming
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsorted-hamming [simp]: lsorted hamming
and ldistinct-hamming [simp]: ldistinct hamming
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-hamming:
assumes smooth n
shows n ∈ lset hamming
⟨proof⟩

corollary hamming-smooth: lset hamming = {n. smooth n}
⟨proof⟩

lemma hamming-THE:
(THE xs. lsorted xs ∧ ldistribution xs ∧ lset xs = {n. smooth n}) = hamming
⟨proof⟩

end

```

17 Manual construction of a resumption codatatype

```

theory Resumption imports
  HOL-Library.Old-Datatype
begin

```

This theory defines the following codatatype:

```

codatatype ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) resumption =
  Terminal 'a
  | Linear 'b "('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) resumption"
  | Branch 'c "'d => ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) resumption"

```

17.1 Auxiliary definitions and lemmata similar to HOL-Library.Old-Datatype

```

lemma Lim-mono: ( $\bigwedge d. rs d \subseteq rs' d$ )  $\implies$  Old-Datatype.Lim rs ⊆ Old-Datatype.Lim
rs'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Lim-UN1: Old-Datatype.Lim ( $\lambda x. \bigcup y. f x y$ ) = ( $\bigcup y. Old\text{-}Datatype.Lim (\lambda x. f x y)$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Inverse for *Old-Datatype.Lim* like *Old-Datatype.Split* and *Old-Datatype.Case* for *Scons* and *In0/In1*

definition *DTBranch* :: $(('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet) \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)$
Old-Datatype.dtreet $\Rightarrow 'c$
where *DTBranch f M* = (*THE u.* $\exists x. M = Old\text{-}Datatype.Lim x \wedge u = f x$)

lemma *DTBranch-Lim [simp]*: *DTBranch f (Old-Datatype.Lim M)* = *f M*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemmas for *ntrunc* and *Old-Datatype.Lim*

lemma *ndepth-Push-Node-Inl-aux*:

case-nat (Inl n) f k = *Inr 0* $\implies Suc (LEAST x. f x = Inr 0) \leq k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ndepth-Push-Node-Inl*:

ndepth (Push-Node (Inl a) n) = *Suc (ndepth n)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntrunc-Lim [simp]*: *ntrunc (Suc k) (Old-Datatype.Lim rs)* = *Old-Datatype.Lim*
 $(\lambda x. ntrunc k (rs x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.2 Definition for the codatatype universe

Constructors

definition *TERMINAL* :: $'a \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet$
where *TERMINAL a* = *In0 (Old-Datatype.Leaf (Inr (Inr a)))*

definition *LINEAR* :: $'b \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet$
where *LINEAR b r* = *In1 (In0 (Scons (Old-Datatype.Leaf (Inr (Inl b))) r))*

definition *BRANCH* :: $'c \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet) \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet$
where *BRANCH c rs* = *In1 (In1 (Scons (Old-Datatype.Leaf (Inl c)) (Old-Datatype.Lim rs)))*

case operator

definition *case-RESUMPTION* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow (('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet) \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet) \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old\text{-}Datatype.dtreet \Rightarrow 'e$
where
case-RESUMPTION t l br =
Old-Datatype.Case (t o inv (Old-Datatype.Leaf o Inr o Inr))
 $(Old\text{-}Datatype.Case (Old\text{-}Datatype.Split (\lambda x. l (inv (Old\text{-}Datatype.Leaf o Inr o Inl) x))))$

$(Old\text{-}Datatype.Split (\lambda x. DTBranch (br (inv (Old\text{-}Datatype.Leaf o Inl) x)))))$

lemma [iff]:

shows TERMINAL-not-LINEAR: TERMINAL $a \neq$ LINEAR $b r$
and LINEAR-not-TERMINAL: LINEAR $b R \neq$ TERMINAL a
and TERMINAL-not-BRANCH: TERMINAL $a \neq$ BRANCH $c rs$
and BRANCH-not-TERMINAL: BRANCH $c rs \neq$ TERMINAL a
and LINEAR-not-BRANCH: LINEAR $b r \neq$ BRANCH $c rs$
and BRANCH-not-LINEAR: BRANCH $c rs \neq$ LINEAR $b r$
and TERMINAL-inject: TERMINAL $a =$ TERMINAL $a' \longleftrightarrow a = a'$
and LINEAR-inject: LINEAR $b r =$ LINEAR $b' r' \longleftrightarrow b = b' \wedge r = r'$
and BRANCH-inject: BRANCH $c rs =$ BRANCH $c' rs' \longleftrightarrow c = c' \wedge rs = rs'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma case-RESUMPTION-simps [simp]:

shows case-RESUMPTION-TERMINAL: case-RESUMPTION $t l br$ (TERMINAL $a) = t a$
and case-RESUMPTION-LINEAR: case-RESUMPTION $t l br$ (LINEAR $b r) = l b r$
and case-RESUMPTION-BRANCH: case-RESUMPTION $t l br$ (BRANCH $c rs) = br c rs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma LINEAR-mono: $r \subseteq r' \implies$ LINEAR $b r \subseteq$ LINEAR $b r'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma BRANCH-mono: $(\bigwedge d. rs d \subseteq rs' d) \implies$ BRANCH $c rs \subseteq$ BRANCH $c rs'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma LINEAR-UN: LINEAR $b (\bigcup x. f x) = (\bigcup x. LINEAR b (f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma BRANCH-UN: BRANCH $b (\lambda d. \bigcup x. f d x) = (\bigcup x. BRANCH b (\lambda d. f d x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The codatatype universe

coinductive-set resumption :: ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old-Datatype.dtreet set
where
resumption-TERMINAL:
TERMINAL $a \in$ resumption
| *resumption-LINEAR*:
 $r \in$ resumption \implies LINEAR $b r \in$ resumption
| *resumption-BRANCH*:
 $(\bigwedge d. rs d \in$ resumption) \implies BRANCH $c rs \in$ resumption

17.3 Definition of the codatatype as a type

```
typedef ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption = resumption :: ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd) Old-Datatype.dtreeset
⟨proof⟩
```

Constructors

```
definition Terminal :: 'a ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption
where Terminal a = Abs-resumption (TERMINAL a)
```

```
definition Linear :: 'b ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption
where Linear b r = Abs-resumption (LINEAR b (Rep-resumption r))
```

```
definition Branch :: 'c ⇒ ('d ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption) ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption
where Branch c rs = Abs-resumption (BRANCH c (λd. Rep-resumption (rs d)))
```

lemma [iff]:

```
shows Terminal-not-Linear: Terminal a ≠ Linear b r
and Linear-not-Terminal: Linear b R ≠ Terminal a
and Terminal-not-Branch: Terminal a ≠ Branch c rs
and Branch-not-Terminal: Branch c rs ≠ Terminal a
and Linear-not-Branch: Linear b r ≠ Branch c rs
and Branch-not-Linear: Branch c rs ≠ Linear b r
and Terminal-inject: Terminal a = Terminal a' ↔ a = a'
and Linear-inject: Linear b r = Linear b' r' ↔ b = b' ∧ r = r'
and Branch-inject: Branch c rs = Branch c' rs' ↔ c = c' ∧ rs = rs'
```

⟨proof⟩

lemma Rep-resumption-constructors:

```
shows Rep-resumption-Terminal: Rep-resumption (Terminal a) = TERMINAL a
and Rep-resumption-Linear: Rep-resumption (Linear b r) = LINEAR b (Rep-resumption r)
and Rep-resumption-Branch: Rep-resumption (Branch c rs) = BRANCH c (λd. Rep-resumption (rs d))
⟨proof⟩
```

Case operator

```
definition case-resumption :: ('a ⇒ 'e) ⇒ ('b ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption ⇒ 'e) ⇒
('c ⇒ ('d ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption) ⇒ 'e) ⇒ ('a,'b,'c,'d) resumption ⇒ 'e
where [code del]:
case-resumption t l br r =
case-RESUMPTION t (λb r. l b (Abs-resumption r)) (λc rs. br c (λd. Abs-resumption (rs d))) (Rep-resumption r)
```

lemma case-resumption-simps [simp, code]:

```
shows case-resumption-Terminal: case-resumption t l br (Terminal a) = t a
and case-resumption-Linear: case-resumption t l br (Linear b r) = l b r
```

and *case-resumption-Branch*: *case-resumption t l br (Branch c rs) = br c rs*
(proof)

declare [[*case-translation case-resumption Terminal Linear Branch*]]

lemma *case-resumption-cert*:

assumes *CASE* \equiv *case-resumption t l br*

shows (*CASE (Terminal a) \equiv t a*) $\&\&\&$ (*CASE (Linear b r) \equiv l b r*) $\&\&\&$
(*CASE (Branch c rs) \equiv br c rs*)

(proof)

code-datatype *Terminal Linear Branch*

(ML)

lemma *resumption-exhaust* [*cases type: resumption*]:

obtains (*Terminal*) *a where* *x = Terminal a*
| (*Linear*) *b r where* *x = Linear b r*
| (*Branch*) *c rs where* *x = Branch c rs*

(proof)

lemma *resumption-split*:

P (case-resumption t l br r) \leftrightarrow
 $(\forall a. r = \text{Terminal } a \rightarrow P(t a)) \wedge$
 $(\forall b r'. r = \text{Linear } b r' \rightarrow P(l b r')) \wedge$
 $(\forall c rs. r = \text{Branch } c rs \rightarrow P(br c rs))$

(proof)

lemma *resumption-split-asm*:

P (case-resumption t l br r) \leftrightarrow
 $\neg ((\exists a. r = \text{Terminal } a \wedge \neg P(t a)) \vee$
 $(\exists b r'. r = \text{Linear } b r' \wedge \neg P(l b r')) \vee$
 $(\exists c rs. r = \text{Branch } c rs \wedge \neg P(br c rs)))$

(proof)

lemmas *resumption-splits = resumption-split resumption-split-asm*

corecursion operator

datatype (*dead 'a, dead 'b, dead 'c, dead 'd, dead 'e*) *resumption-corec* =
Terminal-corec 'a
| *Linear-corec 'b 'e*
| *Branch-corec 'c 'd \Rightarrow 'e*
| *Resumption-corec ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) resumption*

primrec *RESUMPTION-corec-aux :: nat \Rightarrow ('e \Rightarrow ('a,'b,'c,'d,'e) resumption-corec)*
 \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a,'d) Old-Datatype.dtrees

where

RESUMPTION-corec-aux 0 f e = {}

| *RESUMPTION-corec-aux (Suc n) f e =*

```

(case f e of Terminal-corec a ⇒ TERMINAL a
| Linear-corec b e' ⇒ LINEAR b (RESUMPTION-corec-aux n f e')
| Branch-corec c es ⇒ BRANCH c (λd. RESUMPTION-corec-aux n f
(es d))
| Resumption-corec r ⇒ Rep-resumption r)

```

definition RESUMPTION-corec :: ($'e \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd, 'e)$ resumption-corec) $\Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd)$ Old-Datatype.dtree

where

RESUMPTION-corec f e = ($\bigcup n.$ RESUMPTION-corec-aux n f e)

lemma RESUMPTION-corec [nitpick-simp]:

```

RESUMPTION-corec f e =
(case f e of Terminal-corec a ⇒ TERMINAL a
| Linear-corec b e' ⇒ LINEAR b (RESUMPTION-corec f e')
| Branch-corec c es ⇒ BRANCH c (λd. RESUMPTION-corec f (es d))
| Resumption-corec r ⇒ Rep-resumption r)
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma RESUMPTION-corec-type: RESUMPTION-corec f e \in resumption
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corecursion operator for the resumption type

definition resumption-corec :: ($'e \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd, 'e)$ resumption-corec) $\Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd)$ resumption

where

resumption-corec f e = Abs-resumption (RESUMPTION-corec f e)

lemma resumption-corec:

```

resumption-corec f e =
(case f e of Terminal-corec a ⇒ Terminal a
| Linear-corec b e' ⇒ Linear b (resumption-corec f e')
| Branch-corec c es ⇒ Branch c (λd. resumption-corec f (es d))
| Resumption-corec r ⇒ r)
⟨proof⟩

```

Equality as greatest fixpoint

coinductive Eq-RESUMPTION :: ($'c + 'b + 'a, 'd)$ Old-Datatype.dtree $\Rightarrow ('c + 'b + 'a, 'd)$ Old-Datatype.dtree \Rightarrow bool

where

```

EqTERMINAL: Eq-RESUMPTION (TERMINAL a) (TERMINAL a)
| EqLINEAR: Eq-RESUMPTION r r'  $\Longrightarrow$  Eq-RESUMPTION (LINEAR b r) (LINEAR b r')
| EqBRANCH: ( $\bigwedge d.$  Eq-RESUMPTION (rs d) (rs' d))  $\Longrightarrow$  Eq-RESUMPTION (BRANCH c rs) (BRANCH c rs')

```

lemma Eq-RESUMPTION-implies-ntrunc-equality:

Eq-RESUMPTION r r' \Longrightarrow ntrunc k r = ntrunc k r'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Eq-RESUMPTION-refl*:

assumes $r \in \text{resumption}$
shows *Eq-RESUMPTION r r*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Eq-RESUMPTION-into-resumption*:

assumes *Eq-RESUMPTION r r*
shows $r \in \text{resumption}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Eq-RESUMPTION-eq*:

Eq-RESUMPTION r r' $\longleftrightarrow r = r' \wedge r \in \text{resumption}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Eq-RESUMPTION-I* [consumes 1, case-names *Eq-RESUMPTION*, case-conclusion *Eq-RESUMPTION EqTerminal EqLinear EqBranch*]:

assumes $X r r'$

and step: $\bigwedge r r'. X r r' \implies$

$(\exists a. r = \text{TERMINAL } a \wedge r' = \text{TERMINAL } a) \vee$

$(\exists R R' b. r = \text{LINEAR } b R \wedge r' = \text{LINEAR } b R' \wedge (X R R' \vee$

Eq-RESUMPTION R R'))

$(\exists rs rs' c. r = \text{BRANCH } c rs \wedge r' = \text{BRANCH } c rs' \wedge (\forall d. X (rs d)$

(rs' d) $\vee Eq-RESUMPTION (rs d) (rs' d))$

shows $r = r'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resumption-equalityI* [consumes 1, case-names *Eq-resumption*, case-conclusion *Eq-resumption EqTerminal EqLinear EqBranch*]:

assumes $X r r'$

and step: $\bigwedge r r'. X r r' \implies$

$(\exists a. r = \text{Terminal } a \wedge r' = \text{Terminal } a) \vee$

$(\exists R R' b. r = \text{Linear } b R \wedge r' = \text{Linear } b R' \wedge (X R R' \vee R = R')) \vee$

$(\exists rs rs' c. r = \text{Branch } c rs \wedge r' = \text{Branch } c rs' \wedge (\forall d. X (rs d) (rs'$

d) $\vee rs d = rs' d))$

shows $r = r'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Finality of *resumption*: Uniqueness of functions defined by corecursion.

lemma *equals-RESUMPTION-corec*:

assumes $h: \bigwedge x. h x = (\text{case } f x \text{ of Terminal-corec } a \Rightarrow \text{TERMINAL } a$
 $| \text{Linear-corec } b x' \Rightarrow \text{LINEAR } b (h x')$
 $| \text{Branch-corec } c xs \Rightarrow \text{BRANCH } c (\lambda d. h (xs d))$
 $| \text{Resumption-corec } r \Rightarrow \text{Rep-resumption } r)$

shows $h = \text{RESUMPTION-corec } f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals-resumption-corec*:

```

assumes h:  $\bigwedge x. h x = (\text{case } f x \text{ of Terminal-corec } a \Rightarrow \text{Terminal } a$ 
 $| \text{Linear-corec } b x' \Rightarrow \text{Linear } b (h x')$ 
 $| \text{Branch-corec } c xs \Rightarrow \text{Branch } c (\lambda d. h (xs\ d))$ 
 $| \text{Resumption-corec } r \Rightarrow r)$ 
shows h = resumption-corec f
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

theory Coinductive-Examples imports
  LList-CCPO-Topology
  TLLList-CCPO-Examples
  Koenigslemma
  LMirror
  Hamming-Stream
  Resumption
begin

end

```